




The Solari Report

January 12, 2017

The Annual Wrap Up - News Trends & Stories Part II





Annual Wrap Up – News Trends & Stories Part II with Joseph Farrell

C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome back to Part Two of News Trends & Stories with Dr. Joseph Farrell. We had a great conversation last week on Economy & Financial Markets, Geopolitics, and Culture. There was a great deal to cover.

This week we are talking about Science & Technology, and the Unanswered Questions of 2017. You know we have much to discuss here. Then finally, we're going to talk about Inspiration – where do we get our inspiration?

Joseph, welcome back to The Solari Report. Thank you again so much for doing this. It's one of our highlights of every Wrap Up – the ability to chew through all the most important stories with you once a quarter and then, of course, once a year.

I have to tell you that a lot happened this year.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes it did! Thank you for having me back, Catherine.



C. Austin Fitts: There were two things that struck me about Science & Technology in 2016 and going into 2017. On one hand, you have tremendous dazzling new developments in many, many different areas. On the other hand, there are serious and growing questions of integrity. We even see very famous scientists or scientific journalists coming out and warning about junk science.

Integrity is a real issue, and when you have technology rapidly changing combined with real integrity issues, I think there is more than a little cause for concern. This ties into what we were talking about last week, that without a strong culture that can handle the kind of power and ethical issues that we're facing, how in the world are we going to navigate?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Well, the fundamental issue with this whole junk science meme and we can take the GMO issue as an example. When GMOs began to be promoted, it was the agribusiness companies that began to do this. They used the principle of so-called 'substantial equivalence'. It looks like corn and tastes like corn, but it's genetically modified.

Well, they use that principle to ram through the acceptance of GMOs and assure the public of the safety and that they've done all the science. Now we find out, of course, that the science is wanting. We've seen similar things happen with the global warming theme, which has now been replaced with climate change, which is one of the most stupid labels I think you can come up with. It's a tautology. Of course, the climate changes; it's always changing.



The problem, Catherine, is so fundamental. I'm glad that scientists are speaking up about it because it's really an epistemological problem. How can you trust science when you have a corporate bias or even a government bias? What this does is calling into question the integrity of the scientific process itself. This is an aspect of what we talked about last week with the corporate media really shooting itself in the foot during the last election. Now they say they're going to clean up their act, but would anybody trust them?

The government is in the same position; they've fed us so many lies over the years. If they suddenly came out and told the truth, would anybody trust them? This is the problem.

The only way that this can be addressed is to restore the culture – to restore the idea of virtue to the culture. I'm one of those who doesn't even like the term 'values' because 'values' is a wonderfully relative Hegelian term. I prefer to talk using terms of virtue, honesty, integrity, and all of those good things. This is what has to be restored, and that is a cultural phenomenon.

Science, whether we like it or not, even the hard sciences like physics or chemistry, have a dependency on some cultural assumptions like we talked about last week. So culture is at the heart of the issue, even in science. I'm glad that scientists are beginning to point out these things and to question some of these things. But I see another problem with the way that they're going about this, and that is the idea that some of the sciences have become so complex that they argue, "You're simply not a physicist so you can't have any opinions about it."



This is the trend I see emerging, and I think it is a dangerous one because essentially they are saying that they are somewhat of a “priesthood” and that the layman or the amateur can critically analyze none of their assumptions . In fact, if you look at some of the technology that we’re going to be talking about, amateur scientists are inventing some of these. In other words, they’re not credentialed, and they don’t have any tenure-track position at a major university.

I think there is a danger in this that also has to be scrutinized very, very carefully. We’ve seen, even to the extent of stories in the last year, and I’ve blogged about this a couple of times, where papers were presented to respectable scientific journals that were complete gobbledygook. They had been composed on a computer, and they said absolutely nothing, but they said it in high sophisticated sounding language, and they were accepted for publication.

C. Austin Fitts: Oh my God!

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes! It was subsequently revealed that these papers were totally meaningless. The peer review process, in other words, has broken down to a certain extent.

Science itself is undergoing the same cultural phenomenon that we’ve seen going on elsewhere. It’s a result of the bastardization of culture. I can’t imagine for the life of me an Isaac Newton or a René Descartes or a Gottfried Leibniz coming out and saying, “Well, I’m a scientist. I’m a mathematician. You have to believe me and take me at my word.” They would have been laughed out of court had they said such a thing. The other thing is: They never would have said it.



So we've got to get rid of this idea that there is a priestly padre of science protecting their orthodoxies, which what it is becoming in some cases. So it's a culture phenomenon, Catherine. We're right back to the fundamental central issue.

C. Austin Fitts: You're seeing in the financial area where cyber security is so important, or in the governmental intelligence area, and also in what is called 'the internet of things'. You're seeing multiple areas in our society where the plan over the next five years is to do everything digitally. Yet at the same time, we're seeing the same exact players in business and government and the financial community say, "Cyber security problems are getting worse every day, and we're going to have a digital World War III."

I've been asking this for many years, but I continue to ask the question, "Why are we trying to get more dependent on these systems if they have no integrity?"

Dr. Joseph Farrell: This is the collapse of rationality among Mr. Global because, on the one hand they're telling us that our systems are not secure and we're being hacked, etc. At the same time, out of the other corner of their mouth they're saying, "We need to move to this totally digital world."

You know me; I'm extremely suspicious of that initiative, not only to move entirely digital financially, which I think would be a disaster, but also I'm old fashioned. I think there has to be a physical medium of exchange of some sort, whatever it may be.



The other problem – and I don't know how to put this – is that they are trying to digitalize the culture itself. This is my big problem with it. We cannot move our books and trust them to corporations particularly. We cannot move our books, our art, our philosophy, our science entirely to the digital world.

I tell my readers over and over again that the only version of my books that I regard as a canonical text is the actual hard copy because that is the text I wrote; that is the text I intended. A corporation can go to those e-books, if they had the mind to, and modify text. Trust me, it will come.

Let's look at music, for example. We have this political correctness movement going on now. So do we take all the offending passages out of Bach or Mozart or Beethoven in the name of political correctness? Do we go in and fundamentally alter the artistic creation itself? That is what is at stake. So I think people have to be extremely skeptical – financially – of these moves to cashless digital transactions.

You put in your article that the Russian central bank had a heist of over \$8 million bitcoin wallets. This was a technology that we were assured was uncrackable.

C. Austin Fitts: There were two articles. One is the Russian central bank heist, and the \$8 million bitcoin wallets is a different article.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: It's a different article. I'm sorry. I misunderstood that.



C. Austin Fitts: The Russian central bank was pure rubles.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: The point still remains that if they can hack into bitcoin, which is supposedly this secure, trustworthy system, then nothing is secure. My issue is that even if corporations were not inclined to go in and alter texts that they didn't like or take out passages that they didn't like, somebody else could.

So we have to get it out of our head that these systems are secure; they are not, as you pointed out and have been saying for a long time. I have to give you kudos, Catherine, because you are one of the few people who I know of who is pointing out these things. We can't afford to turn over our financial sovereignty and our creative sovereignty to a system that is fundamentally full of holes. It just doesn't work. If you don't believe me, just go back and think of the Sony hack. This was not only a financial disaster for Sony, but it was also a disaster pertaining to their creativity because now who wants to do business with them in the creative sphere?

The same thing holds true for getting your movies off Netflix and the cloud and so on. You know me and you've been there. I buy the DVD because I want to see the movie, and I don't want anybody altering it at a later date.

C. Austin Fitts: Fluid copy is not what you are interested in.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: No.



C. Austin Fitts: Let's turn to health freedom because health freedom is the area that really frustrates me the most. I'll start with vaccines. Before we talk about the team who did *Vaxxed* – and I'll give it away today – we have a 'Movie of the Year' and a 'Documentary of the Year' and *Vaxxed* won Documentary of the Year for 2016 on The Solari Report.

I don't know if you've had the opportunity to see it, but it's quite extraordinary. After the *Vaxxed* team finished the documentary and published it, they have been travelling the country. They're doing more interviews and collecting more stories on vaccinations. I put one on Solari about a family, the McDowells, who had triplets. The mother took the triplets in to get vaccinated, and all three of them came down with autism almost immediately after getting the vaccine.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I can believe it.

C. Austin Fitts: It's an extraordinary story. Their doctor fired them because they wouldn't continue to give the children more vaccines – if you can believe that.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I can.

C. Austin Fitts: Anyway, watch the story.

What I don't understand is if you see the latest polls, Joseph, the number of people who know the danger of vaccinations and heavy schedules of vaccinations is still remarkably small. One thing I deal with at The Solari Report is something we put on Solari in 2016 where



one of our subscribers said, “I can’t persuade my children not to vaccinate my grandchildren, and I can’t get them to understand the dangers of this.”

So we did a review of all the material. We came back and said, “Here is the best material to share with them.” Still they are walking into tremendous problems with people who they love with children around them – not necessarily their children, but neighbors’ children or grandchildren, and they can’t get through, and this is happening throughout our subscriber base. The evidence of the dangers of the vaccines is so compelling.

We finally had a breakthrough in 2016 because at the debates Trump brought up the fact that if you look at what had happened to this beautiful child that he knew – boom, boom, boom – suddenly heavy schedules of vaccines were dangerous and the child is now autistic. It took tremendous courage to do that. It was the first thing that Trump did that got me to start taking him seriously as a candidate.

I remember Dr. Andrew Wakefield, who is highlighted in *Vaxxed*, had spoken personally with Trump and taken the position that this is the only candidate we have any hope of getting any reversals of these vaccines.

What is happening with vaccines? Why does Mr. Global want to destroy the population with this? We’re talking about things that are very physically dangerous and do not necessarily to do the things – in many cases – that we are told they do. What is going on here?



Dr. Joseph Farrell: That opens up such a huge Pandora's Box of high-octane speculation. I'll tell you that I think there are several agendas here. I'm old enough, and you're old enough and probably many of our listeners are old enough, to remember when doctors would not, and steadfastly refused to vaccinate young infants and children with these large vaccine cocktails that they're giving out now. They refused precisely for the reason that the immune system of an infant or a child isn't sufficiently developed to ward off these negative effects.

I remember this very vividly. I remember my parents debating whether or not to give me the polio vaccine. Back then, we had a choice. This really is the heart of the issue – sovereignty over your own body or your children, to watch out for them.

I did a blog recently on my website about a study that had been submitted to a medical journal that was compiled by various doctors around the world. Some were from India and the study was based on the stories of mothers of children who had received these big vaccine cocktails that they're dishing out now and who had, subsequently, developed various symptoms on the autism spectrum. Some of them were mild, and some were very severe.

They collected all these stories and submitted this paper as proof that there were very, very serious effects to these vaccines that needed to be examined. The paper was initially accepted for publication and then subsequently withdrawn after pressure had been put on the journal by, of course, big pharma.



I pointed out in the blog that what this did was short-circuited the entire diagnostic process, as we have known it in medicine. Why? Because when you see a doctor, he or she relies on the patient's descriptions of what they have observed, what they are feeling, what they are experiencing – either with themselves or with parents in the case of their children. This is part of the diagnostic process, and this is how doctors determine what sort of therapeutic means they want to employ with their patients.

For a paper to be rejected because this same sort of anecdotal evidence was presented en masse is a clue to how completely the scientific process is being short-circuited. We're back to culture. We're back to integrity again. We're dealing with a medical system and a scientific process that has no integrity because the corporations have gained such control over the supposedly independent government outlets and so on. So that's problem number one.

Problem number two, as far as I can see, Catherine, is I think that this idea to remove your medical sovereignty from you, the individual – and this has always been the big danger of Obamacare – disguises yet another problem because they can put almost anything in those vaccines and not tell you. I don't put it past them to do this. They have deliberately lied and covered up the autism link to vaccines. They are telling us that the little bit of mercury in the vaccine isn't going to hurt you, and on and on it goes.

The real danger that I see in this, Catherine, is that they could be planning to slip something else in those vaccines, be it a microchip of some sort that you're not told is there.



I've already heard stories that this is being done in places without people's knowledge or consent.

The other thing that I think is possible, is that they could be injecting some type of chemical or genetic marker in these things for the purposes of depopulation – putting something in people that will make them sick if the other agent is introduced at a later date. That is an old trick that the Borgs were experts at.

I can even see, to a certain extent, that this is being used as another way for them to collect DNA samples. The sky is the limit, and this is why we have to insist on the patient's right and the parents' right to sovereignty over their own body. Period.

C. Austin Fitts: Help me out. If you review the hard evidence – documentaries, case studies, videos, peer-reviewed articles, transcripts, books – of the dangers of vaccines, why are so many parents and families ignoring the facts? Why do they continue to buy in?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I think it's very simple. I think it's because, in the public school system – and I remember this in elementary school growing up – we would learn about Jenner and the Smallpox vaccine and so on and how wonderful all of this was. So, I think, to a certain extent, people are indoctrinated to think this way. The other reason, I think, is that there has been a philosophical sea change in the study of medicine from the period that you and I were growing up to now.



Back then, a physician was really a physician. You went to your local GP, and he had to have a broad knowledge of medicine so that if he determined that you needed a specialist of some kind, he would refer you to somebody.

There wasn't this push to get you popping this or that pill or taking this or that shot; they were much more reticent to wield these things. I think, to a certain extent, we're looking at the influence of the big pharmaceutical corporations on the medical schools in this country because, of course, they endow many of them or contribute money to them. So this gives them influence over the curriculum itself.

I think you've seen a new crop of doctors being churned out that are much less critical of the so-called science behind the vaccines. Many of them, in my experience with few exceptions, don't even know that the contrary science is even out there.

This is the other problem with these professional peer review journals. Many times, even these journals are getting money and funding from some of these corporations, and they're not, therefore, legitimately independent journals as was the case with this study that I mentioned and I blogged about.

We're dealing with a cultural phenomenon of corruption and mercantilist policies that have run amuck. We, first of all, have to get people used to the idea that they can have their own opinions about anything. They don't need to be an expert at something.



C. Austin Fitts: I think that this is more than greed. One of the best presentations I've ever seen is the one you gave at the Secret Space Program 2015 about treaty obligations. You went through Versailles and the treaty obligations to the extent which the leadership of the German society had no choice but to implement the treaty obligations.

If you look at the implementation of this, it appears like a treaty obligation.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: It looks to me the same way. There is some pressure coming from somewhere to force people to do this. Later in your outline, you mention the bill that the House of Representatives passed to microchip people with mental disabilities. I think this is nothing but the camel's nose in the door.

C. Austin Fitts: I had one Twitter follower give me a hard time because they said, "It's just for grants to help local guys if they want to do it."

I had to give them a little lecture about how you phase what you want through the 26-step process. You start with grants and building the infrastructure and on and on and on. But where it goes completely is to try to microchip the whole population. This is just how you sneak up on them.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right. Microchip them for what? Is this going to be part of the mark of the beast financial system? Is this going to be to track everybody and monitor everybody?



In other words, the issue is individual sovereignty. The whole problem is if we were dealing with digital systems that can be hacked, would you want a microchip that can be hacked?

They want to hack everything; they want total control over humanity. This goes back to your observation that we are dealing now with an ideological struggle between inhumanity and humanity.

I have bad news for the globaloney crowd. I'll be damned if I will take any microchip willingly or voluntarily or knowingly. I will not do it. You can throw me in jail or you can chop off my head, but you cannot force me to do it. I absolutely will not.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: It's not a matter of religion; it's a matter of my freedom. It's a matter of me being able to preserve my humanity and creativity. That's what it's about.

C. Austin Fitts: Here is the reality: The African-American slave trade was cancelled for two reasons. One reason is that they couldn't succeed at putting down the rebellion in Haiti and it scared everybody to death. I think it's one of the reasons Haiti is going through such a difficult period right now. We're looking at payback. That is number one.

The second reason was that they couldn't find a way to perfect the collateral, and the slave owners kept selling slaves westward, and the banks kept losing money.



But as soon as you can microchip a human being, you can perfect collateral.

Here is the reality: The people who run the American establishment, in my personal experience, believe in slavery. They practice slavery. Most of their slavery has been done with mind control, but if presented with the ability to perfect the collateral, will they institute slavery? You bet!

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Of course they will.

C. Austin Fitts: Will they use physical force or just mind control? I don't know which concoctions they have planned.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I'll tell you that this is a very important insight that you have, Catherine, because this goes directly to my Versailles template model. In effect, what you are doing by microchipping people is collateralizing them.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: This raises the question: Why is it necessary to collateralize humanity?

C. Austin Fitts: Because they're trying to make up for the collateral fraud in the system.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Bingo!



C. Austin Fitts: Which is why I keep asking the question: Who really owns the debt? If you own enough of the debt, then you can force these kinds of treaty obligations.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Exactly. I have a suspicion that whoever is putting forth the lien, so to speak, may be very old and not necessarily on this planet.

C. Austin Fitts: That's why I keep returning to who owns the debt because I want to stop the treaty obligations.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: This brings up the business of collateralizing space and why they're passing these laws. It looks that to crawl out onto the end of the twig of high octane speculation and speculate far beyond the ability of the evidence to sustain it, it still feels and looks as if they are putting into place all the mechanisms – legally, financially, and so on – to challenge whoever may be holding that debt. That is the way it appears to me.

C. Austin Fitts: I hope so. Let's turn to EMF radiation. We did a great Solari Report with Jason Bawden-Smith on EMF radiation. Jason is an environmental scientist who has had considerable success starting environmental consultancies and other businesses related to cleaning up the environment. He decided about a year ago to look into EMF radiation and has now announced that he is dedicated to spending the rest of his life protecting the planet from it because he thinks it could destroy the planet.



This whole infrastructure of digitizing everything and using that to manage all kinds of flows, let alone to control, depends on electromagnetic frequencies that are having devastating impacts on a variety of different people and living things' health. And it's entirely invisible. People have a hard time believing that it is a problem because it's so invisible, and it's also very new.

The history of suppressing the information about the risks of EMF and what we can do to protect ourselves from EMF is another area similar to the vaccines where you see unbelievable suppression and censorship.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Oh, yes. Absolutely. I have a friend who has Morgellons disease, and he has as his personal physician advisor for this Dr. Hildegarde Staninger, who has done a great deal of research into this. He has had tissue samples taken from some of his lesions, which I've seen pictures of, Catherine. There is quite literally plastic growing in these lesions, which is not natural and is not normal.

C. Austin Fitts: Oh, I think they are antenna.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: That is exactly what he thinks and what she thinks. During periods of high EMF radiation in the background, he has absolutely doubled over in pain. He says that it's like having thousands of ants crawling inside your skin.

That's a very dramatic form of this, but there is another thing that you and I have talked about privately. I heard from a friend today that people at certain times during a week – and I've experienced this firsthand – seem just tired for no reason whatsoever.



I'm a fairly energetic person as are you, but I go through periods – and they're never predictable – where I'm tired and exhausted and want to do nothing but sleep, and there is no reason for it.

C. Austin Fitts: It's the gray goo.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes, it's the gray goo. It's something electromagnetic. I'm convinced that this is an issue that we have to somehow make much more public and visible than is currently the case because there is something going on. Part of it, I think, is the byproduct of the fact that our society is now so dependent on electromagnetic radiation technologies and also part of it, I think, is quite deliberate. So I'm on board with you, and I think this is a huge issue, it's a huge health issue, it's an immense environmental problem, and we're going to have to start paying attention to it.

We're dealing with a technology that we still, to this day, don't fully understand, and that is the other aspect of this.

C. Austin Fitts: This is why I'm so concerned about the Chuck E. Cheese and the mall brawls. I want Jason to make a little metal gizmo that, whenever everybody starts punching each other, I can slip my phone into it and make sure I'm not contributing to the brawl.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Let me add one more thing here. This is a perfect area for people to be creative and start inventing the devices that can turn this off. Somebody has already come out with goggles that you can wear that defeat the surveillance cameras. In other words, the market is playing again.



This is another area where we have a tremendous opportunity for people who are technically and scientifically inclined to investigate this and invent gadgets and devices that can help protect people against it. It's very important that we do so.

C. Austin Fitts: I've had tremendous success with several gadgets. In the Solari Report with Jason he goes through many how-to's and what to do's. There is a considerable amount of things that you can do, but you have to sit down and realize that there is this invisible force to take into account, and then start seeing what works for you.

Let's move into robots and artificial intelligence. I did a superb Solari Report this year with Jay Dyer, and we picked out the movies that we thought were the best to explain and the movies that teach you the most about mind control and how mind control is used in society. We were about halfway through when we realized that, if you look at all these movies, they're showing how human they can make robots and how robotic they can make humans, almost as if they're prototyping to see which works better for what.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes. It's gone so far that they even have sex robots now.

Talk about nutty! But I agree. This is that great ideological divide that we're facing in our culture. Do we want a human culture or an inhuman one? Clearly, Mr. Global wants an inhuman one. John D. Rockefeller said, "I don't want the people to be educated; I want workers."



C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: It's the reduction of humanity to the hive and the only way to combat this is through the human creativity aspects of culture. Robots are not going to compose the Hallelujah Chorus or Beethoven's Pastoral Symphony or what have you. They're not going to do this because those are human products born out of the human soul. This is what Mr. Global lacks because he doesn't even believe in something called 'the soul'.

All of this is at the same time that physicists are increasingly getting out of the materialist paradigm altogether, so science is driving one way and the global technocrats are driving in the opposite direction. It's a big issue. I don't even know where to begin.

Quite frankly, Catherine, I think AI is already here.

C. Austin Fitts: Oh, I agree. I think AI is here and is having a tremendous impact. I also think that the way to cope with this kind of technology is with creativity. I agree with you. Creativity offers tremendous work-arounds and ways of combatting it, but I think we each have to make a decision in our life to use this technology to build up humanity and to have nothing to do with that which debases or destroys humanity.

If you examine the enforcement mechanism we need in the future, it's going to have to be one person at a time making that choice in their time, their money, and how they function.



Environmental stress: I wanted to bring that up because what we're watching is unprecedented. I don't believe a word of anybody who brings up the subject of global warming and climate change because they refuse to incorporate a lot of very important phenomena and data. But I think environmental stress is a great danger and very serious. We continue to see frightening things, whether it's what is happening at Fukushima, continuing to dump into the oceans, or nuclear plants and toxic waste having no way to be dealt with, or garbage in the Shanghai water supply.

I think the most frightening one was the professor who published studies proving that toxic nanoparticles were being discovered in human brains. I'm assuming that is the global spraying.

There seems to be surprisingly little action at the governmental level or corporate level on addressing the environmental challenges, and I wonder why.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I have to wonder why, too, Catherine. It's baffling that the Japanese response to Fukushima was so lame and tame. If we turn the clock back about 20 years, of course you had the Chernobyl meltdown. There were Russians who went in there and sacrificed their lives to shut that down.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: They were made national heroes, and rightfully so, for doing such. The Russian response was much more immediate, much more aware of the danger, while the Japanese response seemed to be so tepid and faltering.



That's not to say that there weren't brave Japanese who went in there and sacrificed their lives.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. The Fukushima 50 were very brave.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes they were. What perplexes me is that, on the one hand, we see enormous breakthroughs this last year in fusion technology. The Germans were successful for a second time with their very peculiar design of a fusion reactor. They've completed enough proof of concepts experiments that I think they're getting ready to go full power and see if they can produce more energy out of it than they're putting into it. There were successes in South Korea as well in fusion technology.

I have to wonder, and I have always wondered and been extremely skeptical of the fission nuclear power that produces all this deadly waste and has so many problems with it, as to why you have a continuing policy in some countries, this one included, of pressing nuclear power. This is fission power and it's incredibly dangerous.

The other thing in dealing with environmental stress, since we're talking about fusion in this connection is we have yet to have a government acknowledge that spraying is even taking place when it's very clear to anyone who has investigated it that it is happening.

I have to wonder why this is the case. The only thing that I can come up with, Catherine, is that there is some covert purpose to it. I don't think that it's depopulation. I think it has to do with trying to weaponized the planet in some form or fashion.



As far as I've been able to gather, if you study some of the heavy metals that apparently are involved in the spraying in particulate form, you're dealing with some astonishing chemicals. I've seen reports of aluminum silicate and reports of barium, of all things.

The only thing that I can think of why they're doing this is, if you are spraying all of that heavy metal into the atmosphere along with plastic nanoparticles that turn into antenna inside of Morgellons legions, to increase the electrical conductivity of the atmosphere for some reason. The two things that I can think of are: On the one hand, they might be trying somehow strengthen the Earth's magnetic field because it's been weakening rather dramatically in recent years. Many people think it's getting ready for a magnetic pole shift, which has happened according to the geological record previously, to increase the strength of the magnetic field so that we're not getting bombarded with all sorts of bad things from the sun.

The other thing that I think might be happening in this respect is, by increasing the electrical conductivity of the atmosphere, they're increasing the efficiency of any sort of energy weapons that they may be trying to deploy – either on the surface of the planet or in space. Either way, it's not a very good agenda. When you put it in those terms, I think people have to start demanding from governments, and begin at the state level and put it through the state legislature and pressure Congress and the government or your local parliament – wherever you may be in the world – to start providing some serious answers to this because it's a significant environmental risk. It's also a substantial health risk, and we need some answers from people, and we need them now.



C. Austin Fitts: Frankly, what you're doing is digitizing everything. You're digitizing the atmosphere, and digitizing all living things.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right. To crawl out onto the limb, Catherine, the other possibility is that they are doing some sort of terraforming. The questions then are: Terraforming for what? What sort of life forms would need that?

C. Austin Fitts: It's very interesting. I listened to a history of DARPA this year, and how they developed – for example – a digital face to deal with the Ho Chi Minh trail in Vietnam, and realized, “Oh, that was the first invention that evolved ultimately into what Elana Freeland now calls the Space Fence.”

You would see the development of the first drones and the development of all the things that blossom into the hubris to digitize the entire planetary atmosphere.

With that, let's turn to CERN and particle accelerators because, in addition to CERN, we have China saying that they are going to build one twice as big. This raises the question that we keep asking – and nobody does it better than you – which is: What in the world is CERN?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: CERN has several levels, all of them problematical. The first one is really epistemological. It's interesting that the Chinese want to build their own bigger version of it, and now the Russians have jumped on the bandwagon and they want to build one, too.



Germany has plans for a very different kind of accelerator that they want to build.

The problem with CERN from a purely philosophical epistemological point of view, is that it is the only structure and the only machine of its type currently in existence. So, the science is all about repeatability and independent verification. Well, how do you verify independently the pronouncements that CERN is coming out with? Obviously you and I can't go into our garage and build a cyclotron and test it for ourselves.

I think this is the thing that makes me question: Are they telling us what they are really finding? I think this is the reason the Chinese want to build their own, and they are big participants in CERN. I think even there the Chinese realizes that there is probably something here that we're not being told or that's not being shared with us. It's being kept from us and that is at the surface level.

Then we have the public explanation of CERN that what we're doing is smashing hadrons together; hadrons are simply heavy particles like protons and neutrons and so on. In other words, they're not merely massless particles like quarks or electrons or things of this nature.

What they're telling us is that this is all about particle physics. We're smashing all of this together so that we can learn more about the states of matter in the first few nanoseconds after the big bang. So we're supposed to believe this, but my problem has always been –I want to emphasize for people that I'm not a scientist; I'm simply a guy looking at what they're saying and what the machine is and certain facts about the machine, and I'm arriving at a different conclusion.



The Hadron Collider – the circular accelerator – has a proton stream that is injected into it, which has been sped-up to high energies in four previous accelerators. So the Hadron Collider is a set of five particle accelerators, the last of which, before the Hadron, is also a circular collider. It's a Proton Synchrotron. The collider rotates the proton stream in opposite directions at the same time. So in my thinking you have tremendous possibilities for some torsion effects, and torsion is simply the idea that space itself sort-of twists. If you can imagine an empty soda-pop can, and you wring it like a dishrag in your hands, this is what torsion does to the geometry of local space where it's found.

So on that big of a scale, I think you could be getting some torsion effects that are not within the small ranges that nature itself produces. I think it's very possible that you're getting some artificial and very large torsion effects.

The big problem with CERN is that the magnets in that device and in the detectors in the device are several times stronger than the local magnetic field of the planet. There are people tracing the effects, when CERN is turned on, of the magnetosphere of the planet. It appears to dip and weaken when CERN is turned on.

This machine could be producing, in my opinion, planetary resonance effects due to the sheer scale of the magnetic fields involved. That means, in turn, it could be having an effect on the interior dynamo of the planet itself. That means that, since the earth's magnetic field and the sun's magnetic field touch physically in space, you might be getting some sort of resonance effects in the sun itself.



I'm viewing CERN in an almost exactly opposite fashion than what we've been told. We've been told that it's all about the physics of the very small and crashing particles and so on together. I think that the machine, just by dint of those strong magnetic fields, is a machine for manipulating planetary effects and that it can do this. I suspect that means that there is a secret level to the project that is being kept entirely hidden from public view.

Once we accept all that, I think there is an even deeper level to it. If you look at the sheer computational power that CERN needs, you're creating billions of bits of data every second. In other words, CERN is the largest user of computational power on the planet. It is also the largest user of the internet on the planet because the computers were programmed by the scientists to pick out and notice certain patterns in particle collisions.

Computers are doing the initial selection of the data that the scientists subsequently examine and analyze. This means – to my mind – that it is possible, if you accept the thesis, that this might be a planetary machine. This might be a machine of the physics of the very large rather than the very small.

If you accept that hypothesis, then it is possible, in my thinking, that there is a secret level to the computer algorithms that are pulling out data from these collisions and passing them to classified scientific committees for analysis. I strongly suspect that if that is the case, that some of the types of data that they're looking at are what I call 'data correlations'.



When the machine is turned on, do we see noticeable geophysical effects in the planet, such as in the magnetosphere that some amateurs are tracking on YouTube? Do we see changes in the surface temperature of the corona of the sun? Do we see changes in stellar activity, and so on?

Even to the extent of: Do we see changes in aggregate human behavior such as behavior on markets, which can be easily watched by computers etc.?

I think that the possibility exists there is a huge data correlation experiment occurring in conjunction with CERN because, if it has an effect on the magnetic cavity of the planet, that means, in turn, that since all life on the planet – including human life – is entrained to this human resonance of the planet, then changing that resonance is going to have an effect on human behavior. In a certain sense, it's even much like a mind manipulation device, although certainly not intended to be such, but I think they are smart enough to realize that that is a possibility.

I think there are several levels to CERN, Catherine, that are very, very disturbing. My real point of arguing this case is because of a Hungarian electrical engineer, prior to World War II who immigrated to this country, Gabriel Kron, eventually ended up as Chief Research Director for General Electric. This guy was no slouch.

If you read Kron's works, it is highly mathematical. They are very dense works, but in effect what Kron stated was that the tensor calculus that Einstein used for general relativity could also be used to explain electrical machines.



Now if you parse that carefully, what Kron has just said is that electrical circuits of any sort – be it the very simple things that can power an alarm clock to very complex electrical circuits like CERN – is a hyper-dimensional device because it can only be explained mathematically in more than three spatial dimensions.

If you apply Kron to CERN, you're dealing with a hyper-dimensional machine that could conceivably tap into and access the energies of more than three spatial dimensions. Now that's a whopper because that means, in effect, that another purpose of the machine is to prove the existence of those higher dimensions and their energetic effects in three dimensions.

CERN scientists recently – about the time that I published *The Third Way* – came out and admitted, “Yes, we're also looking for evidence of higher dimensions.” Duh! You're dealing with a higher dimensional machine to begin with.

There are several levels of this thing, Catherine, and they're all disturbing. These people are playing around, by their own admission even in the particle physics subject, with cosmic forces and quite literally with cosmology.

C. Austin Fitts: Could they also be playing interdimensionally?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Absolutely. That's precisely what 'higher dimensions' means. If you want a simple illustration of why this so concerns me, think of higher dimensions like water that is trapped behind a big damn like the Hoover Dam.



Energy in higher dimensions is like that water in a damn. You have an enormous energy potential, and all you have to do is open the sluice gate and all of that energy comes tumbling down into this reality.

You're dealing with energies that theoretically would make a hydrogen bomb look like a firecracker. It's tremendously dangerous, and this ultimately is my biggest concern about CERN. I've never thought for a moment that this device did not have some sort of hidden military purpose because you're playing with forces of that magnitude and learning how to use them. This is why I'm so concerned about it; this is why I think the Chinese want to build their own version of it, and why I think the Russians want to build their own version of it. It's a very disturbing device.

C. Austin Fitts: It's curious because it's one of those projects where I think most of the people working in it have no idea exactly why it's happening.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I do, too. It's good that you mentioned that because it reminds me of something that I left out of my response to your question, and that is when they first turned CERN on, there were court cases in Germany and the United States that attempted to prevent them from doing so – quite literally. The courts threw the cases out because they said they had no jurisdiction over it.

The other thing about CERN is we need to remember that this is a sovereign entity. This is a little country consisting of a particle accelerator.



Austin Fitts: So no courts have jurisdiction.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right. They don't have jurisdiction over it. The other thing that really concerned me is when they turned it on and then suddenly turned it off. We were told that the reason they turned it off was because somehow the magnetic field had failed, the particle stream had pierced one of the cooling tanks.

They have these big, large liquid helium cooling tanks around the entire circumference of the magnets because they generate such enormous amounts of heat. They had to quickly turn it off because one of the cooling tanks had been pierced by the particle stream. It was like punching a hole in a balloon.

I thought that there was something fishy about that story. To this day, I think this even though I have no evidence for this, but I suspect that when they first turned it on and powered it up, they invented a cover story as to why they shut it down. I have no doubt that the cooling tanks were ruptured, but the real question is: Why did they rupture?

If you return to what CERN is and what the collider is with those counter-rotating, tremendously energetic proton streams in magnetic fields that are rotating in contrary motion itself, I think they created a much bigger torsion effect than they had gambled on. I believe the entire device was creating a local field distortion in celestial space that was putting the machine under tremendous stress that they had not counted on, and they had to reconfigure the thing under the pretext of repairing a helium-cooling tank.

Do you follow me?



C. Austin Fitts: The dangers are not only pumping and dumping in the financial markets, but we are literally pumping and dumping in the planet.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Not just the planet; we're pumping and dumping the local planetary field. I think they didn't count on this, and that was the cover story they put out. I'm very, very suspicious of this whole thing. I think that event, quite frankly, is the reason the Chinese want to build their own and twice as big as CERN. The Chinese aren't stupid, and they know of the existence of dynamic torsion. I can bet you that there is probably a secret analysis in the Chinese government somewhere that is saying something similar to what I'm proposing.

Any way you look at it, CERN appears like a gigantically large version of the Nazi Bell with the counter-rotation, and of Richter's experiments, and Argentina with rotating plasma, and Larmor precession frequency. If you can imagine that scaled up to the size of the planet, then you have a big problem.

C. Austin Fitts: Let's turn to the future of energy because there is some good news there. We've seen new developments, both with the Germans as you mentioned, and MIT on the nuclear fusion and cold fusion. We've also seen renewables improve in efficiency to the point where many claim that they're now competitive economically with coal. Of course, we see Asia still enormously dependent on coal in a way that is very tough on the atmosphere. There is terrible pollution in China and India. So renewable is significantly getting more efficient. Fusion is on the horizon.



There are all sorts of claims about breakthrough energy and we know, of course, the EM space drive is going on. So a lot seems to be percolating in the energy area.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I'm so glad that you mentioned fusion and EM space drive in the same breath. I can't tell you how delighted I am.

Let's start with the EM space drive for a moment because the popular press is putting out the idea that this invention by a British engineer, Roger Shawyer, is a fundamental contradiction to the laws of physics. You can go to YouTube, and for those of you listeners who are technically inclined, you can Google "EM Drive Roger Shawyer" where he walks you through the physics and the mathematics of what he's doing and why he came up with it.

There is nothing whatsoever in his thinking or in his mathematics that is contrary to any of the known laws of physics; he just applied them in a very unique way. So there is creativity.

But what I found interesting, and I blogged about this a couple of weeks ago, is that the Chinese claim that they have already sent an EM Drive into space and tested it. Lo and behold, they discovered that this thing is generating actual thrust. Well, duh! Of course it is.

What is interesting to contemplate is that the Chinese admitted that they had done this prior to NASA doing it. NASA is still talking about it, and the Chinese went ahead and did it. I have no doubt that they did.



What I suspect is that the Chinese made the announcement because they have been experimenting with the actual cavity itself – the cavity shape – to see what is the most efficient design, and they've indicated that they are going to continue researching and developing this.

What I suspect is that the Chinese know a lot more than they are saying.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: If they're willing to stake the future of their satellites on this technology, that means that there is much more than meets the eye. With space in mind, let's talk about fusion for a moment because I strongly suspect, Catherine, and I'm saying something here that I haven't said anywhere else before, but I've been thinking it for a very, very long time. Fusion technology, if it can be made to work, would obviously be an over-the-coefficient of one. In other words, it's a so-called 'over-unity device' but not really. I use the more technical term 'coefficient of performance' so that I don't upset a lot of physicists.

Fusion would immediately, if made practical and workable, overnight change the energy of the planet from fossil fuels, from environmentally polluting, from dangerous nuclear fission power with all of its bad radioactive waste to a very clean, efficient source of energy. The bad thing that they're not telling you is that if the magnetic containment field of these big hot fusion reactors were suddenly to fail, that plasma would explode, quite frankly. That is what you would have. You would have a miniature H-bomb meltdown or an H-bomb fizzle, if you will. That is what they're not telling you. That is the bad thing.



Presumably they are coming up with safeguards and back-up speeches and so on . But there is another thing that I think is going on with fusion and the race now that we see happening between this country, Germany, South Korea, and Russia to develop fusion, and that is space.

If you can learn to use fusion for power, you can also use it for propulsion.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: That means, “Say goodbye to the chemical rocket.” You would have a much faster vehicle and you would have an efficiency of thrust that could power very, very large space vehicles. We’re not talking three-astronaut space capsules anymore.

So I think that the hidden reason they’re pushing fusion power is space related, and that this is why you see all the talk about asteroid mining and so on. Let’s face it, I’ve said it before and I’ll say it again, “Chemical rockets just ain’t gonna do the job.”

If you’re going to go and lasso the asteroid and haul it to the moon to mine, you have to have a big ship, and you have to have good thrust efficiency to move that puppy. So look at EM Drive, look at fusion, and I think this is what we are slowly seeing them leak to us. This is what I think fusion is ultimately all about. It’s not just energy on this planet, but propulsion to the local planets in the solar system if not beyond.



C. Austin Fitts: Okay. So you're ready for the Unanswered Questions of 2017?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: Okay. If you want to give percentages, that's great. If you don't, you don't have to. Just to make a comment here, these are things that we think and talk about all the time.

Turning the Titanic: Can the United States of America, with the help of the new Administration, turn the Titanic from a negative return on investment to taxpayers, or will it be piratization?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I think there may be some piratization at certain points along the way, but ultimately I think it can be turned. I think it's going to take two administrations to do it, but I think if we have a second Trump term, it can be done. I think it will be bumpy because turning an ocean liner travelling along at 25 knots; the ship is going to shudder and shake as you're doing it, but I think it can be done. I think it will be done.

Let's put it this way: We have no other choice.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. We have no other choice.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: We have to turn it.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. If you talk to the number of people who were acting and talking like pigs or scaredy-cats; scorpions tend to not show their colors, and for it to work, we have to believe that it can work.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: Absolutely.

C. Austin Fitts: It means that we're also going to have to turn, not just government money, but also to turn the culture.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes. Bingo!

C. Austin Fitts: This is a big turn. Next: Will the US achieve a new détente with Russia, and what will it mean for the Judeo-Christian world?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: That is the most interesting question. Number one, I think it has to, simply as a counterpoise to China and simply, if we're going to be serious about fighting radical Muslim terrorism. I see no alternative.

The other thing is, I see no alternative to achieving some sort of détente with Russia simply because we cannot afford this global empire anymore. We have to make some strategic choices and selections. Russia is part of our culture, and it has been greatly influential in it. We need to recover the friendliness of relations that we had with Russia in the 19th century and restore that and expand on it.

I think it's going to be, in a certain sense, inevitability, particularly given some of the people who Trump has selected around him and the way that Mr. Putin has been very craftily and cagily non-responding to Obama recently. I do think it's going to happen, but it's not going to happen all at once; I do think it is going to be a prolonged thing.



There will be some initial discussions about security issues, the Middle East and cooperation, and I think probably an eventual abandonment of this ridiculous sanctions regime.

I think there is a strong possibility, if things work out, that there could be some good cooperation internationally in space with the Russians. We're already seeing some quiet discussions between the US military and the Russian military, even as all of this tension is publicly occurring. There have been some quiet discussions between the two militaries, and I think that is a good thing.

I think it has to be turned because this is another Titanic course we've been on. The reemergence of Kissinger onto the scene, saying what he's saying, and Brzezinski coming out and admitting that the unipolar game is up and is over and we have to do something different. I think this is an epic signal from Mr. Globaloney that even they recognize that things have to change with Russia.

C. Austin Fitts: Next: US dollar is reserve currency. What is the future? With that, will the EU come apart? And what does that mean for the euro?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: That one is another interesting question. I give it about a 50/50 either way at this juncture. Germany, like it or not, is the locomotive of Europe, and Merkel is in trouble. Her whole policy agenda and her fiscal blunders and the recent sudden shifts in her cabinet that she has made and the sudden turnabout on some of her policy statements reflect that she is in trouble. She knows she is in trouble. It all depends largely on the German and French elections, and I give it about a 50/50 chance.



The real keys are going to be France, Italy, and Spain for the very simple reason that if Marine Le Pen – even if she doesn't get elected – or her party get any sort of substantial representation in the French parliament, then you're going to see policy changes in France, like it or not, even if she does not end up in the *liaise*. You're going to see some big changes in France. That is going to spill over into Spain in a major way where you've seen internal pressures for a succession of Catalonia and people are fed up for the same reasons that everyone else in Europe is fed up. It's going to spill over into Italy.

If this happens, this weakens Germany's leadership position in the EU, and it could crack up. It's interesting right now, Catherine, that you see Merkel pressing very quietly, although very strongly, for the creation of this Pan-European military because this is the way that Germany is going to try to keep its thumbs on everybody else. It was passed in the European Parliament.

The interesting thing is that they're going to make the British pay for part of it, and I don't think that is going to go over too well in London.

I give it a 50/50 chance, and I think the clue is what is happening to Deutsche Bank. I think it's partially explaining what is going on with the gold repatriation. I think that they took some long-term steps back then to insulate themselves if they should ever have to reintroduce the D-mark.

C. Austin Fitts: If you see what they're doing with Northern Europe, they could try to reconfigure the European Union as a juggernaut of Northern Europe – the Netherlands, Germany, and France.



They could go without Britain, but with the whole industrial economic juggernaut across the north. If they pull an army together with that, then I think they can continue to preserve something there that will be of value to that group.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: It would effectively be a return to the old snake arrangement with Germany, Austria, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands.

If Europe does break up, the other consequence is that Germany will be forced to rearm in a major way. They are already planning to expand their military rather dramatically, but if Europe does break up, it's going to be an even bigger military that the Germans will want and probably get.

At this point, it all depends on Marine Le Pen and how she does in the French elections. If she does well, then it is going to spill over. For now, I would say that everybody should watch France very, very carefully.

C. Austin Fitts: This next one is one that I think about often. As you know, I think the NYPD and Giuliani and the FBI New York office getting a hold of the Anthony Weiner laptop and getting judicial permission to access it for the purpose of the Clinton Foundation investigation is what won the election for Trump. If you watched the stock market, it started to trade up the day after they got the laptop.

It's interesting that Booz Allen Hamilton, which has been briefing Trump, started to trade up the next day. Then the day after that, Goldman Sachs started trading up.



In the month after that, 50% of the market increase was attributed to three stocks, one of which was Goldman Sachs.

So, the stock market definitely tells you something about what was going on. So one of my big questions for the next year is: Will Trump be able to use that information to get his agenda accomplished, or will that scandal blow up? In that I would include both pizza-gate and the pedophilia scandals, but also the money laundering of whatever was being done through the Foundation.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I definitely think, as we said in Part I, that this hands Trump an enormous amount of political leverage. All he has to do to get Congress in line with his agenda is merely threaten to have the DOJ start investigations – the US Marshall service and secret service and FBI and so on. That is all he has to do.

I suspect very strongly that he is going to initiate an investigation into some of these things. I would think, given what he said during the campaign, that those investigations would take the form of investigating voter fraud, perhaps passing some Federal standards for elections, doing something of that sort. I fully expect him to initiate some kind of investigation, not only into the foundations, but also into media donations and so on to the Clinton campaign. I even expect that he might start thinking in terms of an investigation of Soros and his foundation and his activities. That would send powerful messages – just those three investigations alone – to the people in Congress that, “I’ve got other stuff, too, that I can start investigating if you want me to.”



I think he's going into this, not only with a great deal of political capital from the election itself, but he has gained tremendously from the New York police thing. I don't see him sitting on it, Catherine. He just doesn't strike me as the kind of individual who takes personal attacks sitting down. So I do expect that he is going to have some kind of investigation going. He wouldn't have appointed the people to the Department of Justice that he's been appointing and the people in the military that he's been appointing without having something like that in his mind.

C. Austin Fitts: I agree, although I tend to think he is going to use it much more to get what he wants than to try a public hearing, unless he is forced to.

The question that I have on the web presentation is: What is going to happen between Pope Francis and the Vatican? But the question I really want to ask is: What's up with Pope Francis?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: He is not, from anything that I can tell, adherent to any of the central tenants of Roman Catholicism. He is a plant of some sort. I'm quite serious about that. I was suspicious of this after Benedict XVI resigned – a German pope – and now all of a sudden we're electing the Cardinal Archbishop of Buenos Aires. Connect those dots as you will.

Being a Jesuit besides; the Jesuit order of the late Father Malachi Martin, who himself was a Jesuit, wrote a book exposing its extreme leaning towards liberation theology, Marxism, and so on. I was suspicious of that when Pope Francis was elected.



What you're seeing now – and I just received an email as we've been talking – about Pope Francis dismissing some people and ordering a certain Cardinal to dismiss three priests. I haven't read the whole thing, but what is shaping up in the Vatican is you're seeing a real struggle between Pope Francis and the Cardinal who actually runs the day to day operations of the Vatican City. There has been some very quiet pushback against him, and it's spreading.

This could ultimately lead, if he's not careful, to the supreme sanction. If people don't know what that is, it is when a pope becomes so far out that the Cardinals simply shut down the bureaucracy. They refuse to go along with anything anymore. So it's a real tug-of-war.

The other thing about Pope Francis that I find very interesting is he recently came out with a statement that it's necessary for the entire world to have a central bank. In other words, it appears like he is the pope of the bankers. Once again, I think the reasons there are clear. If you're going to have a global central bank, you're going to have to have some moral sanction behind it. The Vatican was the perfect place to go to get that.

As far as the papacy and its claims are concerned, that is right up their line. So I think you're going to see a real tug-of-war inside of Roman Catholicism between more traditionally-minded people and Bishops particularly and Pope Francis himself. It could get very, very messy.

Let's not forget that back in the Middle Ages when these things occurred, you ended up with Cardinals in full revolt that would elect an Anti-Pope.



So at certain points in the Middle Ages you actually had two different popes, both out of communion with each other. At one point in the Middle Ages, you actually had three, each of whom claiming to be the sole and infallible vicar of Christ. As far as the Orthodox Church is concerned, that is the problem.

C. Austin Fitts: I have to refer back to Obama because as you know, in 2008 when Obama started to run I said, “Okay, this guy has been profiled. The bankers want somebody to come in who is a young, cool, Ninja Turtle kind of guy. He’s going to clean up all the financial fraud, stick it all to the taxpayer, and get the liberals and the Democrats and the progressives and the young people to go along with it, and they’re not going to notice that this guy just engineered a consolidation of the financial coup d’état which was taking all the retirement money in the country and giving it to the banks. Everybody was going to go along with it because this young, hip, black guy is going to do it. This is the banker’s candidate, and this has all been profiled by the CIA fake news guys.

Sure enough, he came in, turns \$27 trillion over to the banks – the equivalent of double our retirement savings – and advocates all the raping of these different places like Libya and Haiti and continued special operations and operations in Africa. The genocide and Ebola continues. He out-Cheneys Cheney, and then puts forward Obamacare.

If you look at the Rockefeller’s agenda, this guy has absolutely done a fantastic job.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: Getting the American people to think it was cool and groovy to give their entire retirement savings – or double their retirement savings – to the banks for free is quite amazing. It's an amazing political feat.

So he's done everything that they've asked him to do and more. He's done a very good job. He failed on TPP, and he couldn't get Clinton elected President. That was really ugly. Otherwise, he's done a great job.

If you're the Rockefellers, wouldn't he be the perfect fall guy to blame if all these pension funds are going through controlled demolition? They could easily say, "Don't blame us. You guys wanted a black guy to run the country, and look what happens when you turn things over to black people."

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Exactly.

C. Austin Fitts: So in the last month since the election he's been looking and acting scared to death. The question is: Is he starting to smell that he is going to be the fall guy?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I think so. His behavior has become increasingly irrational. People are saying that he's petulant. No. I think what you're watching is a scared man. Absolutely.

Let's put it this way: I don't see him on the lecture circuit like Bill Clinton. The same goes for Michelle.



She is trying to position herself, I think, as the next Oprah, and I don't think that is in the cards either. Consequently, they're in a panic.

Like you said, I think he is being set up to be the fall guy. That is the old liberal East Coast establishment racism at work once again. It's been there all along, and it's still there.

I think that is exactly what is going on, and I'll tell you what I think is going to happen, Catherine, over the next four years. You're going to see a spate of books and articles uncovering all the dirty little things that have not yet been adequately aired. You're going to see a revival of the birther controversy, revival of the allegations that appeared very briefly during his 2008 campaign about homosexual liaisons, and it's all going to come crawling out of the woodwork. I think it's going to happen rather quickly, to be frank with you.

C. Austin Fitts: He is certainly acting uncharacteristically afraid, and it wouldn't surprise me. If you follow the people who put him in, that is exactly the kind of thing that they would do.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: I will say this: I think one of the biggest, most important questions for me in 2017 is the CIA and their news and media network promulgating fake news and it's quite remarkable. They've engineered a soft revolution effort globally with fake news that was very clever in many respects. I find it very distasteful, but it certainly has been very successful.



What we're seeing now is, for some reason with that machinery the damn has cracked, and one commentator said that it was like watching an elephant die screaming. They're certainly in what looks to be a very ugly death spiral.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I think so.

C. Austin Fitts: Am I just being hopeful that they will continue in their death spiral? Is there any hope for them?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: No, I don't think you're being hopeful. I think there is actually evidence to suggest that the rest of the intelligence community – and people tend to think of the intelligence community as the FBI and the CIA – which consists of a whole spate of defense and intelligence agencies among others. There is the Office of Naval Intelligence, Army Intelligence, Marine Intelligence, Air Force Intelligence, and NSA, not to mention State Department Intelligence.

I think what you're seeing – and this is what intrigues me about some of Mr. Trump's advisors and appointments – is that the CIA has been walled off by the rest of the intelligence and military community. I think they have closed ranks with each other and are shutting the CIA out of the picture. I also think, quite frankly, that it is a very large segment of the CIA itself that has been looking at the direction of things that has been taken since the second Bush Administration. They have been looking at all of this and saying, "We need to go in an absolutely different direction."



I think there is a deep factional schism within the American intelligence community, and you're seeing pushback against that element of the CIA that has been behind much of these shenanigans. I think you're looking at a big pushback.

In fact, you had claims of that nature put out by Steve Pieczenik during the election. Of course, he's deeply connected with the CIA and has been involved in the covert operations. He came right out and said, "Yes, I'm speaking for a group of people who are not going to let this soft coup continue."

I think that is exactly what you are seeing. No, I don't think it's hopeful at all; I think you're looking at a fundamental shift in the center of power within the intelligence community in this country.

C. Austin Fitts: I do, too. I think the people who are responsible to make things happen on the ground and the people who are involved in the concrete aspect of reality are putting their foot down.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: My hope is that what we're going to see is the cash flows being cut to the unproductive on a regular and steady basis from here on out.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I think that is definitely in the cards.

C. Austin Fitts: I have a lot of candidates on that list, but let's see what happens.



Dr. Joseph Farrell: I bet you do!

C. Austin Fitts: There are a couple of major media commentators who need to get a job. My plan for everybody is that after they're indicted and prosecuted that, rather than costing us \$154,000 a year to put them in prison, we assign them to working at Motel 6 as cleaning ladies and cleaning men. Whatever their sentence is – whether it's two years or 20 years – at the end of their two and 20 year sentences, they get out and re-explain to us what the meaning of 'deplorable' is.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Exactly. I'm going to ask you after we're off the record who is on your list in the media because I bet the same people are on my list.

C. Austin Fitts: I will tell you who I get the most frustrated with, and it is some of the African-American attorneys and commentators because the Clintons and the Democrats were so instrumental. It was bipartisan, but it was a Democratic Administration that led the creation and building of the Prison Industrial Complex. If you review over the last 25 years what has been done to the African-American neighborhoods, it is awful. It was led by many of the Eric Holders of the world who get prestigious positions and then partnership in fancy law firms. They've made a fortune, all fronting for this genocide.

I think it's genocide. You bring in the drugs, entrap the kids, put them in prison, and it's genocide to the neighborhoods, and it's genocide to the kids. Then it's taxpayer prison for the taxpayers. It's a lose-lose-lose all around.



You have this whole group of African-Americans fronting for it in the media and in the enforcement line and making it look progressive. I don't know how they can look themselves in the mirror.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: It's a form of soft slavery. This has always been my problem with the Democratic Party. This is the party of slavery. The attitude is deeply imbedded, and it's carefully disguised. You stop and think, speaking in terms of human productivity, about how this is damaging people who could offer creative solutions to things by doing this sort of thing. It has never ever made sense to me.

We saw the retirement of Dr. Thomas Sowell For many years he was this lonely voice in the African-American community of trying to get some sanity to this whole problem. God Bless him for toughing it out because he was called all sorts of names. He was called 'Uncle Tom' and everything else.

I think it's just abominable because it's a soft form of slavery. It's just pure unadulterated bigotry and it's no wonder you saw the backlash that you saw against them in this last election. You saw those Trump rallies that were packing stadiums, and would see every demographic in those rallies.

When Hillary came out with her 'basket of deplorables' I think that was one comment that absolutely sank her among many others. If one looks at just one comment that sank her, she eventually apologized, but so what? That was what her real heart was.



C. Austin Fitts: I don't know if you realized this, but the Russians made her do it. It was really the Russians.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes, the evil Mr. Putin and his Machiavellian genius are behind it all!

No, it's reaching such hysteria levels, Catherine. It's like the people who think the Masons are behind it all or the Jesuits are behind it all. It's absurd.

C. Austin Fitts: Before we close on Unanswered Questions, I think one of the most important challenges that we are facing over the next few years is the rebalancing of the relationship between America and China. The global economy depends on that succeeding. In other words, it's like Siamese twins: We can have a war, but I'm not sure that we are going to be better as a result of the war.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Oh, no.

C. Austin Fitts: The question is: Can that rebalancing work, and can we find a way to cooperate and compete with China effectively because of their size? China is becoming an 800-pound gorilla.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I think it can. I go back to what I said last week. We have tremendous opportunity if we play our cards correctly with nations in the Pacific Rim like Indonesia, which is a crucially important nation. You're dealing with a secularized Islamic State that is not radical and, in point of fact, is very, very skeptical of radical Islamic States like Saudi Arabia.



I think Indonesia is crucially important here, also Vietnam with its own inherent dislike through long historical experience with China. I think we have some golden opportunities in Thailand and Vietnam and so on in the Pacific Rim to make some beneficial trade deals. I think Trump has already indicated that he is open to those kinds of thoughts when he took the call from the President of Taiwan.

I think that is in the cards, but we are going to have to deal directly with China itself. There was something very interesting that I saw in a story last week, Catherine, and that was that China has apparently even offered help in this big infrastructure project that Mr. Trump is talking about. Japan is already on board. I forget which Japanese bank has already pledged about \$50 billion.

C. Austin Fitts: Softbank.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Right. China came out and said that it would be willing to do that. I think if he plays his cards right, there is an opening here. I think that was China saying, “We don’t want confrontation. Let’s try to do something together here.”

I think he is going to have some tremendous opportunities there. China has been talking for years about trying to help build a high-speed rail connection between the Los Angeles area and Las Vegas. There is a possibility that this can be worked out, but it’s going to take some cool heads in Washington and Beijing to get away from the rhetoric and down to some actual hard negotiations about all of this.



C. Austin Fitts: The interesting thing is that Abe is a deal guy. Trump is a deal guy and the Chinese are all deal guys; it's unbelievable. Whether it's gambling or doing deals, that is what they love to do. One of my favorite quotes of the year was Jack Ma saying, "When trade stops, wars start."

I think what we need to do is all to get to work just doing deals and get so busy building stuff together that we don't have time to fight because we're too busy building things. That's what has to happen, and that's how you create a positive return on investment.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: There is another bit of news here that I think factors into this. I just got an email prior to our interview, and China apparently has sent the first freight train to Britain.

C. Austin Fitts: Wow!

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes, wow. No more Malacca Strait. Think of that, folks, a train from Shanghai all the way through Europe, through the tunnel, and in through Great Britain.

C. Austin Fitts: Wow! You know they made that deal when they had dinner. Do you remember that dinner in London when I said that the Chinese made a deal around the Americans? There it is. There is the proof.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: There is the proof right there. It doesn't take much imagination to see that we don't need this confrontational attitude with the Chinese nor they with us.



I think it's going to require some real diplomacy on Trump's part. Insofar as his confrontation with China, it has to be through the soft approach – trade deals with those nations in the Pacific Rim and some very, very careful diplomacy with Russia. Russia is sitting in the catbird seat because the closer the United States moves to Russia, it's going to budge the Chinese off that confrontational perch because they cannot afford a combination like that.

We have real geopolitical opportunity here if we play our cards correctly, and I think the statements of Brzezinski and the reemergence of Mr. Kissinger on the scene talking about patching things up with Russia, is a very big sign that this is exactly what is going to happen. I'm optimistic in the long-term on these geopolitical fronts.

What interests me with respect to China is what Mr. Trump is going to propose and say in his State of the Union address when he has to lay out the details of all these infrastructure and reshoring projects that he has in mind. That is going to be the clue for us as to how he is going to have to approach things in the Pacific Rim.

That is what we are going to have to watch. Unfortunately we are not doing this after his State of the Union speech, so we are happy to read the tealeaves again. I think we are going to be in for some surprises in that speech that are going to have some big, huge geopolitical implications over the long term. So stay tuned.

C. Austin Fitts: The question from last year's "Unanswered Questions" is still on the list this year, which I will close with, and is: Who is really in control?



We continue to live on a planet where the real governance system is a complete mystery. I certainly have spent a lifetime trying to figure out a logical explanation for what is going on. I have one ally who insists that it's all being run by AI.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Who knows? It may be. Regarding the components of it, obviously the central banks remain largely influential. The worldwide intelligence community remains hugely influential behind the scenes because they're all networked with each other. They spy on each other, but they cooperate to an amazing degree as well. I think that is part of what we are seeing. But I have to be honest, Catherine: In studying all of this over the years, even when I was in graduate and post-graduate work, looking at all of this, I have come to the conclusion that there has to be some off-planet component of this that we're not being told about.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: There is too much weirdness that cannot be rationalized in any other way. I think you have hidden players on this planet. What I've been calling 'Nazi' or 'Fascist International' is definitely a player. It explains many things that don't make sense otherwise, particularly in Europe.

Add all that together, and I still think you have this huge empty center that the puzzle pieces haven't been filled in because we don't have those pieces in our pile on the table. To my way of thinking, that means that there is an aspect of this that is off-planet – be it of a spiritual nature, which would certainly be part of many major religious traditions in the world, or of a more mundane nature.



But there is something else going on, and I think the whole Antarctica story is an indicator that there is a big something else going on. If you are going to hide an interface like that, that would be the one place on the planet where you would do it.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. We certainly know a phenomena happening there over many decades that fits with that possibility.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes, absolutely.

C. Austin Fitts: I think we have plenty to chew on throughout 2017. There is a lot to research and figure out, and we keep getting closer. There are more people working on this and they are more integrating.

So let's turn to our final section, which is "Inspiration". As one of our wonderful allies said, "There are more and more heroes these days."

There was a great deal to inspire us in 2016. Maybe you could just touch on some of the things during 2016 that really inspired you.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I have to be quite honest and say that it was the rise that we've seen happening over the last couple of years, but particularly last year, of the awareness of the importance of Western civilization and of the importance of maintaining it. This is a cultural issue. I'm adamant that what we're seeing in terms of backlash in Europe, in terms of backlash against Mr. Global in North American and elsewhere in the world, is a cultural phenomenon.

Absolutely. You have similar signs of it even in places like China. Certainly Mr. Putin has made this very, very clear that the cultural issue is part of his agenda – front and center.



I think that is the most encouraging thing.

I was very heartened at the way Mr. Wilders in the Netherlands has been handling himself and the way he has been talking over the past couple of years. He has taken great pains to avoid inflammatory language and to stress the central tenants of our Western culture. I think you certainly see this in the people at the popular level – at the grassroots level – backing Mr. Trump.

You see a phenomenon here that is transcending every demographic group. That, in part, is what is responsible for throwing the polls so off-center in this country, besides the fact that they were deliberately skewing them. I don't think they understood or tapped into the fact that what you're seeing is a huge cultural realignment rather than a political one. This is why the corporate media has been left out in the cold. They're thinking of this strictly in political terms, and it's not.

That was very positive. There is always danger in these types of things that they can easily degenerate very quickly into forms of fascism, but so far I think we've dodged that bullet. But that danger remains, and we are going to have to be alive to it.

The other thing that I thought was very, very positive is that you do see more and more exposure of issues like vaccines. You see more and more websites popping up and dealing with all of these things and not afraid to address them. That is very positive.

The reaction of the progressives – of Mr. Global and so on – is a panicked reaction. I think that is very positive because I think that they are in serious trouble.



So even the negative responses to the Brexit and to Trump's election can be viewed in a positive way.

There is a lot that is positive to look at that is inspiring. Like I put it before, I think the most interesting and possibly inspiring thing to come out of this is we are going to see, by the nature of the case, some real cultural reflection and real cultural creativity and activity because the opportunity for it is now there.

C. Austin Fitts: I don't know if you saw it, but I put a quote on the web presentation in Inspiration, and it's one of my favorite quotes from the Orson Wells movie *The Third Man*. One of the characters says, "You know what the fellow said – in Italy, for thirty years under the Borgias, they had warfare, terror, murder, and bloodshed, but they produced Michelangelo, Leonardo da Vinci and the Renaissance. In Switzerland, they had brotherly love, they had five hundred years of democracy and peace – and what did that produce? The cuckoo clock."

I have a great love for Switzerland, so that is one thing I have to confess, but I do feel that for 20 years – or my whole life, really – I've been battling this enormous push for inhumanity which fronts itself with all sorts of propaganda about human values or human virtues, but it's terribly inhuman. The people who lead it believe in slavery and are committed to slavery. Now they have fantastic technology. I feel like finally we are starting to see a crack in the damn. This is why the corporate news failing is so important, where you have enough people saying, "Wait a minute."

It's on 20, 30, or 40 different issues. We are finally saying, "This is wrong. This is inhuman. No, no, no."



Of course, the other thing I have to say, which I am very grateful for, Joseph, is the results of the election; I thought my life expectancy if the Democrats won was going to be maybe one or two years.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I was afraid, too. I was genuinely afraid.

C. Austin Fitts: I think there was good reason to. I think you had many reasons to be genuinely afraid.

The body count was growing steadily throughout the election, but it was clear that the Democrats were going to come in with lists. Many people were going to get destroyed whether it was fast or slow.

I am personally grateful to be alive and to be looking forward to a long life, although we never know what is going to happen next.

The one thing I do want to close with is that one thing that kept me sane this year was working on the JP Farrell Virtual Pipe Organ.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Oh, gee.

C. Austin Fitts: Chuck McCorkle and I were the cosponsors, and we've been having a blast. While all this unbelievable corruption is flying around and unbelievably perverted happenings in behavior, we're sitting there trying to learn about the history of pipe organs.

It was kind of a sanctuary, not just for me, but also for the entire Solari Team. You know the whole Solari Team has been involved, and we've learned a great deal about music.



Anyway, in closing I do want to let everybody know that we are very excited because one of the original donors has offered a match for January. We are going to be mailing it this month. During the month of January, until the time we hit the funding of Phase I, they've offered to match very generously. So, Joseph, I am very encouraged that we are going to fund Phase I, and you're going to be practicing soon.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: I have to tell you again that I am absolutely gob smacked – I really am – at the response that you've gotten thus far. I'm literally stunned. Needless to say, I am very, very grateful because it's been a dream of mine for many, many years to somehow get back to regular practice in making music part of my life again.

I have a friend, and I mentioned this in the previous interview, he and his wife perform music at local restaurants and so on. He told me today that for him, it's a return to sanity; it's his true self. I can fully understand that because if you've played an instrument or sung in your life, it does center you. Music is the oldest art.

The oldest cave paintings are about 29,000 years old, but we've found evidence of flutes that are several thousand years older. So it's been a part of humanity for a very, very long time. Going without it is rather difficult.

I am absolutely stunned at the response, and I want to thank everybody once again who has already made donations to it. I never expected this in my wildest dreams.



C. Austin Fitts: I'm not surprised. There are many wonderful donors and they have been incredibly supportive – both with their donations and their prayers and their comments. It's been an inspiring process.

I think the reason it's so important is that if we are going to make this change and move into a human and yet productive society, then we have to support artists and scholars who support the ideas and knowledge that is for the best intention of all concerned.

You said earlier, Joseph, and I agree that unfortunately the universities – despite all their massive funding – are less and less a safe haven for the kind of scholars and artists who can invent the pathway forward. I believe that people will support those scholars and artists and this is a way of proving to you that it was so.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: One reason I'm so surprised, and pleasantly so, is that I think that is somewhat of a reinforcement that people understand or are beginning to understand how important our culture is and its worth and its preservation. I really hope that out of this comes more projects like this for teachers who need books for their classrooms or private tutors and so on and so forth. I think that is going to be what sustains us in part through the coming rough period. It's going to have to.

Like your quotation from that movie, it was out of the strife around the Borgias that you had the Michelangelo's and Da Vinci's and Cosimo de'Medici's that created all of this. So it's worth preserving. Don't think for a moment that it isn't worth preserving; it is.



Pipe organs are fascinating for a number of reasons, but they are the oldest keyboard instrument. In that respect, they are central to the development of our Western system of music. You can't understand it without them. It's a centerpiece culturally – this amazing feat of engineering that people back then came up with. It is a hugely complex and intricate instrument.

C. Austin Fitts: As I've pointed out, if you want to watch all the movies on space, it is the instrument of space.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: It is and for some reason, it is very, very weird that you have all this music in those movies.

C. Austin Fitts: Well, the galaxy is a powerful concept so you need a powerful instrument to match the cosmic scope.

Joseph, before we close, do you have any thoughts or advice for 2017? What should our subscribers and members be preparing for?

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Well, I think they have to look very carefully and keep control over their finances. By that I mean they have to be able to have access to cash and have their money in institutions that they know and trust and hopefully not big corporate ones. That would be my first thing.

My second bit of advice or intuition would be to look at all of the things that we have discussed and try to see creative opportunities for investment in them. That would go particularly for people in the Pacific because I think the opportunities are going to be multiplying like rabbits over the next few years in the Pacific.



In this country, and we've talked about this many times, they are going to have to figure out ways how they can be individually responsible for the preservation of Western culture in whatever niche or area that they feel inclined to like and to pass it down and to become active in some sort of creative fashion. I think it's creativity that is going to get us through this. So anything that nourishes that intellectually or in terms of activity that is going to give you extra finances or extra peace or anything of the sort, that has to be central to what we do. It's going to be a creative effort, so we have to start focusing on human productivity.

I'm very, very heartened because I see a lot of young people where I'm at doing that sort of thing. They are trying to figure out, "How can I be productive and creative?" It's the young people I feel sorry for because the job market and the job environment is so pathetically bad. A lot of them I see turning to things like the arts or whatever that they find some creative enjoyment out of. I think that is going to be the pattern of the future, like it or not. We're just going to have to find a way to monetize it. That is the real problem.

C. Austin Fitts: I think it can be done.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Oh, I do, too. Absolutely.

C. Austin Fitts: I can't thank you enough for joining us for News Trends & Stories. It always takes us a while to chew through, but I have to tell you that I think this is an unprecedented period of change. This is not going to be another year of slow burn.



The motto we had in my last company was ‘Rocco at rotulo’ which was bastardized Latin for ‘Rock & Roll’.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: 2017 is going to be the year of Rock & Roll. With that, ladies and gentlemen, thank you for joining us on The Solari Report. You have a wonderful evening.

Dr. Joseph Farrell: You, too, Catherine.

MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.