



The Solari Report

November 10, 2016

Rappoport, Farrell & Fitts on the Presidential Election



Catherine Austin Fitts



Dr. Joseph P Farrell



Jon Rappoport



Rappoport, Farrell & Fitts on the Presidential Election

November 10, 2016

C. Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to The Solari Report. We've had an amazing November 8th and 9th, and I'm very blessed to announce that Jon Rappoport and Joseph Farrell have agreed to join me to talk about what in the world is happening and many, many things around this historic election.

Joseph and Jon, welcome to The Solari Report.

Joseph Farrell: Thank you for having us.

C. Austin Fitts: It's great! We will start with you, Jon. What happened?

Jon Rappoport: We all went to sleep, and we had a dream...

Joseph Farrell: And it was a bad dream!

Jon Rappoport: The dream is still going. I think many things happened. Assuming, and some people will not like this, that the election was not rigged for Trump. I only say that because in politics anything is possible and, assuming that this was a straight shot with the vote counted, I think several things happened. One was that Hillary was weak; she didn't show up. She didn't affect as many people as her people thought she would. Because of that, and because the media played it like, "Wow! She's doing great and everything is wonderful." They invented that bubble a long time ago, people who were tuned into major media thought, "Well, she is really strong here." But she wasn't. She wasn't that strong. She didn't show up that often. Trump turned up everywhere.

The pollsters could have noticed that the crowds were enormous when he showed up and they weren't very big when she showed up. They could have realized that not as many black people were going to vote for Hillary as voted for Obama. Are you kidding! That was never going to happen.



They could have noticed that union people – people who belong to unions – were going against their globalist, scum bosses who had sold out to the globalists a long time ago and allowed them free reign to take jobs out of the US. A lot of these union members were out of jobs all over the country, and they wanted something to happen. They were just going to go into the voting booth regardless of what they were told, and they were going to vote for Trump.

A lot of these things were happening all at the same time. As one smart person said on some network last night – and I don't remember which one – “When you go to a Trump rally, you see lines around the block 17 times, and some people stand there for 7 hours just to get in the hall. Then they don't get in, and they don't care; they're happy. They're doing fine, so what are the chances that those people are going to show up and vote on Election Day? Are you kidding! Of course, they're going to show up.”

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Jon Rappoport: A lot of these things were all percolating and all were happening. That's what lead to the victories. You could see in certain states like Pennsylvania or Michigan or Ohio that the large blue centers – the Democratic centers in the metropolitan areas – were going for Hillary, but not at the rate that everybody assumed they would. Then out in the countryside, it was all red all the time. I mean, that just overwhelmed everything.

Trump was saying, as the media reports now, what people wanted to hear – as if he was just pandering to people. But that was not the case. He was saying things that no candidate for President has ever said, especially about globalism. He was laying that one on the line, and he was also attacking media directly from the beginning, as I keep pointing out.

As soon as the first reporters said to him, “Smarmy, barmy,” he just said, “You know what? You're an idiot. You're a complete idiot,” and he kept that up. He was campaigning against the media from the beginning, and many people in the

Trump was saying, as the media reports now, what people wanted to hear – as if he was just pandering to people. But that was not the case.



country, as it turned out, felt the same way about the media that he did, which – of course – the media would never report.

That's my opening salvo.

C. Austin Fitts: Joseph?

Joseph Farrell: I have to agree with Jon. He talked about two things here that I think – from my point of view – are very, very crucial. The first is election fraud or voting fraud or whatever you wish to call it, and the second is globalism.

We saw many reports through the alternative media in this country, and in my opinion, I think these stories were genuine and well documented. They reported on voting fraud taking place on behalf of Hillary. Now the media is going to try to spin this, that she won the popular vote. Well, point of fact, I think that the voting fraud still needs to be investigated and still needs to be made a major issue within the alternative media community because it was very clear that there was fraud taking place for Hillary.

In other words, shade that popular margin quite a bit. We don't know the extent of it at this point, but my guess is that the popular vote for Trump might be bigger than what they're reporting. I think that is something that we're going to have to look at and keep focused on in the next coming years.

They're going to try to pull this number in, and they're going to try to use that popular vote as leverage against his agenda. But in regards to the bigger issue, I think Jon is absolutely correct. Catherine, you and I have been talking about this for quite some time, and that is the idea that this really wasn't an election; it was a referendum.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Joseph Farrell: It was a referendum precisely on globalism, precisely on this progressivist, socialist agenda that we've seen coming out of the political left, particularly in this country. They are behaving like pouting babies. It's amazing what is happening on some college campuses in this country. They're in shock.



Well, you know, the deplorables rose up and voted. That's across the board, and as far as I can tell, I haven't really sat down to look at the map today, but it was pretty much across all demographics – blacks, women, men, Hispanics, whites, and so on. There was a vast cross-section of people voting for Trump, so this really represents kind-of a referendum on the last 30 years of American politics with the Bush-Clinton neocon crowd in charge of things. This was a clear repudiation of that agenda.

I think this is going to have absolutely huge ramifications, Catherine, geopolitically, particularly in Europe because it is going to embolden the opposition to some of these policies that you see growing over there as well.

So, yes, I'm basically in full agreement with everything that Jon said.

C. Austin Fitts: I saw a lot of things happen. If you look at how Trump started out in his campaign, the more people rejected him, and the more the Bushes ganged up with the Clintons, the more he felt free to simply say what was on his mind and heart. He ended up running against not the Democrats, but against the establishment. He was running as much against the Republicans as he was against the Democrats to a certain respect and it was almost like watching a body politic get food poisoning and just reject the last 30 years, particularly for corruption.

I think one of the key moments for me was when Clinton used the term 'deplorable' because this was really about the productive versus the subsidized.

Joseph Farrell: Right. Exactly.

C. Austin Fitts: As soon as you call the productive 'deplorables' and you're subsidized by the productive, I think that took it to a level where people just decided, and I saw this myself, "I don't care if you've got me on your assassination list. I'm going to stop you."

Joseph Farrell: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: We all just went into overdrive.



Joseph Farrell: It's interesting that you mention that as him running against the establishment. We know the Republican Party elites were very unhappy and pulled most of their funding. This is what is so unusual about this election.

I was watching the county-by-county maps on the *New York Times*. Incidentally, they still haven't called New Hampshire and Michigan and Arizona. I just checked the map before we came on, and the *New York Times* still has not called those states. I think what they're hoping to do is make the Electoral College margin look smaller than it probably really is. Again, that is an opportunity for more late-term voting fraud. That is why I think that we need to keep watching it.

In particular, I was watching the county-by-county map in Kentucky which, of course, is the home of the Republican majority leader in the Senate, Mitch McConnell. Catherine, I was just amazed because – except one county around Louisville, Kentucky – Kentucky went county by county solidly. They were solidly Trump. So this is a major message in that respect to the Republican Party elite.

I was watching Minnesota. Apparently, they have returned to Republican Congressmen in a couple of congressional districts around Minneapolis/St. Paul, which, of course, is another Democratic stronghold.

I've been watching these county-by-county maps, and there are places in the country that I thought would never go for Trump. Boise, Idaho was solidly in the Trump column. Even around Richmond, Virginia – which is kind-of another Democratic stronghold – you saw the counties clustered around Richmond going solidly for Trump. So there are some small indicators that this is more than just an election. In my opinion, we're watching a real sea change in American politics and culture. I think it has the Democrats reeling.

C. Austin Fitts: Did you notice what the District of Columbia numbers were?

I've been watching these county-by-county maps, and there are places in the country that I thought would never go for Trump.



Joseph Farrell: No. I didn't look at that.

C. Austin Fitts: It was 93% Clinton, 4% Trump.

Joseph Farrell: I can believe that, but that's just a hallmark of the sickness. If you look at the county-by-county maps in the country, Clinton's support was – as both of you pointed out – clustered around the major urban centers, but the rest of the country is solidly red.

This is a long-term problem, and I think what has them in a big panic now is that Trump made an issue during his campaign, not only by having these big rallies where he was packing in all sorts of people in Hillary Clinton's 'basket of deplorables', but he has made it very clear that he wants to revitalize the inner cities. In other words, I believe we're looking at a Franklin Roosevelt WPA sort of program to employ these people. Trump came right out and said it during his victory speech. He said he was going to use these people on, "Rebuilding this nation's tattered infrastructure."

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Joseph Farrell: If he is successful in this, this could quickly move a lot of those inner city Democratic strongholds into the Republican column. That has them, I think, absolutely panicked. I believe this is probably the real shock that they're discussing behind closed doors right now in this election.

C. Austin Fitts: Right, because instead of having 30 million new immigrants become Democrats, you have 30 million people in the inner cities becoming Republicans, and that's a very different social engineering.

I want to talk a little bit about the Clinton scandals because one of the shocks, when it was clear that the establishment was backing the Clintons to the hilt, was that they thought they could get through this election without the Clinton scandals being raised and drug through the media. I was shocked that they thought that that would stay untouched. Of course, what we saw was the Clinton scandals come to the fore, and it's far from over – the airing of the dirty laundry. I think the Clinton scandals made an enormous difference. What do you say?



Jon Rappoport: Matt Drudge turned the whole thing around.

C. Austin Fitts: Yes he did.

Jon Rappoport: He wasn't the only one, but he was the biggest. In some respects, he led the way. Other alternative news sites and reporters also have chimed in on many different fronts, of course, including us, but there you see the power is draining away from the major media.

Joseph Farrell: Yes.

Jon Rappoport: They are completely delusional. "Here's our bubble, and in this bubble, there are no scandals." It's all just so, "It doesn't mean anything. She might have made a mistake, but they're just talking about this to drum up support for Trump. Ha ha."

Unknown to them, apparently in their deluded state, millions of people all over the country and all over the world are paying attention. They're saying, "Did you see that email? Did you read this one? Did you see what they're saying here? The Clinton Foundation – are you kidding? Twenty percent of US uranium was sold to Putin because of contributions to the Clinton Foundation?"

All of a sudden, this is front page stuff. Everybody is talking about it, and everybody is considering it to be a major thing and it's not over. It can't be over. You have to press these people because they're vampires. I mean, they don't go away just because they look like they're dead right now.

The Clinton Foundation crimes, the email crimes, the rogue agents at the FBI who are uncovering stuff – this all has to continue to come out into the light. Trump cannot become the great healer and say, "I forgive everybody. Folks, I don't want to be a sore winner. It's all good." No.

"Today I'm appointing a special prosecutor, and we're pushing this forward. This guy is independent, and we're going to get the goods and prosecute the crimes and put the people in jail."

Now he's doubling down. Now the advantage that he already has is going to



multiply by a large amount.

Joseph Farrell: He put himself in that position when he made the statement during the debate that, “If I were President, you’d be in jail.”

I think what’s going to happen is he is going to use that as leverage. If they start trying to buck his agenda, then he is going to respond with that special prosecutor. In other words, I think that was brilliant politics on his part. He dealt himself a high card there with all of that, and we’re going to have to wait to see how he uses it.

I think if he doesn’t decide to do that, I believe he is going to be in for a surprise from his supporters because I believe they’re going to demand it. In that respect, I’m also looking at this election, not only as a referendum on globalism versus national sovereignty and the ability to control your own culture, and part of that culture referendum is precise. I think, that this was also a referendum on the mainstream media in the United States, and for that matter, around the world.

Again, they lost big-time. I think you’re going to see the major media networks’ ratings decline because even those who supported Hillary adamantly are realizing that their own media sold them a bill of goods. So the trust factor now for the mainstream media will be at an all-time low, and it’s going to stay there for quite a long time.

Another thing that you brought up Jon that I think is a vital point here is that the Clinton Foundation, and in particular all the money that Hillary was getting not only from the Saudis but people like Soros. Now the question is: Are they going to demand that money back for having run such a colossally inept campaign – which I think it was?

I think you’re going to see a focus now on the Clinton Foundation scandals and everything like that because you’re going to have some very, very disaffected people who donated a lot of money to her.

I had an exchange last night on Facebook with a person for whom being a Democrat is a religion, which I think is the way that most of them view it; it’s



their dogma and their ideology. He was pointing out, “Trump was supported by Nazis and David Duke and people like that.”

I fired back, “What about George Soros who came right out on television and bragged about his collaboration with the Nazis? I never saw Hillary Clinton repudiate that support,” and that shut him up.

I think this is also a referendum on these kinds of people and their nongovernmental agencies and the chaos that they’re trying to spread around the world. In other words, I think we have to hold Trump’s feet to the fire. We want the swamp drained, and we know who these people are. Go after them, and let’s get some indictments under RICO or under the Sedition Act or something. They are in clear violation of the law, and they should be brought to justice.

C. Austin Fitts: His victory speech sounded quite the opposite, so we’ll just have to wait to see.

I want to quickly ask you about four things under the ‘What Happened’ category. One is WikiLeaks. What do you think the impact was of WikiLeaks?

Jon Rappoport: Gigantic.

Joseph Farrell: Yes.

Jon Rappoport: Absolutely gigantic. They started dropping one set of emails after another, and Project Veritas suddenly showed up with several underground videos of the Democratic operatives talking about, “We’re going to win this election regardless. We can incite violence at Trump rallies. We’ve been rigging the vote for a long time, bussing people from state to state.”

WikiLeaks has become a major media outlet.

C. Austin Fitts: Right.

Jon Rappoport: Project Veritas has become a major media outlet. The numbers on Infowars yesterday were staggering, and the numbers on Rense were staggering, so this shift is happening. What I can see, for example, is



suppose that Trump says, “Okay, it’s prosecution time, folks. Special prosecutor. We’re going after the Clinton Foundation and the email case.”

Now major media reaction, “Oh, the horror! How could he do that after he won the election, and so forth and so on?” They’re going to play their same tune – tired, ragged, tattered, simple tune. “Look at venomous and vengeful the man is. He’s a psychopath.”

They think that story is going to sell because it always does because they are the boss. Once again, they are going to get torpedoed because all of the alternative outlets and all of the people who are behind Trump in this movement are going to say, “Go to it, baby. This is exactly what we were demanding. Prosecute, prosecute, prosecute.”

Once again, the major media is going to take a gigantic hit, and they won’t know what to do. They can only operate with a narrow parameter, and once they are moved out of that comfort zone, they are utterly stupid and defenseless. They have no strategy whatsoever.

C. Austin Fitts: I keep telling everybody that if the NSA just dumps out enough emails so that I can make a case for tortious interference, I’ll take the money back from the Clintons.

Joseph Farrell: Exactly. This raises another point, Catherine, with the NSA. It came out during the run-up to the referendum from a British diplomat that these leaks are coming from inside American intelligence.

C. Austin Fitts: Of course.

Joseph Farrell: That was a big story that no one picked up on, even in the alternative media. I’ve talked about it a bit on my website. That was a huge story because a British diplomat doesn’t come out and say something like that without clearing it with the home office and with the foreign secretary and so on and so forth. Again, this was the British oligarchy sending a profound message, and you and I discussed that well over a year ago, the idea that there may be a soft coup underway.

I’ve been saying all along, as you know, Catherine, that I think that Trump



represented and did represent a very deeply dissatisfied disenfranchised faction within the American deep state. I've heard stories on the alternative media that Trump didn't rely on the Secret Service as much as he came out and talked about in his little victory speech; he relied on his personal security and, apparently, on some security that was provided to him by the US military.

In other words, I take that as a little bit of confirmation of our idea that this represents deep factional infighting. The Bush/Clinton crowd took a drubbing. I think that is what all of this amounts to, but I do think that Jon is right because Trump actually put himself in the position when he said, "I'm going to appoint a special prosecutor and we're going to get to the bottom of this."

I take that as a little bit of confirmation of our idea that this represents deep factional infighting.

I think if he's inclined not to do anything, I think his movement is going to pressure him into action.

C. Austin Fitts: Remember that you have ongoing criminal investigations at the FBI now, so all he has to do is not stop them.

Joseph Farrell: Right. The first head that is going to roll in the bureaucracy in the Department of Justice is going to be James Comey.

C. Austin Fitts: Oh, no. The first will be Loretta Lynch. Then the second will be James Comey.

Joseph Farrell: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: Let me bring up another player who I wanted to ask you about, who I think put this over the top for Trump, and that is NYPD.

Joseph Farrell: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: NYPD got the investigation opened a second time. They got 650,000 emails on Weiner's laptop. From everything we hear – obviously just



rumors – there is some fantastic material on those 650,000 emails.

If you watch Trump's victory speech, he made an enormous to-do about NYPD.

Joseph Farrell: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: It looked to me like in the last two weeks they swung it 2-5% on that alone.

Joseph Farrell: I think that was his subtle little message as well during that victory speech. “Even though I’m trying to be conciliatory, don’t expect that I’m just going to play ball and sweep all of this under the rug.”

He made it very clear that he was reaching out to the NYPD, to Rudy Giuliani, who still has a certain cache with the NYPD. In other words, that was his signal, “Don’t expect that I’m simply going to sit by and let all of this go by the wayside.”

And I agree with you, Catherine. I think this was a big tipping point because, as the alternative media in this country started hammering away on ‘spirit cooking’ and all of this bizarre occultism and these people are practicing their little rituals, it became pretty clear to most of the American electorate that you’re dealing with very, very dark spiritual forces, and we can’t have this.

C. Austin Fitts: I will tell you guys a little tidbit. It was a week or two after 9/11, and they had an enormous memorial service. Many politicians spoke, and they gave 5,000 tickets to the New York firefighters and police who sat up front. Hillary Clinton, as the senator, was supposed to speak and they booed her off of the stage; they wouldn’t let her speak.

So the NYPD and the firefighters destroyed her at that moment, and I’m sure that anger is far from over.

Joseph Farrell: Let me add just a little tidbit if you’re into spiritual things.

When they called the election, it was after midnight. In other words, the election was called on 11/9, which is an interesting reversal of 9/11. For me,



that is symbolic of the fact that, yes, we've seen a real earthquake on the American political and cultural landscape that is a repudiation of the past 30 years, and particularly of the Clinton/Bush 9/11 matrix. This was a massive repudiation of that, and we have to remember that Trump brought up the whole 9/11-truth concept in the Republican primary against Jeb Bush, and silenced him. You saw Jeb Bush drop like a rock after that.

Jon Rappoport: Yes, he went into his corner and just started weeping.

One thing that I think has already been mentioned is the movement. It's not so much Trump that I'm particularly interested in as the movement of people who have 'come on board' so to speak and I go back to Ron Paul, for example. That looked like, "Well, Ron tried, and he was dead in the water."

No, they edged him out of the debates. Of course, he wasn't a strong speaker, and he doesn't have the kind of power in public that you would want, but he had martialled a huge number of people behind him. Audit the Fed, bring the troops home, anti-globalism on all fronts. Who knows how many millions of these people are hanging around years later, and all of a sudden Trump shows up and says a few things and they say, "Wow", so some of them are on board. You have all kinds of people here that we're talking about – health freedom people, of which there are millions of in this country.

C. Austin Fitts: Health freedom is a tremendous thing behind Trump.

Jon Rappoport: It's all cross-indexed and cross-hatched. These are the people who will not let this drop and will not let Trump become the grand conciliatory force that reaches across the bridge to make peace with everybody and in the process compromises everything away and starts appointing – and now keep your eye on this, because this is the big one. Who is he going to appoint?

If the same scum who were there under Bush/Clinton come back in, then we're going to raise hell. We're going to put Trump's feet to the fire like he's never felt before, and we're going to call him a sell-out or a stupid idiot – and I'm using strong language – because we're in a war here, folks. If you don't know it, wake up.



He will not get away with that for very long because once he makes a few of those appointments, the outrage is going to be enormous, and he is going to have to think about, “Gee, do I keep doing this? Because this could be a bad idea.”

What he needs to think about is this: Yes, there are certain people who you need on board so that you know how the political game works in Washington – the official game and the unofficial game. How does it all fit together? Yes, you need some of those people. But, you can put them in subordinate positions within various strata and departments so that they can only tell you how it works. You don’t place them in charge of anything; the people who you put in charge are the people who are really on board with the anti-globalist agenda. Those are the people you want.

If he goes against that, then this movement is going to rise and make sure that he knows about that.

C. Austin Fitts: Let’s turn to winners and losers and the people who may be in the Cabinet. I don’t think he is going to do that because if you look at his big platform, it’s: Rebuild infrastructure, and bring the trillions of dollars home. When I say, “Bring the trillions of dollars home,” I’m not talking about the \$50 trillion missing from the Federal budget; I’m talking about the trillions in corporate cash sitting around the world. He’s hoping to get the tax treatment relieved or dropped so that all that money flies back in to do the infrastructure and to revitalize all sorts of things in the United States.

He’s looking to bring money back from the offshore havens, if you will. I think he needs to play the same globalist game. He’s seeking to reverse some of the cash flows on globalism.

Jon Rappoport: But the thing is that he can make a mistake, like a lot of people do, of thinking, “Well, to make my agenda work, I don’t know how the game is played and all of the details and who the players are. I’ve got to find out more than I know, so I need to bring people on board who know that.”

Then he can bring the wrong people on board because he puts them in high positions, and his people have to make that differentiation between the kinds of technical support you need to explain what is happening as opposed to, “This



guy is going to sit in a cabinet post.” There is a big difference.

C. Austin Fitts: So you can put the technicians in as special deputies. Here is the problem he is going to have: If you look at who runs the bureaucracies, it’s defense contractors, IT companies, and the big New York banks. It’s not the political appointees or even the government bureaucrats; you’ve got a huge layer of private enterprises who are running your information systems. Getting those contract relationships turned around and changed takes years.

Joseph Farrell: I think the key is if the model of deep factional infighting and a certain disenfranchised segment of the deep state is behind him, as I think is the case, then you are going to see that influence exercising itself in the next few days before the inauguration in who he is deciding to appoint – not only to top-level positions but to some of these, as Jon rightly pointed out, technical expertise and managerial expertise that he needs to run the bureaucracy. I think that is going to be key here.

We’ll know whether or not that hypothesis holds any water in the next few days as he starts making announcements about his transition team and his cabinet appointments and so on. One thing that I think works to his advantage here now is that this was such an overwhelming sweep, particularly in Congress. He is the first President, I believe, Catherine and Jon, if my memory serves me correctly, to enter the White House with both houses of Congress on the Republican side since 1928 with Herbert Hoover.

Jon Rappoport: That’s right.

C. Austin Fitts: Right, so he’s got the White House, the Senate, the House, and the state houses.

Joseph Farrell: Right. He’s got the state houses, and this is crucial because, as we saw with Hoover, we know what the banks did once he got into office. We had the Great Depression, so I’m predicting that there is going to be some financial chicanery from the banks. Perhaps the Fed will try to raise the interest rates and then blame it all on Trump, or something to this effect. I don’t think that is going to work because there are too many people who are tuned into the alternative media who are awakened.



The playbook has changed. It's going to be interesting to see what he does. He has to be conciliatory on the one hand to get anything done, and he's going to have to choose his battles very, very carefully to keep that movement in his corner because, like Jon says, if he makes too many moves to compromise, then his feet are going to be held to the fire. I think he is going to be looking at a revolt within his own Congress. The only reason his coattails were so big – that was a big part of this election – was he outdid Reagan, and that was a revolution in and of itself, but this is sweeping.

He's coming into Congress with a groundswell of a report. He has a lot of political capital. It's going to be the first 100 days of his Administration, I think, that are going to set the tone. That is very, very crucial.

C. Austin Fitts: Let's talk about the first 100 days. We're already into the transition and it has begun. We'll be seeing a lot about what the competition is for the cabinet posts.

The second question is: What are the big issues that he is going to either face or push or promote during the first 100 days? What does the 100-day agenda look like?

Joseph Farrell: I think he is going to come out and probably issue numerous executive orders to get as much of his infrastructure program going as he can by executive orders. I suspect you're also going to see him overturn some of Obama's executive orders. I think you're going to see him make an announcement of some sort about healthcare and fixing Obamacare or trashing it. He promised to repeal it altogether. He's got to address some of these large issues regarding domestic politics.

The other thing that I think he is going to do – and he said that he would do this during the campaign – is go to Russia and meet with Putin. I think you are going to see him do that. Whether he physically goes or not is one thing, but I believe you're going to see him reaching out to individual global leaders with

He has a lot of political capital. It's going to be the first 100 days of his Administration, I think, that are going to set the tone.



Putin at the top of the list. I believe he is going to be reaching out to people like Modi in India and perhaps Indonesia and some of these up and coming economic blocks. I think that is going to be a crucial part of his agenda.

I think that he is going to be in contact with Theresa May in London. I believe that you are going to see some moves on the geopolitical stage to position him so that he is in a strong negotiating position vis-à-vis the TTIP Agreement and some of these other things that he also was taking aim at during the campaign.

Personally, I'm kind of anticipating that he is going to come out with a blizzard of announcements, perhaps even before the inauguration itself.

C. Austin Fitts: He talked about his agenda for the first 100 days pushing for term limits. Now that could require a Constitutional Amendment, and we know we also have the Koch brothers pushing through a balanced budget amendment. Is there any danger here that he is going to try to fiddle with the Constitution?

Joseph Farrell: I don't see it because I think that would weaken his political capital. I think if he does try to push any of these agendas, he is going to try to do it through the state houses – constitutional term limits concerning amending state constitutions rather than the American Constitution. I don't think that he has the political capital, even with this movement, to start tinkering with the Constitution itself.

Jon Rappoport: He's got to do things in the first 100 days that succeed – a whole bunch of them. It's like, "Where can we score some victories here? Here are many of Obama executive orders. I can overturn these in about an hour. Alright. Good. So let's line up the press conference. Let's put get going. Big show. Okay. Here's one: Obama did this and that. That was ridiculous. Overturned. Here's another one." Bang, bang, bang! And the people begin to get the idea, "This guy is getting something done!"

Joe talked about him picking his battles. In the first 100 days, you want to win, and you want to keep winning so that people get that sense of, "Wow! This is working. We're getting momentum in the right direction here. We're reversing the tide of the last 30 years," not tying yourself up in some insane battle to do something that you can't do.



Let us remember that when Obama became President in 2008, and all of his advisors admittedly thought that the first thing at the top of his list would be jobs because that's what he was talking about and that's what the people wanted, it turned out that the man had no intention of changing the economic picture. In fact, his agenda was to torpedo the economy further. That's one of the covert reasons why he was there.

So what did he do? Obamacare was at the top of his list. He stunned his advisors and tied the man up for the next year or two in insane and fertile rattling and deal making and stupidity, which was the beginning of the end for the whole deal. Trump cannot make that mistake.

Joseph Farrell: We will have to watch and see, but I honestly don't think he is going to make that mistake because he does have a pool of advisors. I think several of them from the deep state – people who are 'tricksters' like Roger Stone and so forth – who know enough about politics to know that you don't waste those first 100 days. I'm looking for him not only to do the things that we've been talking about, but I'm also looking at him remaking the Washington press corps to aid that agenda. I do.

I think he is going to say, "This person is welcome in the White House press room. This person isn't," and so on and so forth.

He's going to have to choose his press advisor very, very carefully for this job.

C. Austin Fitts: That's a good point. That's going to be very tricky.

Joseph Farrell: That is going to be very tricky, but he's been battling the mainstream media all along. I think that they are – so to speak – at the top of his hit list. I wouldn't be a bit surprised to see press credentials extended to some of the alternative media because he knows that's where his power base is coming from.

Interestingly enough, he made a very, very unusual move yesterday during the election when he came on Michael Savage. Many people in Europe and outside the country probably don't know who Michael Savage is, but he is a conservative populist radio talk show host here in this country who has an audience that spans both sides of the political aisle.



Trump came on the Michael Savage show yesterday during Election Day at about noon and gave a little talk. In other words, he reached out right during the election process itself to this media base that he has within the alternative media. I think that there is a strong possibility that you're going to see him buttress that in the way that he handles the Washington press corps. I'm just getting that feeling that we're in for several earthquakes concerning the culture.

He's a businessman, a marketer, he knows where his strength with the media lies, and I think he is going to play to that.

C. Austin Fitts: Think about what he's done for Twitter.

Joseph Farrell: Yes. Exactly.

C. Austin Fitts: It's quite enormous. Clinton probably spent \$250,000,000 to do what he did on Twitter.

Joseph Farrell: Exactly, and this is a massive demonstration of the ineffectiveness now of the mainstream media.

Since we're talking about media, I think one of the things that he might do is overturn this business of turning over the internet to the UN. I believe that you're going to be in for some real media shocks with this guy. I sure hope so. We're drawing up a wish list here that we certainly hope he is paying attention.

Jon Rappoport: This goes back to my point about keeping major media on their heels. He understands how that game is played. Once you've punctured them, they don't know what to do. Then they start screaming and yelling, and you say, "Good, very good, now we'll just puncture them again."

As Joseph was saying, which I think is a fantastic idea if he can navigate this. He revokes some credentials and adds some other credentials, and then they start screaming at NBC, "We had a reporter who has been on the job for 50,000 years and she is wonderful, and he revoked her credential..."

Great! Okay. Then he says in a Tweet, "Yes because she's an idiot."

All of a sudden he's got 100,000 more people in that second of sending that



Tweet on his side than he had before because everybody is against the media.

If he can keep that up in various ways, let the media roar and scream and so forth; it's all to his benefit.

Joseph Farrell: Let me add something to that, Jon and Catherine. I think it would be a good idea – and I'm not trying to put your feet to the fire here, Catherine – to make this interview public because it's very clear that he does monitor the alternative media and what they're saying. I know, Jon, that you have been on Infowars several times with Alex Jones.

We need to spread this idea. We need to stay involved in this battle because it is a war – as Jon says. I kept telling people yesterday while watching the returns come in, “This isn't the end of the opera. This isn't the final chorus; this is the overture. The overture is over, and now the curtain is going up on the opera. It's up to us to make sure that it's Don Giavonni for people like Karl Rove and so on with Trump singing *Il Commendatore*, and it's the magic flute for everybody else.

We need to stay involved with the opera in keeping his feet to the flame by putting out these memes. We've got to start understanding that much of political power comes in the form of memes that are driven into society by the media. I think this is one way that we can do it – by putting these memes out into the mainstream media. Pick up the phone and call out Jones and say, “I had a fantastic idea that I need to share with you,” and pass it along to Roger Stone.

In other words, get this circulating so that it is done.

Jon Rappoport: I agree.

C. Austin Fitts: One of the things that we didn't mention before is one of the people who did a fabulous job – and you mentioned Roger Stone – who I think had a remarkable impact was his replacement for campaign manager, Kellyanne Conway.

Joseph Farrell: Absolutely.



C. Austin Fitts: She did a fantastic job. I was not aware of her before she became his campaign manager. I think the Manafort-Stone team didn't have the right vision or the right tactics or the right way of going about it and she did. That was a major, major improvement.

Joseph Farrell: You saw the change when Trump started going after Ohio and Pennsylvania and Michigan – the so-called 'Rust Belt' states. I know that you've been through those states, Catherine. I expect you have, too, Jon. I have as well.

It's amazing when you go through the countryside in those Rust Belt states and see all of the closed up factories. I mean, my word. Look only at Detroit and how the inner city poor people in Detroit and some of those old manufacturing cities are just struggling to survive.

We need a real renaissance, but this brings me back to something that I said earlier, and that is the geopolitics now. Trump wants to build infrastructure. Well, who do you get employed to build the bridges and the roads? You get some of these people who are on welfare and give them an actual paycheck, which will astonish them in terms of what they are able to earn by working and having a job and having a future and able to pay for their kids and keep a roof over their head and food on their table.

Another thing, geopolitically that I think this means, is that he is going to be reaching out to foreign countries that can make practical investments in our infrastructure in addition to our own corporations and bringing that money home. He is going to be reaching out to Japan.

It's interesting that Prime Minister Abe – almost as soon as the election was called – made an announcement of full solidarity with the new Administration and wanting to help and so on. Well, the Japanese have a lot of expertise with infrastructure, so that will be part of Trump's way of fulfilling the Pacific pivot.

Another thing that was fascinating, Catherine and Jon, and was very interesting to watch was the polling of other countries in the world and who they would vote for in this election. Overwhelmingly it was Trump, particularly in South America.



C. Austin Fitts: Except for the Middle East. It was Clinton in the Middle East.

Joseph Farrell: Yes. It was Clinton in the Middle East, but most everywhere else – in South America, in Europe, and in Russia – it was Trump. That tells me a lot right there. They were tired of dealing with these people. I think that this is going to have a significant impact on countries in Europe that have been dealing with this artificial immigration crisis. In France, you've got Muslims living on the streets of Paris, which is a huge issue in France. It's also a problem in Germany. Merkel was suffered crushing defeats in local elections.

I saw something very interesting yesterday as the election was taking place. I think it was in response to what was happening in this country. The German interior ministry is now basically closing the borders and defying Merkel.

In other words, there is a quiet revolt already underway, and it is only going to help those political parties in Europe that want to reclaim their national sovereignty and keep their culture. Geert Wilders in the Netherlands, the AFP party in Germany, Marine Le Pen in France, Hollande is facing an election next year, and he was in shock. I'm sure she was sipping her champagne – we're going to see a huge sea change from all of this, and Trump is going to have to play this very, very carefully.

I think when he made that infrastructure announcement, I think that has some huge geopolitical repercussions.

C. Austin Fitts: It's interesting because my job once upon a time was to recapitalize the New York City subway system, and the Japanese provided most of the new transit cars. So the Japanese have experienced tremendous investments in New York City and along the way with Trump and that whole crew.

The German interior ministry is now basically closing the borders and defying Merkel.



I think you're right. I believe they are very knowledgeable about what the potential is.

Joseph Farrell: Let me add one more point. Another thing that we need to bear in mind is, as you pointed out a couple of years ago on *The Solari Report*, how Warren Buffet was buying up railroads. I think another part of the infrastructure is, perhaps, and I'm not saying this strongly, but I have a suspicion that the other thing that Trump wants to do – and he made this clear in his speech last night – is having high-quality airports and roads. I think he is going to be looking at upgrades of the national rail network, particularly in the major corridors where people can commute by train. I think you're going to possibly see some high-speed rail in the Northeast corridor – perhaps between Los Angeles and Las Vegas – or on the West Coast.

The Chinese have been making noises about wanting to do that. Well, Trump can bring in the Japanese to do it just as easily and cement that alliance.

There are a lot of things to look at geopolitically.

C. Austin Fitts: This year I wrote a letter to my congresswoman. I also sent it to some of the other congress critters who are on the budget committee, basically making a pitch for having place-based financial statements accessible through the different agency websites. The reality is that if you look at how we're going to re-engineer money, particularly if you want to do great local development and great infrastructure. What you do in Tulsa is totally different from what you do in Ashville, North Carolina that is totally different from what you do in Memphis, Tennessee or in San Francisco. You need that place-based flexibility. There is a great deal that can be done, but not without transparency.

That is something that doesn't cost a penny. Every agency has can do that now within their existing budgets, so that is something that doesn't cost you, but it really gets the market flying.

If each one of us is saying, "How can I make America great?" one of the best ways to do it is grab the numbers from your neighborhood and go.

Joseph Farrell: Right, and not only that but to follow up on your letter to your congresswoman, I think now is the perfect time for this movement to start



writing the people who have been returned to Congress and the people who have entered Congress as freshman senators or congressmen on both sides of the aisle. Now is the time to write them and demand this place-based financing and transparency. That's what is going to make this infrastructure project work.

I really think that is key and crucial here. We have to stay. In other words, we cannot sit back and say, "Well, we won another election," and sit back and eat our popcorn and watch the 'Superbowl'. We have to be engaged and make sure that this opera comes to a satisfactory conclusion.

C. Austin Fitts: Let me turn here. I put a commentary today called 'How can I make America great?' What I said was, "We have a new President-elect with a vision. That vision is 'Let's make America Great again.' We have 320 million people, and the way to make America great again is if each one of us says: How can I make America Great?"

Everybody is different, and everybody has different interests, and everybody has different things that they can contribute, but I believe that if this is going to work, it's got to work one person and one family and one community at a time.

How do we do that? How do we ask and answer the question: How can I help make America great? We all have to be part of the vision if it's going to work.

Jon Rappoport: One thing that I would jump in on, and I wrote about this the other day, and I've harped on it many times – is: Look at the war on poverty. Some people say there has been \$2 trillion spent since 1966. I've seen estimates as high as \$22 trillion, and everything is worse than it was before.

Joseph Farrell: Yes.

Jon Rappoport: Now look at Chicago. I looked this up the other day – and you can find it on a search engine – 'urban farms Chicago'. One of the things that are going to come up is an original directory of urban farms in Chicago – not just one or two. I counted at least eight or nine in the directory.

C. Austin Fitts: Wow!

Jon Rappoport: These are local people. They've got things going. In fact, one



group that I read a piece about some years ago is doing it indoors in a large building. So you've got local people growing their food – good, fresh, nutritious food. They're eating it and learning how to grow it. They can trade it or whatever. It's all good. It's a revolution.

If you don't understand that, wake up. When you can make your food virtually for free, it's a revolution.

That is happening in Chicago, and I'm sure it's going on other cities as well. So what I've posted as an example of how to make America great again is: Forget the \$2 trillion or the \$22 trillion or whatever. For \$20 million – which is like chump change for the Federal government – you could get 5,000 or so of these urban farms happening in inner cities across America, and those 5,000 would give birth to thousands more because people would say, "Wow! What are you doing? I could do this, too."

You would have empty lots and vacant buildings and all kinds of stuff going on, but it would be a complete revolution. It would be a radical change.

C. Austin Fitts: Jon, let me tell you something: You don't need to spend \$20 million. You can re-engineer the food safety rules. Harry Blazer could sit down and rewrite the food safety regulations so all of those markets could happen naturally.

Jon Rappoport: You're right. Absolutely. You could do it on a free market basis; that's right. You could.

What I'm pointing out is that as far as somebody sitting at the top of the Federal government, as Trump is concerned, he could look at the war on poverty and realize, "Trillions of dollars, total failure. \$20 million, total success. Wow! That sounds great, and look what would happen to the inner cities."

Then, on top of that, with Harry's free market plan roaring ahead, you've got new life in all these inner cities right then and there.

Joseph Farrell: Let me add to that. That is yet another perfect example of how we can drive these memes into the discussion through the alternative media. Jon, you've got your contact with Infowars and so on. Call up Alex



Jones and say, “Hey, have you heard about this? This would be a good idea to revitalize inner cities.”

In other words, we need to start putting it out there in the general discussion. Use whatever contacts that we have with each other through our websites. All three of us have websites. We can be injecting these types of things into the conversation so that people will begin to talk about it and pass the idea around.

Imagine urban farming in some places in New York City or the environs around it. You mentioned Chicago. There are so many cities where poor people could be doing this and making money and having their own business and so on. So this is a revolution, but we need to make sure that we keep driving it.

We’re in the driver’s seat, and we need to take control of the vehicle and keep these ideas out in the public eye and start discussing them. Once this happens, it will be a groundswell, and there is going to be nothing that Monsanto or the mainstream media can do about it. They will bitch, and they will scream, and they will pout, and they will demand protection for their big corporate industries, but ultimately it is going to fail.

We just had a referendum, as a matter of fact, in Oklahoma on some things that are part of the agricultural revolt against all of this. This is going on right now.

C. Austin Fitts: Can I mention the fact that one of the things he promised to do was to cancel Common Core?

Joseph Farrell: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: So how does he do that? Common Core is implemented at the state level, and of course, we know that Bill Gates is on the line to get this done. How does he do that, Joseph?

Jon Rappoport: But it is a Federal program. The states can opt out if they want to.

Joseph Farrell: Right. The states can opt out. That is where Trump is going to have to be cagey, I think, Catherine. He is going to have to play to the states.



He is going to have to take those 26 governors that he's got in his pocket and talk directly to the teachers' unions and show them that Common Core is all about getting rid of you.

He is going to have to start talking about this. This is another way we can drive the meme into the public discussion through our websites, through contacts that we have with other people who have their media outlets, but this is what he is going to have to do.

He is going to have to point out that all of these education bills have done nothing at the Federal level but line the pockets of the corporations and the administrators that are producing this mess.

We had referenda in several states and I was watching these. The referenda were about bills for education – raising the sales tax and so on. But I can assure you that not a dime of that will go to the teachers; it's going to be for more administrators and more logjam in the education realm.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. I want to point out that you and I made an extraordinary effort to write up much of this and produce it with the 2nd Quarter Wrap Up, which you helped me do on *Productivity, Prosperity & the Popsicle Index*.

Joseph Farrell: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: One of the reasons was that I listened to many people in the establishment talking about how we bring productivity to the government, education, and health care sector. They didn't seem to realize that the lack of productivity was coming because all of those sectors were subsidizing big corporations when, in fact, their way of going and creating productivity was going to make it massively worse.

Joseph Farrell: Yes. We've talked about the centralizing and decentralizing versions of globalism. Trump is going to have to play to local communities and to state governments on this issue. He is going to have to go out and directly address the teachers' unions because I think if he does that, he will have a ready audience.



You and I both know many, many teachers who are just beaten down by this Common Core business and its assessment process. They need to be assured that we're on their side. That is playing dirty politics I realize, because that is yet another shot at a particular segment of the voting block that the Democrats take for granted.

If he starts playing to that and, again, we drive the memes – and I'm assigning this to you, Jon, because you know Alex Jones. I caught you yesterday on Alex Jones.

Jon Rappoport: I see. Okay. Let me say something about this because I used to be a teacher. One of the things that Trump can do is appeal to a teacher and many teachers on the simple basis of, "I know you want to teach a class. I know that's why you went into this. You want to teach a class of students how to become smarter and learn, and you want to see that light go on in students' heads when they hear something new. I know that you know how to do that. You've always known how to do that, and now you're saddled with this total crap that is turning you into a robot. They're feeding you more and more technical equipment and this and that and the other. It's just hamstringing you when all you want to do is to go into the classroom and teach the way that you know how to teach your subject, and that is what I want you to do, too. That's what you should be doing, and we're all on the same side on that if we just get rid of this monstrous thing that is, by the way, lining the pockets of textbook publishers."

I know when I started teaching school in 1961; it was all about the textbook publishers. They want to keep coming out with new editions of the 'Crap One, Crap Two, Crap Three' and keep selling it to the school systems. So anytime a President can come along and say, "No child left behind," and now it's Common Core, and not it's 'A child on the moon' or 'A child on Jupiter' or whatever we want to call it, it's going to require millions of new textbooks. Isn't that wonderful? No.

You and I both know many, many teachers who are just beaten down by this Common Core business and its assessment process. They need to be assured that we're on their side.



“You’re the teacher. You want to teach. What textbook would you like to use? An old one, perhaps? One that is simple and straightforward and turns lots of lights on in young minds? You know how to do it. I know you do. That’s what you want to do, so let’s do that at the local level, at the state level, and where the parents are involved as well in figuring out the best way for their children to learn. They want to make sure that something good is happening in the schools and kids aren’t confused. When the kids bring home the homework and the parents don’t have a clue about what the textbook is saying or how to help their children, they feel outraged, and their blood is boiling, and they don’t know what to do. Now they’ll feel happy because you’ll be getting back to basics.”

You start flooding these kinds of ideas in at local levels, and suddenly you’ve got another revolution going.

Joseph Farrell: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: Let me play bad guy.

Joseph Farrell: Let me add one more thing to that. This is why we need to drive the discussion in the alternative media. We need to get these memes out on Infowars, on Breitbart, on Drudge, and all the media that we can. We need to start raising these issues now in the public discussion, and we need to make sure, ultimately, that Trump is getting the message by only putting all of these things out there.

We cannot be passive at this point, and the strategy here is to create so many revolutions across the board that we have the centralized people in New York City, on Wall Street, the major media, and the Democratic National Committee, and so on responding to so many different revolutions that they cannot win all of them. That is absolutely vital here.

We cannot be passive. That’s for you, Jon. That’s about the phone calls you are going to start making to all of your contacts.

Jon Rappoport: I’m appointing you my press secretary, Joe, and my manager and my agent, so you line them up, and I’ll shoot them down.

Joseph Farrell: I don’t know any of these people.



Jon Rappoport: Yes, but you can just use my name. It will give you entree into the highest corridors of power.

Joseph Farrell: Touché.

C. Austin Fitts: We've got an entire social media and telecommunications industry, which is engaging in surveillance capitalism. I now call it 'telepathic capitalism'. It is deeply invasive and deeply compromising of privacy, engaging in entrainment technology, mind manipulation, and technology, and that is before we roll out 5G. Those are major corporate interests and significant investment interests that are making a fortune by fundamentally compromising people's mental intellectual and personal privacy.

What in the world does Trump do about that?

Joseph Farrell: That's a good one. Do you want to take that one?

Jon Rappoport: See what my agent does? He just passes the ball to me.

Joseph Farrell: That's what an agent is supposed to do.

Jon Rappoport: I, in turn, will pass the ball to Catherine.

First of all, I think just beginning to speak very forthrightly – if Trump would do that. I believe he is somewhat ambivalent on this issue about surveillance and so forth as it relates to crime and police forces and whatever. He's got to be made aware of the fact that there are many millions of people around the country who don't want this kind of society. They don't want the giant corporations to be making billions of untold amounts of money by spying on everybody all of the time.

I don't know how much he feels the heat of that yet because it certainly was not part of the campaign. He has to know that and understand that, and I don't believe he does yet. I don't think that is on his radar as one of the main things to do.

At least for the first stop on the line, he's got to be made aware of that fact that we don't want this kind of society.



C. Austin Fitts: Here is what Trump has done: He has opened up the conversation, and by continuously talking about things that were unfashionable or unacceptable to speak about, he has made it socially acceptable to speak about a wide variety of things. He has answered the question of: How could this possibly be going on and me not know it?

All of America is looking at the corporate media and saying, “What a bunch of wusses.” That opens up – for some period, particularly in the first year of the Administration – a chance, as you said, for all of us to punch through and get a whole series of different things on the table.

This is a moment of potential opening and transparency.

Joseph Farrell: I would agree. Again, it’s important to keep driving these memes wherever we can as part of a political strategy of igniting so many different revolutions across the board in education and healthcare infrastructure and our foreign relations and NATO and everything that he put on the table during the campaign. We need to keep driving these memes.

Jon, you shoot me Alex Jones’ email, and I’ll be more than happy to send him a lengthy email and cc you on it and outline what we’ve discussed. I’m serious about this because we are in an opera now. The overture is over, and the work has to begin.

This is another area, Catherine, with the surveillance state and the privacy that needs to be pushed into the conversation, and the feet need to be held to the fire. This is absolutely another area that has to be addressed.

I think you’re going to find, concerning that issue, culture – at least in some departments of the Federal government – that are ready for it. We’ve seen a near revolt within the FBI. Old-time agents – both Democrat and Republican – realize that when you have a double-standard in law and investigation, then your moral capital as an investigative agency goes down.

I think the time is right to drive this discussion out into the open again.

Jon Rappoport: I want to give you one more very quickly: Health freedom.



Joseph Farrell: Yes.

Jon Rappoport: A couple of states 10-15 years ago – and I don't remember all of the details – actually passed laws that said, “Look, any practitioner of health can treat a person with some alternative modality as long as it is deemed to be no more harmful than the orthodox treatments for the same condition.”

That is a rule of thumb, and it's a pretty good one. I was around and very active, when I ran for Congress at the height of the so-called ‘health freedom revolution’ in the early 1990's when nobody was sending emails and there wasn't anything like that, but people sent more letters to Congress on that issue than any other in the history of the Congress – millions and millions – and it all had to do with the FDA trying to intercede and make rules about the availability of nutritional supplements and raiding alternative practitioners' offices.

Those people are still out there. They have not had their needs met or addressed. Many of them – not all of them, but many – have gone away thinking that it will never happen. That's a demographic if you want to call it that, of millions of people in the United States who want the freedom to be able to choose how they take care of their health.

If Trump became aware of that and how vigorous these people can become – which I witnessed firsthand, and it knocked me for a loop – then I think something could happen on that front as well. Another revolution.

Joseph Farrell: Absolutely.

C. Austin Fitts: Did you see Dr. Andrew Wakefield's comment about if we wanted any protection against mandatory vaccinations it was essential to vote for Trump?

Joseph Farrell: Yes.

C. Austin Fitts: I believe he had a private audience with him.

Joseph Farrell: Yes, that is another meme. Couple the health freedom issue to vaccines and the autism problem, and you can drive yet another meme into the



discussion, and that will be yet another way of striking a blow at Obamacare.

I think we have to be active in the conversation in putting things out there and on the table for a discussion. In this respect, the alternative media, again, is going to be very, very crucial.

Like I said, Jon, send me Alex's email address or contact information, and I will be more than happy to try to get you on his show again to talk about some of these issues, and for that matter, Catherine as well. We need to drive the discussion now.

Jon Rappoport: I will be on the Alex Jones Show at 2:00pm Eastern.

C. Austin Fitts: On Infowars? Okay. It's tomorrow, November 10th at 2 pm.

Joseph Farrell: Cool!

C. Austin Fitts: Let's talk opportunity. I always say that if we're going to get the Popsicle Index up to 100% in America, then everybody has to make money getting the Popsicle Index up. Where is the opportunity going to be for the people listening?

If we're going to make America great again, where can they make money? How can they make money in getting the Popsicle Index back up?

Joseph Farrell: Catherine, you and I have been discussing this all along. I think people are going to have to realize somehow that not only are they responsible for their future, but they are their repository of creativity. They have to start realizing that the culture is at stake and start going and playing guitar at your local coffee house and sharing some compositions that you've done or bricklaying or whatever. Just get out there and start doing it, and start acting locally and being involved with local solutions – not only at your city government level, but also in your neighborhood or what have you.

I think that this is so crucial, and we see a little bit of groundswell of that with this referendum. But I think now we have to only keep driving that.

Again, perception is everything. We're living in a mass media world that is very



different than even one or two decades ago with the internet. We have to drive it into the discussion regularly.

C. Austin Fitts: Here is what is going to happen: If you make a significant investment in infrastructure, if you allow trillions of corporate dollars floating offshore to come back in, and allow the local farming to grow, what you're going to get is an enormous revitalization including real estate.

Jon Rappoport: Not only that, but you're going to get inner cities and gangs.

Joseph Farrell: Right.

Jon Rappoport: Now we have inner city residents mostly being held hostage by gangs. That is the life they live – drugs, gangs, violence, shootings, drive-bys, and all this stuff.

Now imagine in the same neighborhood there is six or seven big urban farms are going, and everybody is sharing food and so forth. Now the demand from those people that the streets be safe has some force behind it and reason behind it, not just, “Well, we want to be able to walk down to McDonald’s or the AM/PM, but we’ve got an enterprise going. We’ve got several enterprises, and they are turning into businesses and people are making a profit, and it’s all good because the food is good and clean and nutritious. And we aren’t going to stand for any interruption of what we’re doing.”

Now the demand rises to a new height, and the Popsicle Index goes up because the whole structure and basis of these neighborhoods are changing.

C. Austin Fitts: Believe it or not, when we were building the data servicing centers in the low-income communities, one of the things that caused the most offense was that \$10 plus healthcare brought almost everybody out of dealing drugs. So the speed at which the gangs will melt into legitimate employment is quite remarkable.

Now the demand rises to a new height, and the Popsicle Index goes up because the whole structure and basis of these neighborhoods are changing.



Jon Rappoport: Exactly.

C. Austin Fitts: There are a lot of opportunities here. Before we finish, I don't want to go too light on Trump. What is our danger here?

One danger is that the Clintons skate, but what are some of the other dangers?

Jon Rappoport: The big risk – as I said earlier – is that faced with so many pieces of agenda and change, he begins to lose it.

Joseph Farrell: Right.

Jon Rappoport: He begins to lose it, and he starts to appoint the wrong people or he takes recommendations. Just as a job description, being the President, there is incoming all the time. There are things coming from multiple directions, and people are trying to set your schedule and do this to you and do that to you.

“This is the way we do things,” down to the smallest detail. Somehow he's got to keep his eye on the ball at the deepest level. That, to me, is the biggest danger.

Joseph Farrell: I think, yes, that is the long-term risk. This is yet another reason for keeping the discussion going in the alternative media, but I think the danger period that we have to look at, Catherine, is between now and inauguration day itself. For me, that is the real danger period because they are going to try to pull something to undo this – be it an engineered financial crisis or trying to ram through a replacement for Justice Scalia in the Senate. It could be any number of things. They could stage a foreign crisis or something like this.

I think that is the real danger. To ward that off, I think another thing that we need to do is keep talking about that. Take away all the opportunities so that if they do try something, it's not going to take. There won't be any widespread support for it. People will see through it immediately.

I think this is why even the attempt to tilt the election for Hillary through election fraud ultimately didn't work. Again, we need to keep our eye on that



story so that they don't try to claim that the popular vote is a sign that the Electoral College has to go. If the Electoral College is gone, it's going to be California ruling the rest of the country.

We have to keep our eye on all of these balls and hold it in the public discussion as well as driving these memes out there that we've been discussing previously the long-term plans and what we can do. We need to keep pushing those memes into the discussion because Mr. Trump does follow these media outlets. He has been on several of them; he's received advice from some of them. We need to keep driving this agenda.

Jon Rappoport: I have one point to add to what Joseph is saying in this immediate period. Obama has already stated that he is going to try to ram through the Trans-Pacific Trade Partnership during the lame duck session of Congress. He has already made that point, so that is coming up.

If he does that, it's going to be a real difficult thing to reverse, so we've got to keep our eye on that ball as well if he tries to do that.

Joseph Farrell: Absolutely.

C. Austin Fitts: I think he is going to have trouble doing that. The Republicans have too many votes, and given what they're watching, I don't see how he engineers it through.

I'll tell you that I think the biggest problem is if you watched how the election came down in the last two weeks. I believe that we watched two factions within the deep state struggle, and one won. I think one of the reasons that they won is because so much dirt came out on the Clinton side that deals were made in the last week or two to go ahead and let Trump win.

I'm not worried that he runs into significant opposition between now and the inauguration. My fear is that he does a lot of good things, but he doesn't touch the fact that we're building out a mind control infrastructure in this country, which is the most powerful technology weapon ever to be fielded against a population, and that continues to roll out invisibly including what we've seen prototyped at Chuck E. Cheese and other things.



We have an epidemic of mothers killing their children across the country. The movie this week is going to be *The Forgotten* with Julianne Moore about whether or not you can memory-wipe a mother and get a matriarch to forget a child.

My concern is that Trump does a lot of great things, but that infrastructure continues to roll out invisibly, and where we end up at the end of four years is the deep state has us by the throat regardless.

Joseph Farrell: I agree with that, and this is why I think this election was a referendum not only on globalism and national sovereignty and cultural freedom, but also a referendum on the mainstream media: Because they are so deeply involved with the soft mind manipulation.

This is another reason we have to keep driving these types of memes into the public discussion through the alternative media. It has to be done. People need to be made aware of it and wake up to the realities of it.

By that, I don't just mean driving a meme, but doing a detailed analysis of the technology and techniques that are being used to do all of this shows need to be devoted to it.

C. Austin Fitts: Right. In moving to closure here, I observed that you said a lot of nice things about various members of, what I would call the 'real media', but I have to tell you that over the last two to three years I think the impact that the two of you have had on breaking through the matrix and helping things like Trump and the different media that supported him happen. I think your impact has been far greater than you realize. Maybe the audience today understands it.

I just would like to acknowledge it because you've punched big, big holes in the matrix, and many people have poured through those holes. That is the platform that much of what Jon is referring to as 'the movement' is growing and standing on. I would just like to acknowledge your contribution to what has happened over the last couple of weeks. I think it has been bigger and deeper than even the two of you realize.

Joseph Farrell: Thank you. I hope so, Catherine. I don't have nearly the extent of outreach that you or Jon do, but I certainly hope I've been able to make some impact. We're all in this together.



As Benjamin Franklin said, “We’re all going to hang together, or we’re going to hang separately.”

C. Austin Fitts: Jon, any thoughts in closing?

Jon Rappoport: Of course. I can’t tell you how many people come to me through email and references and so forth from Solari and you, Catherine. You are spearheading something on many fronts that has ripples that never end. I’ve seen it over a period of time.

I think I’ve said everything that I want to say. We have an opportunity that is unique, and I don’t believe that we’re going to let up at all. I think we’re just going to go full steam ahead.

C. Austin Fitts: I had two plans for the election. If Clinton won, the plan was to address two issues: One, where was I going to live in a manner in which I had a chance of staying alive physically, having been tortured off and on by the Clintons for 20 years. The second was, if you’ve ever seen the movie *The NeverEnding Story*, the hero in *The NeverEnding Story* is trying to deal with a force in the universe called ‘The nothing’ which is turning everything in the cosmos into nothing. My question was: While I manage to stay alive with the Clintons in the White House, how do you deal with the nothing as you go into another truck stop and face another transgender bathroom?

I just have to acknowledge that we’re very blessed today because at least for the next 24 hours we don’t have to back up the ‘nothing’.

Joseph Farrell: Right.

C. Austin Fitts: Okay. Well, gentlemen, it’s been a pleasure. As Joseph described, we have a real opportunity, and the last thing that we can do is let up because this is going to be every day for the rest of our lives, but certainly the next 100 days are a real opportunity to punch through. Let’s keep punching through.

Jon Rappoport: Thank you so much.

Joseph Farrell: Thank you both as well.



C. Austin Fitts: Have a good one.

Jon Rappoport: You too.

Joseph Farrell: You too.



MODIFICATIONS

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.