

The Solari Report

April 13, 2017

1st Quarter Wrap Up-News Trends & Stories With Dr. Joseph Farrell





1st Quarter Wrap Up News Trends & Stories with Dr. Joseph Farrell

April 13, 2017

C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to The Solari Report. It's time for the 1st Quarter Wrap Up: News Trends & Stories, and I'm joined by Dr. Joseph Farrell. We had a great conversation on the Clash of Civilizations, our main theme from last week. This week it's the News Trends & Stories.

Joseph, welcome to The Solari Report.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Hi, Catherine. Thanks for having me return.

C. Austin Fitts: Every quarter it gets harder and harder to quell the stories down to a manageable size and it's simply explosive. If you look at the stories, it used to be that we lived in a world where there was one or two a week that I thought were important. Now there are one or two a day that I think are important and some days there are four or five.

We discussed the US economy a great deal last week. We have six areas to review. The first is the Economy and Financial Markets; the second is Geopolitics; the third is Culture; the fourth is Science & Technology; the fifth is Unanswered Questions; and finally, Inspiration.



Last week, because we discussed the new Administration and what is going on in the economy, we covered many of those topics. There is a detailed list of stories on the web presentation. I'm not going to go through all of it, but I thought maybe we could touch on some of the highlights. What we're seeing with the change in the politics and the change in the direction of government are some real revisions. I want to briefly discuss some of them.

One is the fact that we're watching pension funds start to have to face up to the day of reckoning. We're starting to see more and more pension funds admit that they can't make their benefits, or municipalities and state governments say that they are going to have to start raising taxes or doing other things to meet their obligations.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: The day of reckoning is really upon us. Another one is that we are starting to see an acceleration of, what I call, Global 2.0 economy fail. I think we're expecting 3,500 store closings in the first quarter within the United States economy. We're seeing the reorganization of retail and the demise of the people who haven't made the shift to a more 'networked' economy.

The big one is that, what I call the 'debt growth model', is over and we're beginning to see people realize what that might mean. So I would like to ask you to comment on that. How do you think the debt growth model being over is showing up?



Dr. Joseph Farrell: It's showing up, not only as you pointed out in the pension fund issue, but also on the war on cash. The biggest way it's showing up is people's reactions to it. This takes us back to what we talked about last week. President Trump has to articulate as part of what we've been calling 'philosophical, cultural vision'. He also has to articulate the fact that we are moving through a major paradigm shift in the way global finance is organized and arranged, and he has to articulate this fact very, very clearly that the debt growth model is over.

This is important for him to do because thus far, the only world leader who we have seen that has come right out and said this is the German Finance Minister, Schäuble. If Trump does it, it can become part of a discussion that people need to be made aware of how this is going to affect their personal finances, their local and state government finances, or provincial finances, and so on.

This needs to be done by some leader of one of the major economic powers. Catherine, you and I have been following this for so long and you certainly far longer than I. What I think we've been looking at — the leaders of the G20 and the G8 and so on — is none of them want to do this themselves. I think they've been waiting for the United States to take the lead, which it would have to do.

What you are talking about with the pension funds, the war on cash, and everything else, is not something confined to the United States; it's in almost every Western nation.

C. Austin Fitts: Absolutely.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: So he needs to take the bull by the horns, I think, and articulate those general points so that the discussion can start to be had. These are problems that are not going to find – in my opinion – a centralized Mr. Globaloni solution. Every country is different, every country is unique within each of those major economic powers, and there are regional differences that need to be taken into account. In other words, there is not going to be any solution coming from the CFR or the *Economist* or what have you. The solution is going to have to be found by including people in the discussion, and the discussion can't be had unless a leader stands up and says, "We need to have this discussion."

C. Austin Fitts: Exactly.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I think this is step one and, if we don't have the discussion, we're just kicking the can. Sooner or later, the system implodes and no one has any idea why it imploded or what we can do about it. None of us want to be in that situation.

This is a perfect opportunity for Trump to take the bull by the horns, exercise some cultural leadership as well as political leadership, and say that we need to have this discussion. It needs to be an open discussion; it can't be behind closed doors like Mr. Rockefeller and Mr. Rothschild having their usual tricks that they play.

C. Austin Fitts: Did you see the little informal quip that Lord Rothschild gave in his latest interview? I think it was on *Bloomberg* where essentially he intimated that we needed a Constitutional Convention? "The American system no longer works, so we really need to get a new system here."



Dr. Joseph Farrell: Constitutional Conventions aside, we've discussed the dangers of that in the previous session, but it is true systemically that there are problems. I don't think moving to cashlessness is the solution. The only thing that does is ultimately drive the emergence of local currencies, and there is going to be much more chaos than they bargained for.

C. Austin Fitts: Your economy has a negative return on investment on the government money. A war on cash allows you to keep that negative return going far longer by liquidating portions of the population's financial wealth. So it extends the day of reckoning even longer.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Ultimately it doesn't work out because what happens when people realize what is going on, is they create currencies themselves. I suspect that we are not being told the whole story about what's going on in India. I suspect that you've already had this phenomena emerging in that country.

This is not a long-term solution. That is something that Trump can articulate. Cashless systems – we're going to trust these people who spy on us? Supposedly the Russians have hacked our elections, so just how secure are these systems?

You've raised this point numerous times. You raised it again in this quarterly Wrap Up. Do we really want to trust these systems? My answer is no. I want to have the freedom to take my Andrew Jacksons and Alexander Hamiltons to a store and spend them and that's the bottom line for me.



C. Austin Fitts: As part of the war on cash, what we're seeing is Arizona, Utah, and a whole series of states moving to create sole depositories and make it possible to do things with gold and silver. I think they clearly see the handwriting on the wall. In fact, you see Moscow and Beijing getting ready to bypass the dollars with a shift to a gold trade.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Exactly.

C. Austin Fitts: The world plainly sees where this is going.

We talked last week a lot about the military strategy and the reinvention of the national security state. We didn't touch on the central bank. The first quarter bumped the Fed fund rate 25 basis points, and they indicated that they were possibly going to do the same several more times this year.

Trump has left the central bank alone because, from everything I've seen coming from the military, it's more important to reinvent that side and get on strong ground there before you deal with the Fed. But ultimately the Fed is going to have to be dealt with.

Now they've finished shooting most of their bullets, so you would go easy in the beginning.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: A step was taken in the senate, and it recently passed; a bill to audit the Fed. Whether that turns out to be a real audit or just a whitewash remains to be seen, but it's an important step simply for putting the whole idea on the table.



Getting back to this cashless society business, if you want to hand even more power over to the central bank, that is the way to do it. Like you, I think several states are seeing the handwriting on the wall.

Let's point out something, which is very important for people to understand. With these states moving towards the creation of state bullion depositories, what are they actually saying? Well, they are actually saying that the debt growth model is over. They are saying the equity capital model is the way to go because silver and gold are obviously an equity position if you perceive it in a certain way.

So this is what they are really saying, and this goes, not only to the heart of what we're facing in this country as far as I can tell, but also in Europe as well because thus far you've had a central bank-imposed currency with the Euro. It's not working out too well. The Brits found that out the hard way.

You've had moves towards cashlessness now in Europe taking place. You can't have a cashless system in Europe, and Moscow and Beijing trading in gold on bilateral currency arraignment; it's not going to work. So they either have to figure out a way to get Russia and China under their thumb – and good luck with that, guys – or not use a cashless system. This is the problem. It's going to create the same thing in Europe that I suspect is already going on in India. You're going to have the emergence of states taking their own course of action, damning Brussels or Berlin or Paris. They are going to do what is good for the economy.



So I think if the globalists keep doing this, it's going to blow up in their faces. This is the big problem. I don't know about you, but I don't think they realize how big of an explosion that could be.

C. Austin Fitts: I don't think they realize it, and I think they're going to keep pressing it as far as they can.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Unfortunately, I agree with you.

C. Austin Fitts: They're going to use the bitcoin fraud to try to make this fashionable and groovy. They're going to have the freedom fighters innovating for them.

I've been in Europe now for five days. It's very clear from the election results in Austria and the Netherlands and how things look like they're going in France that, at least in 2017, the European Union and the Euro are going to hold. Le Pen wants to renegotiate; she doesn't want to exit. Right now, it doesn't look like she is going to win anyway.

Whatever happens, I think the European Union and the EU is going to hold. Clearly the frustration is very, very great. The question is: Can they get the EU to really change how they're doing things?

I flew into Brussels and took the train to Bruges. On the way, we stopped in Brussels at the end of the day, picking up all of the commuters. About 50 people got on the train car that I was in, and I've never seen an unhappier group of people. So one thing that I will say is that the bureaucrats at the EU have gotten the message that Europe is unhappy with all the rule making.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: It's the same regulatory climate that the Trump Administration has been dealing with here. The problem in Europe is even worse because the European Union is just a big bureaucracy; that's all it is. The people have little to no input or say in it.

So, yes, the European Union may survive another year, but I don't think it's going to survive in its current form. The reason is because it has run roughshod over the national cultures.

A Frenchman is not going to want to see the death of France in the name of some utopian ideal that is clearly not working, and the same goes everywhere else in Europe.

One thing with regard to the EU that we also need to pay very close attention to is that some countries in Europe have begun an investigation of Mr. Soros and the wider phenomenon of non-government organizations. Italy is launching an investigation of his connection to the fleets that are quite literally smuggling immigrants into Italy. I think that this is going to spread.

It's one thing for Hungary to do this; it's one thing for Macedonia to do this, but it's quite another when Italy gets on board. That's going to spread.

C. Austin Fitts: This is important because, if you look at the source effort, it was part of an effort to ensure that we've had the higher moral authority. When the higher moral authority is shown to be essentially lawless and it brands us as a criminal, I don't think most people understand how expensive that is going to be on the national stage.



If you see the media attacking Trump, I think they do know how expensive it is going to be on the national stage or the international stage. That's why they are attacking.

Did you notice that the *LA Times* had an editorial this weekend that was very cleverly crafted? Basically, they were attacking Trump for ignoring the rule of law. I thought that the *LA Times*, the people who made South Central LA safe for CIA narcotics trafficking and made Hollywood safe for pedophilia, lecturing Donald Trump about the rule of law was quite remarkable. I think they understand the danger of what could happen if the truth was revealed.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I don't think any reform in Europe is going to make this whole thing go away. It's going to spread, and if the EU survives, it will be a very, very different structure. That is coming down the pipe.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. One thing we've seen – and I want to make sure we note it in this 1st Quarter Wrap Up – is real signs of inflation picking up. Even if you review the official statistics, they're showing increases in inflation. Of course, I believe the real raise in inflation is much higher than the official statistics. I think one of the challenges for the leadership – both in Europe and in the United States – is, if inflation is this strong with commodity prices still low and even falling and energy prices this low, that is going to be a very tricky situation now that they're turning around and saying, "We can't fulfill our promises on healthcare and we can't fulfill our promises on the pension, but we are going to raise your food prices."



I think that is one of the reasons you're seeing the Trump Administration put tremendous stress on doing everything they can from a regulatory or other standpoint of bringing the energy price down. I think it's because there is real concern about what could happen to prices. Everything they can do to bring down the energy price they are going to try to do, and that includes the question of whether they will acknowledge any of the breakthrough energy technologies. Circumstances may force that.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I think to a certain extent, we saw some of the hints of that during the campaign itself. Shortly before the campaign the Rockefeller foundations divested themselves of petroleum. I think that was a big clue that something is afoot in terms of energy.

We've been seeing more and more stories about success in this fusion experiment and that fusion experiment. I think something is afoot. I think their problem is the same as it's always been, Catherine: How are they going to manage the transition as smooth a fashion as possible? That is their biggest problem.

If you have \$250,000, you can buy one of Elon Musk's cars, but obviously not all of us have \$250,000 to invest in a car, so I'll stick with gasoline for now, thank you very much. This is their huge problem.

This goes back to what we talked about last week. Trump needs to understand that his role is not simply as President of the United States, which he has stated very explicitly. He needs to understand that he is the leader of a civilization and a culture that is going through a profound transition, and he needs to step up to the plate and start articulating these things openly. That needs to be on his agenda, too.



It's interesting in Trump's case, that he alone – of all the Presidents that we have had – could be in the position to do that and talk about hidden technologies and different energy technologies because his uncle was a physicist at MIT and was involved in some classified studies of Nikola Tesla. He is in a very unique position with respect to that.

People can go online and google my friend Walter Bosley. He wrote an interesting blog about that relationship between Trump and his uncle and the possibilities of hidden technologies.

So, yes, this is another area that he needs to articulate and take action and get the discussion going in the mainstream.

C. Austin Fitts: One of the phenomena we've seen in the economy – and I think it's so important – is if you look at how financial markets have been managed to the extent that they have, much of it has been done with a variety of corrupt processes including control files. Of course, you talked about the explosion of pedophilia, but one thing that is important to understand is that every business tries to grow, and we've seen criminality and corruption grow as a business steadily for many years. The more it grows, the stronger it gets. Of course, it's really been empowered by the absence of integrity in the digital system.

It appears that somehow in watching the headlines in the first quarter, America is finally getting educated.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes.



C. Austin Fitts: The problem of a group of people controlling and having what one expert called 'surveillance capitalism' is, when you give a group of capitalists open-ended access to every ounce of data about all of us and they are free to act above the law, you are going to get an out of control criminal enterprise.

I was on *USA Watchdog* and Greg Hunter said, "Don't you think we need to be really afraid of the police state?"

I said, "No. We need to be afraid of digital and financial anarchy by a criminal industry."

Dr. Joseph Farrell: He recently signed this bill – which is one of his big misses as far as I'm concerned – allowing internet service providers to sell your search history data. That was a big miss.

C. Austin Fitts: You just want to slap him! What was he thinking?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: That was an enormous mistake, as far as I'm concerned. We already have enough of this kind of thing. We don't need to be handing these people even more power because what this ultimately does is undermine the growth and progress of the technology. The smart people will minimize their exposure to it. I've certainly done that in the past few months.

I've even gone so far as to buy things online that I don't need or want but I might use down the line just to throw anybody who is watching me off the path of what I'm really up to. It's going to get that devious and I'm responding to a market condition.



So multiply that across the board, and you have more and more people withdrawing from these things rather than employing them. They're cutting their own throat with all of this. That is my point; it goes back to the centralization model. When these people plunder enough, they realize that sooner or later there is nothing left to plunder, and they start plundering each other. That's when things really start breaking down.

C. Austin Fitts: That's my fear – piratization. I don't think that the criminal enterprises we are dealing with are sufficiently self-aware to correct. They are scorpions. They will drown in the middle of the river because they couldn't stop from stinging the frog.

I would say that one of the greatest concerns I had in the first quarter was the legislation now present in California allowing telco's to implement 5G with tiny antennas that they can place anywhere they want – three or five a block, including on your house. The local officials can't stop it or say anything about it.

What we saw with the legislation on the ISPs is the same thing we are seeing in this legislation, which is, if you think you're afraid of the NSA, wait until you get a load of AT&T.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Exactly.

C. Austin Fitts: We're watching the private telco's with the bits in their mouth, and if you see what is possible concerning mind control with 5G, it is very, very dangerous.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes it is. I totally agree with you and it's giving them entry to your mind. People have to understand – and I honestly don't think people yet understand – that these technologies of mind manipulation actually exist and have existed for quite some time. This is a very, very draconian situation in California – or as I prefer to call it, 'Nutifornia'.

Essentially, you're seeing the one party rule there come home to roost and it's going to get much worse and much crazier.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. One last thing before we leave the economy: The stock market keeps going up. If you examine the divergence between the stock market going up and where earnings are and any of the fundamentals, there is an excellent article in the *Economist* in the first quarter called *Multinationals In Retreat*. It was about how the local competition everywhere is making it very difficult for the multinationals to hold market share, particularly in the emerging markets. They are pulling back – they're retreating.

Part of it is because of centralization. As you said, centralization overextended because government debt growth was financing too much of it. So now the local guys are getting smarter and the debt growth model is going away. But the stock market just keeps climbing.

I think that part of it is that many commentators don't understand the power of what regulatory relief can do – both for people's vigorish as well as for the economics. So I think clearly regulatory relief has a bigger impact on value than people understand.



I have a very good sense of how much value overregulation has destroyed. I have to tell you that I take it as a sign that Mr. Global is actively committed to moving the model to an equity model and he will step in and subsidize the equity markets no matter what.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: They are going to have to in a certain sense because I'm seeing the same thing with the move to doing business locally and regionally – in other words, doing business away from the big conglomerate global corporations.

I buy a lot of books, and if I have the opportunity, I avoid buying them from Amazon whenever I can. It's a conscious decision on my part because, first of all, I don't want to feed Jeff Bezos and his crazy idea.

More and more people are going to start doing this because the local businessmen can respond to your particular needs much faster now with the internet.

The thing about the internet is that we tend to think of it as being the big corporations. We tend to think of Amazon and all of these corporations with the internet, but that is not necessarily the case. Everybody now doing business has a website, and you can quite literally do business with somebody who is local and not part of a chain with just a little search effort.

Ultimately that is a much more responsive entrepreneurial environment to the customer than the big corporation is.



C. Austin Fitts: Right. Let's now turn to Geopolitics.

I did a book review on one of my favorite books, Patrick Wood's *Technocracy Rising*. It's a remarkable book that describes the extent to which the G7 leadership has tried to convert us to a society being run by rules as opposed to market and price.

For those of us who live in a world where price is the great communicator, it's been basically driving us crazy. Now we are seeing a real pushback against that in an effort to try to destroy the rules-based economy, including the destruction of small businesses and farms.

My assertion is: We have a leadership that is trying to destroy small business and farms, and yet they are automating with robotics in a manner that is implying there will be no employment unless it's created by small business and farms. There is a deep double bind going on in this and unless the plan is massive depopulation, it doesn't make any sense.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: No, it doesn't. Even if depopulation is their game plan, then they are not thinking. You are ultimately going to be dependent on a tradition or craft of people who can fix and repair things. You can't rely on robotics to replace all these jobs.

Ultimately, I think there is going to be a human response to this that they are not taking into account. I think you are going to see people moving away from supporting those companies and so on that are going all robotics.



I'm to the point that I no longer shop at Wal-Mart if I can avoid it because of their attempt to replace human cashiers or people with self-service checkout lanes that are being overseen by one overworked person trying to deal with 15 computerized cash-out lanes that have all crashed or had some problem. Sooner or later people are going to quit going to those places to shop because of the inconvenience of it.

I'm in agreement with you. I think they are creating a double bind that they haven't thought out. I realize that puts me in opposition to people who think that these globalists are super criminal masterminds that have every detail plotted out. I don't think they are that at all; I think that fundamentally – and I've said this many times – these are stupid people because they've been following their own playbook for so long that they cannot think in any other way. They've boxed themselves in.

C. Austin Fitts: Here is the thing: Occasionally I go back and spend time in that world, and here is what I see. I see very, very intelligent people who have worked very hard to get to where they are, and they can't fathom that they are clueless about their world.

For example, you get a very successful senior executive in Silicon Valley, and they have not a clue that the government created Silicon Valley. The government transferred technology in at low prices or for free. The government went around America selling drugs and raising capital that was poured into Silicon Valley. So their capital is deeply subsidized, their technology is deeply subsidized, and they don't know that.



I look at them and I see myself 20 years ago. Twenty years ago I was too busy doing what was in my face to sit down and look around.

I grew up in a poor neighborhood, so I had a very good insight into the covert side, but these are people who have lived above ground in Disneyworld, and they don't know that what is happening below ground is engineering all of this. Part of it is because they are so busy living based on rules that they can't see the price; they can't see how the money works.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Part of this goes back to the culture idea again. What has happened academically over the last 100 to 150 years is you've had the transformation of the academy into a licensing bureau where you get a license to practice a certain discipline and nothing else; that is all you're exposed to.

What we've lost is the tradition – and it's a cultural tradition – of the generalist, of the liberal arts person who thinks across several disciplines at the same time. This is particularly true, I think, in places like the Silicon Valley where you are surrounded by people who have certainly brilliance in a very narrow technical field. But ask them to think in broad, general terms, and they cannot do this.

Part of the fault goes back to culture, and part of the fault goes back to education and how it has been transformed from something designed to hand down a tradition to something that is nothing but a licensing agency to go out and practice a certain narrow thing.



I think much this stupidity that you see on the part of the technocratic elite is genuine stupidity because they have not been exposed to anything else in their entire life.

C. Austin Fitts: They've been living in a bubble and they don't know that they're in a bubble.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Precisely.

C. Austin Fitts: They can't see outside of the bubble.

I wrote an article after election called *The Productivity Backlash*. In Ireland I was having breakfast, and I had ten European papers stacked up. I was reading all the covers, and I realized that they have no idea what happened and why. That is why I wrote *The Productivity Backlash* – to explain the economics of it.

One thing that I said was that you can have an IQ of 150 in Silicon Valley but, in fact, you are more stupid than someone who is living in the middle of the heartland with an IQ of 103 who has had to sell it for more than it costs to make it in a free market for the last few years. That person has so much more intelligence about how the world works than somebody who has been living in La La Land.

I think it's very hard for all those unbelievably smart people to see that. I had to look in the mirror and say, "I'm the patsy. I know how hard it is to go through that process, and I have more of an incentive to go through it."

In a sense it describes what is going to have to go on in America.



Let me refer to a couple of other things. One great interest of yours, and something you've gotten me, not just interested in but educated to, is education. We clearly see a change in what is happening in education in the United States. We have a new Secretary of Education who is against Common Core, but we also know we have the businesses moving in and wanting to take over education and turn it into something which supports a very inhuman vision of the world.

Give us your perspective on what you think is happening in the world of education.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: What is happening with Common Core is precisely more of the same on steroids. In other words, the whole point of Common Core as an example, Gary Lawrence and I wrote the book Rotten to the Common Core, and in it we pointed out that the problem that most people have with Common Core is that they are dealing with the wrong issue. It's not a new idea to have a common core or a cannon of standards of academic disciplines that one learns and then seeks to pass down. That is not the problem. The problem with Common Core is the assessment process.

What the assessment process was designed deliberately to do when they first started using standardized tests on the national level was a means of, first of all, identifying and tracking the more gifted students. Of course, we pointed out that there are problems philosophically even with that whole notion and the standardized test; their goal was to identify and track gifted individuals who would then be shunted aside into special programs.



In other words, they abandoned the idea initially with the thought of creating a public education system that would elevate everyone to the degree that their potential allowed them to be elevated; they were simply looking for gifted people.

What they did is set up this system that was designed to track you, and Common Core now takes this whole standardized testing notion and expands it from kindergarten to the end of high school, and they want to extend it beyond that. The reason is because they want to steer you – we can hear the euphemisms now – "into your life career opportunities" and this entire concept. Eventually, of course, if you decide to do something other than what is indicated on your test scores, they will probably penalize you financially if you decide that you want to do this and not that.

The whole system is a large scheme of extending this idea that the academy is not there to hand down a cultural tradition – be it in literature or the arts or the sciences or what have you – but actually is a licensing bureau. You get your degree, and this is what you're licensed to practice and have authoritative opinions on, and that is the problem.

It's extending the whole notion that we have with the standardized testing business of these tests being nothing but to test your loyalty to certain narratives, and that is the problem.

As far as I'm concerned, not only does Common Core need to go, but also the entire system. I'm in the John Taylor Gatto camp here. The whole system needs to be scrapped. By 'the whole system' I mean teacher certification and the whole nine yards.



I have a PhD from the oldest university in the English-speaking world and I can't teach history to high school because I don't have a teaching certificate. After having observed firsthand the nonsense that is taught in those courses, I would not want to get one. I value my mind and its ability to think critically too much to subject it to that nonsense. So the whole system has to go.

It's just an extension of the academy as a licensing bureau. It's nothing more. Quite frankly, it's fraudulent to call it 'academics' anymore because it isn't; it isn't at all.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. It's so painful to talk to the professors or teachers who are struggling with the system. You see the same thing that you have with healthcare. I've always said that if we wanted the healthcare system to work, we should announce that the Federal government is out completely, including regulation, and we're going to a system of paying cash.

Once we got over the shock, within five years it would be remarkable how well it's working.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: That is exactly the system I grew up with as a little boy. My parents paid cash, and if they couldn't afford it, they worked out a payment plan with the doctor or the dentist. That is exactly how it worked.

C. Austin Fitts: My grandfather used to get paid with chickens and eggs.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: Here is the other thing about Common Core. I have several members on my website who are teachers in the public school system – a man and a woman. They are telling me these nightmare stories of what they have to put up with. You and I both remember at the crowdfund kickoff party, we had a teacher present who retired after a quarter of a century as a teacher, and she said that the reason she did that was because the Common Core was forcing her to use a standardized lesson plan.

If you can imagine imposing a lesson plan on a teacher at a national level without any real direct or human connection with the students in her classroom that she has dealt with on a day-to-day basis, this is complete lunacy. It's also – you'll notice – another centralized solution, and it's another inhuman solution because it's not taking into account the individuals that she has to deal with. That is part of the art and craft of being a teacher.

C. Austin Fitts: If you have a 5G system up and everybody shifts in mind control, including the parents, and they don't behave, their money is turned off. Then you're going to have zombies, and it should work for zombies.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Of course, that is the goal. It's: "We're going to slot you into this life career choice or that life career choice, and if you don't go along, you face a financial penalty." That is the goal. So the whole system, as far as I'm concerned, needs to be scrapped. People have to start standing up.

We're reaching the stage where people are going to have to start standing up and saying, "No. I refuse to go along with this."



C. Austin Fitts: The reason I keep coming back to these points is because I think it's easier to do it once you understand that the end goal is inhuman.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: Once you understand that and, at least we have a group of people in the White House who are against inhuman and are trying imperfectly, as the latest telecom victory will underscore.

I think that if you understand where this is really going, you get your vigorish up much quicker.

One thing I didn't mention – and it crosscuts between politics and economy – is we're coming into another debt limit that is going to have to be dealt with. That is an extra budgetary process. Who knows when the government runs out of money, but we could have trouble as soon as the end of April and anywhere into October, and we see no inclination in Congress to reach the kind of consensus we need to make sure that that is properly taken care of.

I'm curious to know what you think might happen.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: At this point, it's hard for me to guess because it seems there is no interest in Congress in the old gentlemanly art of genuine discussion and compromise. I say that as much about the Republi-thugs as I say it about the Demi-crooks.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: It's ridiculous. If they end blowing up the government, I think there is a certain segment of society that would say, "Good!"

I honestly don't know how to predict that one. I am at a complete loss.

C. Austin Fitts: One thing David Stockman has suggested in certain circumstances that it will solve, is give Trump dictatorial powers to do the equivalent of line-item veto spending. So he could start doing some very creative change inside of all that.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: This discussion about line-item veto has been going on for years, and they could create the crisis of opportunity to finally implement it, which I think is long overdue.

This is another issue that Trump could take right to the American people and say, "This is out of control. Congress is not abiding by the Constitution. I need the authority for line-item veto."

Of course, that is another blow against Mr. Global and this scheme that has gone on in Washington, DC for so long. But it would clean things up in a hurry regardless of who was President.

C. Austin Fitts: The danger is if Trump's budget looks anything like his blueprint. He's proposing to violate the Constitution because he is proposing that we give a \$50+ billion increase to an agency, which is operating in violation of the Constitution on a clear and open basis. Make no mistake; Congress for 20 years has been appropriating to agencies that are refusing to obey the law.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: It's six of one, half a dozen of the other. It's such a swamp of corruption and fraud that there may be no way to fix it, and it just has to blow up. I honestly don't know.

For several years that was my philosophy: The United States is like an alcoholic, and it will have to hit absolute bottom before it is able to pick itself up and correct its ways. That may be the only spiritual course left to us.

C. Austin Fitts: Right, and that is one of the reasons I've been trying to focus everybody locally. This could blow. You don't know which way it's going to go, so we need to be prepared to be successful either way.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: Let's shift to the multipolar world. While the debt growth model is with us, we have lived in a world where the US is basically the global cop. You could love the system or hate the system, but like the Roman Empire it assured a certain amount of order when it came to dealing trade and doing business.

Now we're looking at a world where, if we go out of control, not everybody is going to be superior to the United States. To a certain extent you are going to have a plethora of bullies feeling empowered to try to fill the power vacuum, and that is not a very pretty-looking world.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: I'm glad you mentioned the idea of the United States as the global cop because I think, in part, what we're seeing is the reaction to how bad of a global cop that we have been, particularly since 9/11. If you look right now at the hot spot in the world where I think you are going to see a real test of multi-polarism, that is the Pacific, particularly with North Korea.

I have been maintaining for a couple of years that Mr. Abe's rearmament plan in Japan is because they are seeing the handwriting on the wall that the United States is no longer a trustworthy ally, and after the most recent North Korean incident, I was absolutely floored. I remember a few years ago when North Korea launched some missiles over Japan. I think it was CBS or ABC (I'm up all night, so I was listening to the radio as I was working) that ran a story that said that the Japanese Foreign Minister had said that if North Korea persisted in doing this, it should be warned that Japan can arm itself with nuclear weapons to the teeth in a fortnight.

Basically Japan, like Germany, is a 'turn the screw' nuclear power. Put the parts together, and there it is.

That story ran once, and it was never repeated. Well, the most recent thing that has happened with the current insane acumen in Kim Jongun was the same thing. Japan has stated that if this keeps up, they are going to have to fundamentally consider all the options.



This is interesting because what it means is that North Korea is a destabilizing factor in China's Silk Road plans; it's a destabilizing factor for Russia, which is very concerned about building up its infrastructure in Siberia and developing those resources there; it's a major concern to Japan for obvious reasons; plus the fact that Japan and Russia have recently signed a number of deals for Japanese financing for some of these infrastructure projects.

So it is very interesting that, even though each of those powers has their own distinct national security issues, they could be getting together on a solution for North Korea, and with those three involved, you would not need the United States. This is the real challenge and this is why I said in the first interview that we did last week, that I viewed this whole treatment of Russia and the sanctions issue by Tillerson as a colossal disaster.

If those powers play their national interest card to their mutual benefit, they don't need the United States in the mix. That could be a long-term development that we will come to regret. There are no two ways about this.

C. Austin Fitts: Here is the thing: As long as you have a President who is perceived to be unpredictable, that is exactly what is going to happen. All those folks are going to say, "Well, we're on our own. What deals can we make?"

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Precisely. We saw that with the Onsen summit in Japan between Mr. Abe and Mr. Putin last year during the Christmas season. They inked a number of deals and put aside the whole question of Japanese claims on the Kuril Islands.



So they are moving ahead, like it or not.

The reason I pointed this out is because I think this is the hot spot over the next several months, and it's going to have a huge impact on the Trump Administration foreign policy. But if they don't get their act together, this is going to spill over in Europe, which to a certain extent, it is already doing.

Personally, I think the way he mishandled Chancellor Merkel was bad. Don't anger Germany; the third time is the charm, folks.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: The other problem is, if you're following what is going on in Europe – and I'm a Germany watcher simply because it's the strongest economy there – you find a number of state officials in Germany going to Russia and having meetings with Putin and bypassing Merkel directly. The most recent was the governor of the state of Bavaria where Ms. Merkel has strong influence in her coalition government from the Bavarian Christian Social Union Party.

So these trips are not taking place without her 'behind the shut doors' connivance and participation. So something like this in North Korea could spill over very, very quickly into a complete reassessment in Europe of their security arrangements, of their military arrangements, and of their economic arrangements.

The other thing we need to remember with the multi-polar world is that Russia has recently finished putting the last pieces into place of its own internal financial clearing system.



So with that, and with the Chinese doing the same thing and making these bilateral goals and bullion and currency arrangements, they are putting into place the practical steps for an international financial clearing system that completely bypasses the West.

C. Austin Fitts: The Chinese have been launching satellites that integrate quantum computing.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Exactly.

C. Austin Fitts: To create an alternative system, you need to have one that is completely immune to hacking. It has to be secure.

If the Russians and the Chinese can create secure satellite information systems or cables across Eurasia that can't be cut by the Americans, then you're talking about a serious alternative.

One thing that I wanted to mention is if there is anything that makes me uncomfortable about the Trump Administration, it's the lovefest with Israel. We had seen the Obama Administration burnt enough times by Israel that they had finally decided to part company. Certainly there was space in that alliance, and we're seeing that reversed under Trump. What do you think that means in terms of policy in the Middle East going forward?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Well, on the one hand I'm not terribly concerned for the simple reason that Israel represents a cultural outpost, and at least shares some of our cultural tradition and values – certainly not all of them.



On the other hand, I am very concerned with it for the primary reason that there has been this quiet Israeli-Saudi alliance that has been going on very covertly for quite some time. The Saudis are bad news any way you slice it. They have been behind so much mayhem and malevolence – not only in the region, but also around the world – that any connection with that has to be reassessed.

I'm with you and I view that with some alarm, not total alarm, but with some alarm.

The other flip side of that is both the Saudis and Israelis have been concerned for quite some time about Iran. There was all that war hysteria during the Obama Administration, which thank goodness he resisted the pressure.

I don't say many good things about President Obama, but that was certainly one of them.

That concerns me a great deal, too. Iran is every bit as bad of a regime that Saudi Arabia is, but quite frankly, I don't think that we have done any good in that region. We haven't and it's time to cut them loose and let them fight it out amongst themselves as far as I'm concerned, and they will certainly do that from all indications.

I really do view it with some alarm.

C. Austin Fitts: Let's turn to one of the sections in Geopolitics that we have in News Trends & Stories. It's that the deep state grows more evidence.



If I was the peanut gallery throwing rocks at the current Administration, it happened every time that DynCorp's name came up.

DynCorp is one of the big military corporations. Traditionally it's been the lead contractor at the Department of State. I don't know if you realized this, but in Rex Tillerson's testimony in his confirmation hearing, he was asked, "Are you going to keep DynCorp on as contractor?" because they had just lost a huge contract with State and were in the process of appealing that.

He was taken aback. The question was almost like, "Well, who is DynCorp?"

So DynCorp has been behind a lot of different allegations about all sorts of covert shenanigans, whether it's drugs or sex trafficking.

Trump got very frustrated with the intelligence communities and started talking about a review and re-engineering of the intelligence agencies. Instead of waiting for Dan Coats to get confirmed as National Intelligence Director, he started to talk about the possibility of Steve Feinberg coming in, who owns the venture and the equity capital firm that owns DynCorp.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Oh wow.

C. Austin Fitts: Talk about the swamp, here we go! Coats has finally been confirmed, and hopefully enough of the bureaucracy was able to persuade him that it was a quite dreadful idea.



As an indication that everybody has friends, we see Russia turn around the largest Russian bank and hire the Podesta crew to lobby for it.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Unreal. Breathtaking.

C. Austin Fitts: It is breathtaking.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: This refers to what we said in the first part. The Trump Administration has to get a handle on this out of control national security agency. There has to be a way of asserting some transparency over their doings or some oversight over their doings. Quite frankly, at this stage, I think maybe the solution is that you have to start having some popular oversight in this mix – or at least participating in the discussion on how to fix the problem.

The other big problem that we have in the deep state, as you have pointed out, is that it is very evident by now that there are factions within that national security community.

C. Austin Fitts: Absolutely.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: One faction is going all out with everything that it can to ruin this Administration. Somehow a strategy has to be formed to weed out these factions.

I'll tell you what faction I think is behind this, and I think that in part is the Israeli-Saudi faction within the national security establishment, even though he has turned towards them. That is the faction that has the strongest and closest ties to the neocon and neoliberal groups in the power structure.



C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: That is the problem and I am going to make a very weird prediction here. We've seen certain states in this country begin steps towards the creation of these bullion depository banks. I'm going to go onto the end of the twig as far as speculation here and say that these states are going to do something to try to secure themselves against the national security establishment as well. This is inevitability but it hasn't been talked about yet.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. They need the citizens to support them in doing that, and you need a critical number of legislators who do not pine for the treats at the tapeworm. They need to come to understand that if the system goes inhuman, they're toast anyway. So the treats of the tapeworm will do them no good, and they need to get a critical mass.

One of the reasons I stayed in Tennessee was because, if you look at the membership of the Tennessee Firearms Association, it's greater than 50% of the voting population. And these are the people who consistently turn out to vote.

I also wanted to discuss a little about Mexico because Mexico has taken a brutal hit financially and economically as a result of the Trump campaign and Presidency. Do you think that that relationship is going to go back to something more balanced?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: That is a hard one to call and I honestly don't know. Currently, I would say no because you have such backlash from Mexico.



Of course, Carlos Slim, a Mexican billionaire, owns the New York Times. I don't see that warming up any time soon. Mexico doesn't need to be an enemy and this is what I can't figure out.

If your concern is about immigration, then we have a lot more in common with the Hispanic European culture than we do with most parts of the world. In fact, they represent a certain tradition very solidly within Western culture.

I'm about culture because culture ultimately depends and defines the kind of politics that we have. So we have to do something to fix that relationship, and Mexico has its own domestic problems. It's as corrupt, if not more so, than anything we've seen in Washington, DC. So it's not all on us, in other words, and what I'm saying is it's about a 50/50 split here.

I hope that we don't see the bellicosity towards Mexico that we've seen thus far. I think that is a big mistake. Mexico is the symbol for the whole Latin world, and the way we treat Mexico is going to rebound against us or for us, depending on how that works out in other countries that we cannot afford to lose as friends and allies — Argentina, Chile, Brazil, and so on. We've meddled in their affairs so long that they're sick of it.

We don't need to keep alienating people. We have strong cultural allies, and we need to make use of them.



C. Austin Fitts: The last thing that I wanted to mention in the geopolitical area is, if you look at what has happened in immigration both in North America and in Europe and Asia over the last ten years, and you consider some of the things that could increase immigration and the flow of immigrants, I'm tempted to say that there are many scenarios where we 'ain't seen nothing yet'.

You could be looking at something much greater. If you see the devastation left in the Middle East, you could be experiencing much greater immigration into Europe or much greater pressure for immigration into the United States. So we're seeing a tremendous backlash against it, but the backlash is not the same as leaving expeditiously to create the conditions precedent or massive migration may not happen.

That, of course, leads us to the question of: What in the world is the global spraying, and what in the world are they doing with the weather?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Our foreign policy in the Middle East has been an absolute disaster in this regard. The backlash of the immigration crisis that it has created in Europe, is that we didn't think out anything, as far as I'm concerned. I blame the Bush Administration for that because that whole policy of adventurism was just not very well thought out. Part and parcel of that policy was that you couldn't say anything critical about Islam.

We're in a double bind here. This is why I say to let those people fight it out. Most people don't realize that the division in Islam between Shia and Sunni Muslims is profound and deep and long-standing, and there has been no love lost between those two factions.



I'm all in favor of letting them fight it out amongst themselves, and the rest of us sit on the sidelines and let them do it. As long as we're there, what we're doing is reuniting things. Let it fall apart on its own, as far as I'm concerned.

I'm in agreement with you. I think we could be looking at a much bigger immigration problem than we've seen thus far. I make no apologies. The President does have the authority to regulate immigration, and we need to do it. We need to start taking care of our own people who are here rather than bringing in more who are, by and large, for the most part, poor, uneducated, illiterate, and growing up in a culture where it's okay to rape women and then blame them for it. We don't need that.

It's a form of cultural suicide that I just do not understand. I have no time to lend to people who want to defend this practice and argue otherwise. It's suicidal. We've seen it in Europe. We're beginning to see it here, and this is another area where I think we have to be very careful about Latin America. If there is a part of Western culture that is going to defend itself against that sort of thing, it will be there.

C. Austin Fitts: If anything has driven the success of some of the countries that have practiced it, it has been integration designed to always attract the best and the brightest.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right.



C. Austin Fitts: For example, in the United States for generations now, one of the many blessings of World War II was the talent that poured out of Nazis Europe into the United States. It almost makes you wonder.

We have always been clever about attracting immense talent, whether educated or non-educated, and using it well.

If you read the long-term projections of whether or not the United States is going to do well, all the ones that project that they're going to do well are betting on the talents that would be attracted to immigration. That's what they're betting on.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: But the problem here is that our policy – since we're talking about immigration and Latin America and geopolitics –and let's take the Pacific model scenario that we discussed with North Korea and transfer it and translate it, as it were, to Latin America.

This country has a long history of meddling in the internal affairs of those nations, particularly the major regional powers down there – Argentina, Brazil, Chile, and so on.

Over time, of course, there has built up a tremendous body of resentment – and understandably so. We need to remember that those countries are technologically sophisticated enough that they, too, can become nuclear powers very easily.



Germany sold the complete nuclear fuel cycle to Brazil a couple of decades ago. It was under the chancellorship of Helmut Schmidt as a matter of fact. So they have the technology to become a nuclear power, as does Argentina.

If you put yourself in the position of countries like that and they're watching the unipolar American empire starting to crumble, and looking at how things are developing in the Pacific with respect to North Korea, they are going to be faced with the same choice: Either they defend themselves and not rely on the big brother up north and have him dictate our immigration policies and so on. This is a culture we need to understand that, if ultimately pushed against the wall, it will defend itself.

So in my opinion, we need to reassess our entire foreign policy approach to Latin America – and we've needed to for a long time. It is too important to play around with and it's too important culturally. It's an entire continent like ours that is fundamentally an export of Western European culture. In a certain sense, it's much more aware of that heritage than we are here in this country. So we need to be very, very careful in the way we deal with Latin America.

C. Austin Fitts: I think as far as strategies: I remember the Deputy Assistant Secretary in the Clinton Administration said to me, "Black people are hopeless; we've given up on them. We're moving them out and moving the Hispanics in."

I think part of that strategy was designed to create much stronger ties with Latin America at a greater intimate level.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: It may have been but I don't know how successful it's been. I don't put anything past these people. It may have been a strategy that they were attempting to try.

That only indicates – as far as I'm concerned – their moral bankruptcy to begin with. Why would you give up on black people? That is an entire segment of the population you are basically saying has nothing to contribute and that there is no intellectual creativity there.

C. Austin Fitts: If you look at Hollywood, where do you think they're stealing half of their intellectual capital?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: That's true.

C. Austin Fitts: Let's turn to our third area, Culture. This one I credit you with really helping me understand how absolutely important this was. When a whole model changes, you can't rebuild the model. You have to start with culture; you can't start with autonomy. Autonomy is a constraint. It has to operate in some kind of economic optimization, but it starts with our culture and with our knowledge.

So some of the questions are: With all of the bitterness and frustration and anger that is happening as people push for different options in the model and propose different models and the current model is coming down, how do we nurture and start to build real solutions? If the leadership is primarily going to go insane and war all day long, how do we start? We can't wait for the leaders to find a solution. Their solution, by and large, has been more centralization and more rules, and we can't wait for them.



How do we start to rebuild and nourish the field and start to look for real options to change the transformation?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I'm glad that you asked that because I've been thinking about this a great deal. I think, first of all, you have to identify at your local or regional level those people who are scholarly representatives of our cultural tradition and that can talk at a deep and detailed level about it.

You have Edwin Vieira, the lawyer who you sometimes interview. There are people like that who we need to identify in our region. That is number one. Number two, we need to make contact with them. Start to hold groups and get together with friends. It's important to have people with a similar cultural outlook. Start to get together in informal discussion groups, invite these people to come, and start discussing these things. "What do we do if..."

Review the 'what if' scenarios. Make it a rule that anybody can say anything and it's open discussion.

I think that definitely needs to be done because what you're then doing is sending a signal to those people. You mentioned Stephen Cohen here in your written transcript. You need to start identifying people like that. Let them know that they are valued and that their opinions are worth something. Start creating these matrices of discussion groups.



For people who want to do something, I know that sounds a little bit passive, but in reality if you stop and think about it, the American Revolution was formed out of such groups.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. Exactly.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Unfortunately the French Revolution was formed out of such groups, and that didn't end too well. The same with the European Revolution of 1848.

The point is that they can do something.

C. Austin Fitts: The French made the mistake of trying to attack Moscow.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Well, that was a problem. But the same thing happened with the Wesleys in England. Basically, they had their little group, but what that group actually achieved and accomplished was a massive spiritual awakening that ultimately lead to the decline of the slave trade, and all of the good things that came out of that.

Believe it or not, these types of groups are the matrices in which cultural change can be managed if you can keep away the wackos. That's why I say it's important to identify those people who know the cultural tradition and have a scholarly detailed knowledge of it and know what to defend and what to critique and so on. That cannot be a phenomenon that you or I could sit down and draw up a litmus test of who is acceptable and who is not; that is something that has to emerge organically from these groups themselves.



We certainly don't want to be inviting people like Maximilien Robespierre.

C. Austin Fitts: Many of the greatest scholars of our day have been forced out of the academy.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Exactly.

C. Austin Fitts: So they are available.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: And those are the people you need to seek out and need to get in contact with them. I know exactly what you mean. I'm a victim of that process myself. You need to identify these people and invite them and – I hate to use the word that is so overblown and overused – 'nurture' them in a certain sense. You need to start discussing these things.

You have to inform yourself enough to know basically what it is that you or your associates are looking for in this respect. You might be interested in scientific questions or historical questions or sociological questions or the arts or whatever. So you need to start identifying these people and start talking and start having real conversations. Pull your noses out of your iPads and smartphones and bring donuts and coffee and folding chairs, and sit around and talk.



C. Austin Fitts: Here's the thing. Part of the reason I spend so much time on The Solari Report arguing against the 'divide and conquer' is because the lines are really human versus inhuman and lawful versus lawless. Those are the two issues that have to be understood. Then the question is: How do we make sure it's human? How do we build the constituency for lawfulness? How do we do that?

With all these different 'divide and conquers' that have put people in different boxes, we must be prepared to leave those boxes and start organizing accordingly. "We are for humans, and we are for the law. Now how do we make that happen?"

Dr. Joseph Farrell: The magic word here that will help guide people is 'tradition'. Tradition: if you stop and think about the power of that word and how it sums up what you're talking about, we live in a country that has an innate anti-tradition tradition. We need to start criticizing that and start recognizing some of the failings as well as some of the good things that that has brought about.

We do have a tradition as well in this country, and we need to view it within the wider context of the evolution of Western culture and civilization, which I don't think we are doing a very good job of. But I do think that if people keep that word in mind, they will be guided naturally.

C. Austin Fitts: The other word that I think we need to explore is 'sovereign', and what 'sovereign' means as an individual or a county or a state or a country. We look at all these technologies and the extent to which we are allowing digital means to destroy our individual and collective sovereignty, and how we reverse that.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: Exactly.

C. Austin Fitts: Let's move to Science & Technology. There is a great deal that we could cover, but I want to focus on a few things. One of them is this idea that all of our digital systems have zero integrity. I keep trying to communicate this, and I'm not sure if it's made it through all the way.

I have to say that the President, not being able to phone a world leader without it being leaked to the press and his frustration to that situation, has been extremely helpful.

I know you have also talked much about the scientific process and peer review and academy not having integrity.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: No, they don't.

C. Austin Fitts: We're watching – whether it's the science or the technology – a significant debasement in terms of what is the truth and also how it is applied. So it's both in establishing what is true and what is not, and then how science and technology are applied.

It comes back to these fundamental issues of human and lawful. I know my frustration with the debasement in science and technology, which I think of as completely off the charts, but I look at where things are and I know that it has the potential to get much, much worse.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: It's happening before our eyes. Not only are these systems unsecure but also the President can't make a phone call that doesn't get leaked, and, of course, this has to come from leakers. This is the bottom line here.

The other part of the problem, since you're mentioning science and peer review and the broader culture, is we have a clear example of how massive government funding of big science projects is actually harming the scientific process. This is because you have an adherence to an orthodoxy, and in order to maintain the orthodoxy you fund certain projects, you publish certain articles in scientific journals, and others never get seen or retracted at the last minute. This ultimately shortchanges the scientific project.

So let's go back to my discussion groups. One thing that you will notice about these types of groups throughout history is sooner or later they decide to start publishing informal journals. Those have been enormously influential – particularly in the sciences over the years.

The other thing that we have to bear in mind is that these narratives get reinforced. What we talked about earlier with regard to Common Core reinforces them. This is the idea that your degree now is viewed as a license to practice. So what we have increasingly, are people thinking that they are not allowed to have opinions on certain disciplines that they don't know anything about.

In other words, particularly in the sciences, we have become subject to a huge scheme where arguments from authority close the deal.



So if you're a famous scientists and have your PhD in theoretical molecular biology or, what have you, and you are asked to make an opinion and close the argument on a national television show. Thus we have to get people out of the habit and mind that just because you have a PhD behind your name doesn't allow you to direct the opinions of others. Everybody is allowed to have an opinion about everything.

That does not mean that you need to spend time debating the flat earth theory with flat earthers. I get them all the time asking me to comment, and that is like arguing with people who think that 2+2=5 and I just don't bother.

But we do have the right to have an opinion about everything and we do not have to be licensed to have an opinion. It's time for people to start challenging narratives, even from the famous scientists who fill the blackboard with indecipherable equations and so on. We have to get back to this mentality, and the only way – ultimately – that that will succeed is to get back to the idea of education as a tradition and a broad tradition. It's not a licensure to practice a certain thing and speak authoritatively about it.

Once we get that tradition restored, then, yes, the idea of speaking authoritatively about a particular discipline that you profess will be restored, but we don't see that now. So we have a huge cultural task ahead of us.

I'm with you; I don't think people fully understand the depth of what we're getting at here, but it is absolutely crucial.



C. Austin Fitts: One of the most exciting things I've seen in the first quarter – and really inspiring and hopeful –is where, you look at all the different places where reality is busting through the official reality barrier, no place is it punched through in the last few months the way it has punched through in vaccines. Part of it is that you have a couple of unbelievably talented, passionate, hardworking people who just never give up.

I don't know if you saw Robert Kennedy's incredible presentation, including the facts, which I hadn't known, that the CDC makes money from its vaccine patents, and it's big money. So the more people who get certain kinds of shots, the more money they make.

They are on the Sheriff of Nottingham model like the Department of Justice.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Exactly.

C. Austin Fitts: We've seen Robert DeNiro, we've seen Andrew Wakefield, and we've seen Del Bigtree and *Vaxxed*. We have the *Vaxxed* bus driving around the country recording case study after case study after case study.

We had a case study on Solari about parents who had triplets, and they went in the morning and received shots. By the end of the day, all three kids were autistic.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes.



C. Austin Fitts: The doctors tried to tell them that it was genetic. The doctor threw them out of his practice because they wouldn't get more vaccines for their autistic kids.

It's so compelling. I think we've really seen a push-through, and they can't get the genie back in the bottle on this.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: No, they can't.

Let's do some big scenario connecting here for people. Let's talk about vaccines, relaxation of rules, Elon Musk and his AI chip, and body work.

Elon Musk has recently made an argument that we all need to get chipped. I can't fathom anybody being this self-contradictory, and quite frankly, just stupid. He's arguing that we should all be chipped. He is starting a company, and his argument for us doing this is that in order for us to keep up with the potential of AI, we're going to have to be chipped and do so in order to keep up with machines.

A few years ago – maybe it was last year or a year and a half ago – he was saying, "The danger of AI is that we could create something that would allow something demonic to come into existence or be gated or transduced into existence through that kind of network."

So which is it, Elon? Are we going to get chipped to have a demonic influence, or are we getting chipped to keep up with the demonic influence? Either way, it seems like a self-defeating enterprise.



Here is the problem: In following this vaccine story, I put up a number of blogs on my website that they are now developing vaccines that allow them to transmit the vaccine without your knowledge or consent or anyone else's knowledge or consent through normal human contact like sneezes.

In other words, you take their vaccine, you sneeze, and whoever inhales your sneeze gets vaccinated with whatever they want to vaccinate you against. Add to this the fact that they are now talking about relaxing the rules for voluntary consent for human trials of these vaccines. Their reasoning is that everybody knows that they are safe.

Add that to the mix that they can vaccinate you now without you actually having to get the needle stuck in your arm, and they are planning to relax the rules so that you don't even have to give your personal consent anymore. Then let's add one final thing into the mix, and we mentioned this earlier. The son of Senator Ted Kennedy, who is a state senator in Connecticut, wants to pass a law that the state owns your organs, and you have to opt out of that.

So they are asserting total control over your body.

C. Austin Fitts: And your intellectual concept.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Precisely.

C. Austin Fitts: You're bringing information into the cloud, and they can proceed to integrate that with the data beast.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: Exactly: this is the inhuman agenda. Folks, this is not only inhuman, but it is antihuman. It is against each and every one of us listening to this program, and they need to be told, "No."

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Pure and simple. "I'm not getting chipped."

There are some warnings in some ancient writings about that.

C. Austin Fitts: We have two ways to go. If we tear up the Constitution, it's going to be very difficult to stop this. You have to enforce the Constitution. You have to back them up on the money now because ultimately this is going to come down to power and money, and you have to get the money part of the equation aligned with the legal part.

I hate to beat the drum I've been beating for 20 years, but you have to get the money back.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Exactly.

C. Austin Fitts: You can.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: You just have to hold these people accountable.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: Sooner or later, if they are not stopped, it is going to come to open warfare. That is the problem.

C. Austin Fitts: I think one thing – if they're not doing it already – is if you review the study, I think they are getting close to integrating vaccines in the global spraying.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I do, too.

C. Austin Fitts: That technology also very much dovetails what they can do with the 5G network.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: This is coming down to: Who controls your body – you or them? And who controls your mind?

So let's turn to the Unanswered Questions. Certainly you and I have talked enough about the mysterious government system and how it all works. That is why I keep telling people that if you haven't listened to Joseph's presentation from the Secret Space Program conferences, you really need to listen to them. You do a marvelous job of talking about what is happening in the financial model and the government model that would indicate that we are in fact, financing a separate culture or world or off-planet – whatever. We are certainly financing another civilization as well as the Breakaway Civilization among the humans.



We've seen a couple of things develop during this first quarter that informs our conversation – one being that Trump has not put the \$11 trillion back in the budget. It indicates that, for somebody who is as fearless as he acts, there are limits to his fearlessness.

But we've had more activity going on in Antarctica.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Oh boy!

C. Austin Fitts: It raises the question: What in the world is going on in Antarctica?

You had a number of stories in the first quarter to contemplate this. Any new thought on what in the world is going on in Antarctica?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I'll tell you one thing that is truly bizarre. You have a link listed, "Antarctica NASA images reveal traces of ancient human settlement." I actually used that story and blogged about it. Then someone sent me an email where the same picture was used a couple of years ago to say that radar tomography had found a city under the deserts of Libya and Egypt.

I thought about that for a moment, and wondered, "Wow! What is going on here? They're telling us they've taken pictures of something somewhere, but exactly where? And why are they taking radar tomography pictures at all?"



This is always my approach when I take these types of stories because I'm intensely skeptical. I have to be honest with you. I'm intensely skeptical of any story, including photographs of things anywhere – on Mars, on the moon, on Antarctica. But what is coming out of this is something very bizarre because that was not the only story where they're alleging to be finding things in Antarctica. There are supposedly pictures of pyramids and so on.

You've mentioned in the story of Antarctica being a balmy 63.5° Fahrenheit, plus the fact that you have the Russian Baltic Sea fleet going there again, and had all these strange visitors. The bottom line is they have found something there, and my best guess is they've found either one of two things: They've either found some evidence of civilization that existed on that continent before it became covered in ice – and I've said all along that if there is one place on Earth where you do have a lost continent of Atlantis buried underwater, that is Antarctica.

They've either found something indicating a civilization – perhaps of a technological nature – or, and it could be both, they have found another meteorite. Antarctica, for some reason, seems to attract meteorites from Mars, of all places. This is going to sound wild and wooly, but there are people who speculate that the Earth's rotational axis shifted rather dramatically and suddenly in the midst of free history. They don't know exactly why, but if that is the case, it could be that Antarctica at one point was a relatively temporal continent that suddenly got shifted to the South Pole for whatever reason.



If that is the case, then they may have been hit by meteoric bombardment from Mars. If you recall, during the Clinton Administration, he gave a press conference where he came out and said that they had found a meteorite in Antarctica that apparently had come from Mars, and there appeared to be little microbial fossils in it.

This is all speculation, but there has to be something drawing the likes of the Secretary of State, an Apollo astronaut, the Patriarch of Moscow, not to mention Rudolf Hess and Hermann Goering decades ago, sponsorship of the Nazi expedition, that is drawing these people there. What if they found a meteorite that contained portions of something artificial – something manufactured by a technology? And what if they were able to trace that meteorite to Mars?

It has to be something sensational that is attracting all these people there – be it cities sunk under the ice, be it pyramids that some people claim exist there, be it meteorites containing something. There has to be something enormous.

They are quietly circulating the news amongst themselves. What it may be, I don't know but that is my best guess.

C. Austin Fitts: There is something else, also. You have what are hundreds of billions of dollars, if not trillions or more being spent on a global spraying program.



That global spraying program clearly has multiple agendas and multiple things going on, including weather control. That is happening at the same time that the poles are supposedly melting.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: One question I have is: Are we melting them for a reason?

I will say that, if you study the corporate documentation and presentations on the natural resources to be had at both poles as a result of the melting, maybe we have a lot of corporate interest licking their chops to take advantage of that. That is part of the back and forth about Russia.

One of the reasons the leader of Exxon wants to get along with Russia is because that is a very big play.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Exactly. We find Russia with the interest of developing the Antarctic.

It's interesting that Putin recently went to the Arctic and said, "Well, there is global climate warming, but it has nothing to do with mankind."

Well, is somebody else terraforming the earth then? Do you know what the story is?



Since you mentioned weather modification, let me just crawl way out onto the end of the limb of speculation and say that the thought occurred to me that if you suspect there is something truly significant under all that ice, how do you get to it? Well, you melt it and that's the easiest way to do it. Lo and behold, Antarctica is 63°? Antarctica? That is rather balmy and that is shorts and t-shirt wearing weather, folks.

C. Austin Fitts: You know the old expression, "We'll smoke them out." Maybe they're just going to 'melt them out'.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I don't know, but something big is going on there. I wouldn't even put that scenario – loony as it sounds – past them because you're the one who pointed out that Lockheed is in Antarctica. What are they doing there?

C. Austin Fitts: Right. This is the one that had me floored. I took your wonderful post on Antarctica, and I added to it.

I wrote a post last year that said, "Lockheed cuts and runs," because, right before it was revealed that the \$6.5 trillion had disappeared in 2015, Lockheed spun its IT division – which presumably has all of those contracts –into a company that SAIC had spun into. So everybody is taking their liabilities for \$11.5 trillion of missing money and spinning those companies out so those liabilities are not on their balance sheet.



The company in question, Leidos, suddenly turns up with big contracts in Antarctica. I said, "Wait a minute. \$11.5 trillion disappears to these entities, and suddenly the entities that reinvented together and remade are in Antarctica? This can't be an accident."

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Let me crawl out onto the twig of speculation once again. I have speculated about that, too. Why are they there?

The first thing that I can think of is it has to have something to do with financial clearing, and they need a place where they can possibly spend a lot of money off-world for whatever reason without much oversight from anybody else, and that is a perfect place to do it.

Speculation number two is: Why would Lockheed, of all people, or SAIC for that matter, be involved with companies in Antarctica? Answer: If you were developing some super-secret stuff, the one place on Earth that you could do it relatively securely would be Antarctica. You would have to have nearly 24/7 polar orbital satellite coverage to see anything happening on the surface there. Even then, they are very, very careful about releasing Google images of Antarctica.

So, yes, something is going on there.

C. Austin Fitts: I'm going to give you a little gossipy tidbit from my deep history. Are you ready for this?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Okay.



C. Austin Fitts: It's 1997 or early 1998 and I'm in the middle of a huge food fight on the litigation. Suddenly, a senior executive at SAIC tries to get me to go with him to their annual meeting and spend a day with SAIC and a big dinner with all their Board, etc.

I went, and the executive was a pretty cool guy. SAIC at the time was the leading defense contractor with geographic information systems. Remember, I owned Community Wizard.

He has to fly back to California and I am left with a group of guys who were so bizarre and so weird that I thought, "I don't care how insulting it is, I'm not staying for dinner," and I left.

Shortly after that, they seized the company. I knew what was going to happen: They were going to try to buy the company, and I said, "I'm not selling Community Wizard to these guys." So guess what? They stole it.

I saw that whole world and that entire crowd that night, and this is why I tell you that we can't let the inhuman happen.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: Whatever the disadvantage is for the human thing, certainly for me there were enormous financial benefits of working for the inhuman thing, but there is no amount of money that could make it worth it. I'm telling people that it's absolutely not fun.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: No argument from me.



C. Austin Fitts: The last section is Inspiration.

I wanted to find out from you, of all the things that inspired you in the first quarter, what inspired you the most?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I'll be candid with you, and until I saw your outline, I was struggling to find out something in the news that did. When I read this article about fellow Texans coming to the rescue after the fire devastates the panhandle, that inspired me the most. You and I discussed this earlier. You mentioned to me the fact that this effort has received no attention from the national corporate controlled 'lamestream' media.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. It's so amazing to see the drivel and the irrelevancy and all the stuff that is pouring out of the shriek-o-meter, and there you have all these ranchers and livestock and a million acres having this huge fire, and dealing with this, and not a word. It's like it doesn't exist.

A million acres burned, and the livestock, and the ranches, and the businesses – this is enormous!

Dr. Joseph Farrell: The thing that got me about that which was inspiring, was the fact that the mainstream corporate-controlled media didn't cover it. If you have a group of people banding together to solve a problem without the involvement of the state authorities or of the local authorities and going out and doing it, that is why they didn't cover it.



C. Austin Fitts: It's not only a few people; we're talking about thousands of volunteer firefighters and the sheriffs' office and the ranchers. Everybody was working together.

One of the ranchers wrote, "The Popsicle Index is still 100% in the panhandle."

Dr. Joseph Farrell: That's why they didn't cover it. This was not a centralized government FEMA solution to a problem; this was people saying, "Forget about the government. Forget about the emergency declarations from the Governor or the President or what have you." They completely went out and did it.

We were talking earlier about when you and I were in elementary and junior high school, we learned about the old barn-raising parties that people on the frontier in the 19th century would have. They would get together and build a barn for a farmer in the area. Everybody would bring a potluck dinner. The men would put up the barn, the women would cook the dinner, and the children would play. At the end of the day, you had a barn.

That was a local solution with everybody chipping in, buying the materials and so forth. That was the local solution to a local problem – totally and utterly and completely bypassing any government. This is what they've done in the panhandle. That's why it's not on the news, and that is why it is significant.



That spirit is still there, and it shows that people can get together and do things locally, and they don't need a permit or a license or anything of the sort; they just go out and do it.

I can see it now: The government will come along and try to present, "Oh, you broke this regulation and that regulation, and you're in violation of this and that." I can only imagine the reaction of those Texas ranchers.

C. Austin Fitts: Here's why they can do it: The sheriffs are in full support and involved. A sheriff can overrule the Federal government, so the sheriffs are protecting.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: That is perhaps something to discuss with your friend, Edwin Vieira What is the Constitutional role of the sheriff? I don't think most Americans have any understanding of how powerful and essential that office is.

C. Austin Fitts: We have a great Solari Report with Sheriff Mack called 'Who's Your Sheriff?', which reviews that. It's in the archives, and has a transcript. I truly recommend it to everyone if you haven't read it. You need to learn about that.

The other thing that I wanted to mention – and I'll bring it up because I started it and I'm pushy – is last year you gave me permission to start a crowdfund for a virtual pipe organ. When I did it, one reason I wanted to was because you had persuaded me that what we really needed to do was preserve and nourish our culture. Of course, being an investment banker, my second question was: What is my action?



So I kept peppering you with questions, "What about this? What about that?"

You exclaimed, "God, no!"

So I came up with the idea of the pipe organ and it surged. Some things just go.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Oh, boy did it! I'm shocked!

C. Austin Fitts: It was unbelievable! Here is the thing: There is something in the field that wants to happen, and it's happening.

The first thing is that we all started learning about pipe organs, and learning about Bach and organ music. Then we started thinking about how to become people who, instead of operating on one track, operate on 26 tracks.

Learning about pipe organs and spending more time learning about Bach- I've always listened to Bach- but I never really studied Bach. In trying to learn more about Bach, I started to understand how music really could help me deal with the complexity and the uncertainty and shift into a multipolar world.

It has become unbelievably helpful, so I now have a rule. I need special permission from myself to listen to something other than Bach, Vivaldi, or Italian opera. If I listen to the drumbeat, I'm lulling my mental capacity.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: It is a multi-track music. We have been so dumbed down in our musical listening habits over the last 50 years, with certain pleasant exceptions in popular music. In my view, popular music, in a certain sense, carries on the tradition of so-called 'modern classical' music that has abandoned the attempt long ago. But it is a multi-track musical world.

C. Austin Fitts: So, the campaign was terribly depressing, especially when I thought Clinton might win. What I saw happening was that the crowdfund was something very joyous for many of the people involved who were working on it.

We got so busy on the crowdfund that I said, "I can't worry about the election now; I've got to do this thing on Bach."

What I saw was the field act. We had people who were part of networks; there was Giza Death Star for your members; members of Solari and different networks; and networks all over the world; but they share a common desire for a human civilization, and a common desire for positive action and things working. They seemed to somehow come together in the field and it was the field.

It was a type of consciousness and it was like a non-local version of what is going on in the panhandle. That is the only way that I could describe it.

You almost felt like there were invisible forces on the other side who were saying, "Wait, we have some compositions that need to be delivered, so we need this guy to practice and get on top of the organ so he can deliver them. Get it together, everybody!"



Dr. Joseph Farrell: It's peculiar that you mentioned that because I've been thinking after so many years of not having access to the organ: Why does it come back now? There has to be a reason for it that will reveal itself eventually.

The interesting thing about that music – and I've said this so many times – is that I grew up with it. I was a quintessential nerd. Other kids were listening to the Beatles, and I was listening to Bach.

It's a multi-track music, and it does something very peculiar once you get into the habit of mind of listening to it. It really rewires the brain. When playing the organ, you're constantly busy thinking about something. It rewires the brain in order to think on several tracks and have it all make harmonious sense. That is the other trick.

It's something that is so missing from our contemporary culture and art and music and literature. Bach is similar to Ayn Rand. She writes this huge multi-level structure that every little detail plugs into something else, and we have lost the ability to do that. I think that is one reason people are so overwhelmed.

I tell them, "Go out and try to train yourself to listen to this music and recognize patterns and all their permutations. It will definitely help in other ways that you can't even imagine without it."



C. Austin Fitts: I feel like Bach had such a tragic life in many ways, but you have the feeling that he is saying, "Wait a minute. It could all work. We could have a much higher civilization with a much higher mind. I'm not going to try to explain that to you; I'm just going to paint a picture with the music and let you feel it and be in it and see it and understand that this could really be. Here it is. All you have to do is just say yes and come on in."

Dr. Joseph Farrell: It's interesting that you say that, too. I recently did a couple of webinars on culture on my website, and that is the conclusion that I came to with his music. He's just presenting a vision of something rather than trying to argue to it.

It is profoundly complex music any way you slice it.

C. Austin Fitts: And happy.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes. Ultimately it is very happy music. Even when he is on the downers, it is still somehow happy.

C. Austin Fitts: Well, I didn't realize until I saw the manuscripts and the way he writes the notes, it's as if he has this little pick-up at the end.

I guess what we're saying – whether it's the panhandle or the crowdfund – is when good people get together and say, "You know something? You don't need the treats of the tapeworm. You don't need to participate in anything evil. We just need to get together and get something wonderful done."



Dr. Joseph Farrell: When you leave it behind, you are no longer passive. You are no longer a victim. You are no longer overwhelmed by everything. You just forget about it and move on.

It's Luther's old adage, "The end of the world is tomorrow, so today I'm going to be busy planting a tree."

It's that attitude, and we need to start thinking in those terms. That is why I was suggesting getting together in discussion groups and have friends over. Talk about things, and you will soon find whom you have things in common with and whom you don't, and everyone goes their own merry way.

We're not having conversations anymore, and that is half the battle.

C. Austin Fitts: All solutions require transparency and it starts with you and me bringing transparency. I think, whether it's the great material on Giza Death Star or the great material from Solari, one thing that we can encourage people to do is use those materials to help them have those discussions.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: We'll do some of the heavy lifting for you. I can be the bad guy and say, "Entrainment is happening."

I'll never forget a wonderful subscriber getting frustrating with one of his relatives who had bought an overly expensive car.



I said, "Did you warn them about the entrainment?"

He said, "No."

I said, "Don't blame them; blame yourself. They don't know that it exists, and if they don't know that it exists, they're going to get tricked."

Of course, this person hadn't wanted to bring it up because he didn't want everyone to think that he was crazy. In fact, everybody got hurt as a result. So think of this as good, old-fashioned risk management. We have to find a way to help people protect themselves.

It's been a great conversation. I'm always inspired by your work and I recently sent someone a couple of wonderful books from my collection because I had two of them. Somehow I got into this idea of preordering, and I acquired two or three, so I sent some of my extras out.

I dare not ask when to expect the next one because I'm always hungry.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: It's been difficult for me the past few months because every time I sit down to write, it's been crazy. It's been one distraction after another.



I'm hoping around June to start writing. I may even start writing on something this weekend, and I'm hoping to have it out by the end of the year. I'm debating whether or not to go the standard publisher route, or to put it up as a self-published book on Lulu or similar – or maybe even Amazon, although I hate the prospect of that.

I'm really toying with the idea of doing this next one as a selfpublished book because I'm tired of doing all the work and having the publishers make all the money.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. Go to Giza Death Star, and if you haven't read them all, acquire them all.

If you review our books for the year, we are always recommending one of your books because they are wonderful books. They are always timely no matter what the topic.

So, go to Giza Death Star and get some books, and if you're a member, you can see the vidchats.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Members get the videhats and the webinars as well.

C. Austin Fitts: The videhats are priceless.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes, they are. They are my social life.



C. Austin Fitts: It's been a great discussion. You have a wonderful, wonderful evening and I know I will be talking to you soon.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Thank you for having me back, Catherine.

MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.