

BUILDING WEALTH IN CHANGING TIMES



The Solari Report

MAY 15, 2014

The Secret Space Program with Henrik Palmgren



The Secret Space Program

MAY 15, 2014

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Ladies and Gentlemen welcome to The Solari Report. It is really a great honor today to have one of my favorite radio show hosts on as a guest. With us today is Henrik Palmgren of Red Ice Creations including Red Ice radio, which I must tell you pound for pound is one of the most valuable and useful sources of information about what's really going on. I think at one point the tagline was something "mapping" or "exploring the uncertainty" and needless to say, the uncertainty rises every day. So Henrik welcome to The Solari Report and thank you for taking time off as a host of your own show to join us on The Solari Report.

HENRIK PALMGREN: It's great to be here, Catherine, thank you so much for those kind words. It's always fun to be on the other side of the mic as it were so thanks for having me.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Well there's a group gathered to do a conference this June in San Mateo, California. It's called The Secret Space Program and as we contemplated who would be the best host on the planet to host our conference, we came up with you. When you said yes, we were very excited. So you and I are going to talk today about that program, why we're doing it and why we think it's so important. First, let me just say that we're thrilled that you're going to be the host. For anybody who needs to know about the Secret Space Program the secretspaceprogram.org is the conference website and Henrik is going to be our host and moderator for the program.

HENRIK PALMGREN: Excellent. Yes, I hope it will be a good one as well this year. We were in Amsterdam, Catherine, in 2011 when the organizers put it on and it was real exciting. Very good stuff we got there, so I hope we'll do the same this year.



C. AUSTIN FITTS: Now were you the host for that program?

HENRIK PALMGREN: No, I was just there as a guest at that point. We actually shot a TV show from there. We brought on some of the speakers and talked with them in the audience. We had a little bit of a different idea there. You know Jeroen wanted to drag me and get me involved in some of the Q&A, but that didn't pan out. I was just there as a guest and happy to promote the conference.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: So now you get to be on the other side. Well let's step back and start with Red Ice Creations. How did you come to start Red Ice Creations and tell us about its beginnings and how it has evolved over the years.

HENRIK PALMGREN: Certainly. Yes, I guess its kind of a long story but there's certainly a way for you to shorten that down. I started this back in 2001-2002, basically just as a repository with some of the most interesting articles and research I could find online in 2001. Back then there wasn't even a third, a quarter of all the information that's out there today online. It was a new period of getting into all of the research and all of the excellent material that was available to you at that point. I just wanted to have somewhere where I could save it, to a certain extent.

It wasn't until 2006 that we added the radio show portion to the website as well, which has become very popular. We have listeners all over the world. We have had hits from every single country in the world, although it's still spread far and wide online. It's really encouraging because we never intended it to be what it is today, really. It was just my own interest in these topics that was driving me at that stage. I just wanted to, well, I still do. I still want to know. That's the main driving, motivating force behind all of this.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Right. You always have this feeling about you guys. You would just say, "I'm going to get to the bottom of this."

HENRIK PALMGREN: Yes, exactly.



C. AUSTIN FITTS: Now have you gotten to the bottom of it?

HENRIK PALMGREN: Not at all, no. Far from it. The more you find out, though not in every single case, but the more you question and the more you research, the more you try to piece things together you realize how little you really know. As every year, every month, and every day really goes by, it feels like you can accumulate the information. You can process it in a different way, you come to understand it in a different way, and more and more of what you learned in the past make sense. Some things, of course, kind of fall off and you do sharpen your quest a little bit I guess.

You do sharpen the areas that you feel are the most important and whatnot but at the same time, there are just so many unknowns out there and so many mysteries. The more we ask the more we realize what there is to find out about it. To a certain extent that can be kind of frustrating but at the same time it means that it's an eternal quest for knowledge. This is what the philosophers throughout the millennia have been involved in, of really finding out what are we doing here. What is it all about? It's the deeper and bigger questions.

“As every year, every month, and every day really goes by, it feels like you can accumulate the information. You can process it in a different way, you come to understand it in a different way, and more and more of what you learned in the past make sense.”

C. AUSTIN FITTS: What was that tagline on your site, I loved it so much, something about mapping uncertainty or managing the uncertainty?

HENRIK PALMGREN: Yes. Well, we have one right now, which is “Navigating the Ocean of Uncertainty.” Maybe that's the one you're thinking about.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Oh, that's it, “Navigating the Ocean of Uncertainty.” I thought that was a great way of describing it. One of the reasons we're all gathering in San Mateo for the Secret Space Program conference is because the issues of the Secret Space Program and its financing, as with all the different ramifications of that, are critical to understanding how



things are working on planet earth. They are critical to understanding what the real governance system is. It's right at the heart of really trying to distill that uncertainty down to a framework within which we can explore and ask questions. From your standpoint, why did you want to be involved and how does this fit in with your search for the truth?

HENRIK PALMGREN: Right. I mean I'm just out of the gates here. I'm certainly not an expert in any capacity on this particular topic, if I am on any topic really. I've always been interested in what's at the pinnacle of all the efforts of the elite, for the lack of a better term. What is at the apex of that pyramid? Of all the conspiracies and the corruption and the money laundering, the aspect of trying to control humanity, to putting a controlled grid throughout a prison planet. We all know what is going on at this stage, but what are they really doing that for? What are the reasons beyond just the basic parameters of controlling people and having an upper hand when it comes to where we're going to develop in the future?

So my question has always been, "is there something else going on, which we are not privy to?" Is it something that is far beyond what people expect it to be? I think it's fairly safe to say that it's definitely not all about the money. Some of these people have managed to, as you've proven, Catherine, take away more money from this through all their schemes than what one could spend in a million years. They print the money for all, from all the economic systems so that's not it. There's something else going on.

What is at the top of that? What is the most important? Is it to get off world? Is it to create an earth 2.0? What are the measures and lengths that they are willing to go to get off this place? There are so many angles here and so many possibilities still but I think that this particular topic is one of the more interesting issues. What's at the pinnacle of the pyramid of what they're trying to achieve? Why they're trying to do it, and again, if there is something bigger. "What if there's something threat wise," which we discussed when you were on Red Ice, Catherine, recently.

What is the threat level out there? Is there something that has initiated



them to go to these lengths and go to these very subversive measures, if you will, to get their goal achieved? Unfortunately, there are so many more questions than we have answers right now. But nonetheless, I think it's definitely a contender to why they're doing what they're doing.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: You know it's very interesting, when I first started in 2005; I started to go on *Coast-to-Coast* radio a great deal. I had always resisted it because I was trying to interest people in communities, water and sewer systems, utilities, roads, and community venture funds. So many people just wanted to talk about space, aliens, and UFOs. I thought, "we all need to get grounded, why can't I interest you in roads and bridges?" It took me a long time to realize that the financial system is harvesting everyone, every person, every family, every household, every community, every business and that harvesting is like a huge global tide and it's going someplace.

It seemed to me more and more that it was going to space. More importantly, if you look at a community - I spent a period of time looking hard at the narcotics trafficking and what I discovered is, if a group of soccer moms get together and try to back the drug dealing out of their neighborhood, what they discover is that (and this is an allusion to American TV), Tony Soprano, who's running the local drug business is backed up by James Bond.

So if you back the drug dealers out of your neighborhood, if the mob gets back out of your neighborhood, the next you have James Bond coming down on your head. There seemed to be a rule that no neighborhood could get out and it turned out that it was because it was so centralized. If one neighborhood could get out then any neighborhood could get out. One of the things I discovered is, if you looked at who was enforcing that, in the United States it was the same companies enforcing it around the world. It was a global model. There was a very direct link between what was happening on Main Street and what was happening in the greater geopolitics because money is connected.

When you squeeze down on one part of a toy, boom, it pops out on



another. So I was almost kicking and screaming when I was dragged into looking at space. I said, “Wait a minute, if every neighborhood is going to be harvested to finance something in space then I guess there’s a connection.” I finally reached the point where I just feel like a little dog digging his heels in and saying, “I want to know what’s going on here.” What is this space thing all about?

HENRIK PALMGREN: Exactly. You raised a good point as well, by the way, because I believe the lack of interest that we see in the world now is actually connected with the topic in a different way as well. While we have something like the secret space program going on, the breakaway civilization that’s trying to do something else somewhere else, if that’s an actual planet in the golden log zone somewhere or if it’s on a space station or whatever shape that takes, I think a key component is this idea that we also fight among ourselves while they’re doing this.

As the prison planet of control is being built around us, we see everything from the destruction of the economy to the destruction of countries really. The national identity has eroded; mass media is controlled. The economy being stifled in that regard, you have different models and propositions coming that make it more difficult for people. The red tape and bureaucracy make it more difficult. It’s almost turning more tribal, if you will, on this level where we fight among ourselves while they’re busy doing their thing. It’s a diversion tactic and I think that they interlock in some way. This may be why we get the lousy services that we do from government.

It’s also an important aspect that we shouldn’t take out of the picture because we think, “Okay, well it’s a much bigger and important topic at hand. We all need to focus on that.” I think we need to focus on as many things that interlock as possible and try to figure out why we’re in the predicament that we are in. Why are we in this situation? Why is it so difficult to your politics and the direction that it is? Why are all these things happening with the destruction of the west at the same time? Free speech is going. Conformity. There are so many issues with this and I think it’s made to be difficult for us down here, so that we lose sight of the goal and the prize.



C. AUSTIN FITTS: So it's the big picture.

HENRIK PALMGREN: Exactly.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Well that's what I'm hoping for. If you look at the people who are coming together in June, there would be an opportunity for those people to come together and meet face to face. For the last two years when I drive back from California to Tennessee once or twice a year I stop and visit with Dr. Joseph Farrell in person. I found just being in person, we have more "Aha!'s." There are just many, many "Aha!" moments. I was with Richard Dolan at the Breakthrough Energy Conference in Boulder last year.

What I was hoping for is that we could get all of these people to come together. We just had good news, Jon Rappoport will be there. So Richard and Jon and Joseph are three of my greatest suppliers of "Aha!" moments and the thought of having them in the same place together - who knows what could happen? But maybe as the host you could walk us through the program?

“Richard and Jon and Joseph are three of my greatest suppliers of “Aha!” moments and the thought of having them in the same place together - who knows what could happen?”

HENRIK PALMGREN: To begin with we have you Catherine, of course. We're going to have Carol Gross there via Skype.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Yes, Carol is coming in by Skype.

HENRIK PALMGREN: That's right. Of course, Joseph Farrell, Richard Dolan, as you mentioned. We also have Michael Schratt and Robert Morningstar and yes, Jon Rappoport is going to be there as well. He's also one of my favorites. As host, I just wish that I could facilitate you guys and do it in such a way that all the information comes out coherently. I wish that we can pull it together because I'm looking forward to the Q&A sections as well.



C. AUSTIN FITTS: Has it been finalized how much we're going to be doing in panels and in Q&A?

HENRIK PALMGREN: I have to look at that again. I'm not actually quite sure. I haven't really dug into the schedule of it yet because I know it's changing a little bit all the time. At the end of every day I think we're going to like try to pull it together and summarize what has been learned. We're going to look at the highlights and the big points. Hopefully those will be worthy of further examination because just as you said when you kind of blend people and get them together, strategies happens.

You get a different flavor to things and different perspective as well. I hope that something more can be drawn out of that because, this is a topic where there is so many unknown things. There's a couple that we do know, of course, through not only the financial trail but we know about the X37B, the craft that's coming and going. We know that its been leaving space, acting as some kind of delivery vehicle to something up there. One of the missions lasted for over 500 days, I believe. Something crazy like that, yes, it's been in space for over 500 days and there's still no admission of what it is doing up there or where it's going.

So there are some real key notes as to what actually can be found out and the question is, "how do we open up the topic more?" How do we actually go from uncertainty to certainty about what really is going on? Is this something that can be extracted through freedom of information? This is something that just can be done through more and more people trying to get up into space with the private space industry.

That's one thing I've always hoped for, Catherine, is that something comes along as technology gets more accessible and more things can be pulled together, if you had some kind of crowd-funded space program or strategy like that. We could pull this together and actually try to achieve getting up into space and doing this for ourselves.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Well I think the United States has clearly moved to privatize the space program or to certainly open things up to private



space. We see the private space companies growing in number and Space X, for example, doing more work for NASA on a more entrepreneur contract model than has traditionally been the case. It seems to be moving in that direction. Just as you have the commercial interest wanting to move into space, we also see more national sovereignty squabbling. I don't know if you just saw the recent announcement from the Russian deputy saying the Russians should create a permanent base on the moon. This came right after NASA had cancelled its agreement of cooperation with the Russians post the start of the Ukraine event.

We also see the Chinese sending their first mission to the moon. So you can see the national sovereign competition is heating up and what I would tell you, what's been going on with the global currency, the critical issue on the reserve currency or the evolution of it is who controls both the satellite lanes and space weaponry to the extent that it exists. So I think there's a push to assert sort of weaponry control of space and turf in space on one hand and a push by the commercial interests to go out into space. How long have you been living in the states now?

HENRIK PALMGREN: Well we're going back and forth a little bit. I'm based out of Sweden still and I've been going back to the US a little bit because my wife is American. After a couple years in Sweden she kind of was missing her home country a little bit, so I've been going back and forth a little bit. I'll be here during June for the space program conference but then I'll probably go back again. We'll see how long I'll stay, I'm not sure yet actually.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: So you should spend winter in the US and summer in Sweden.

HENRIK PALMGREN: Yes, exactly, summer is better in Sweden.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: So I just want to get back to is the notion of space weaponry, something you've covered on Red Ice Creations and Red Ice radio.



HENRIK PALMGREN: Oh certainly. I mean I think of Farrell as being the go to guy on this topic as well as what he's going to have to dig out. There's one thing I always wonder about and that is the level of the cahoots between nations. If there is a top-level cooperation or if what we're experiencing is just a charade between these different countries? Because I believe that if we had an imminent threat or if even there was building of something up in space such as weaponry, I think that there would definitely be a top layer. It would be a top tier above the nation level that would definitely cooperate on these levels. It might still just be the official space program that might be concerned with the US versus Russia or versus China kind of scenario.

But I think if we transcend into or bob that level to it I think that there really top-level cooperation, which is completely done over most people's heads. Then the question is if even people like Putin or Obama are aware of this, which I doubt actually. They might not be in the loop on these things because if they knew too much they're way too public. They might reveal something about it or whatnot. The issue of weaponry is always really interesting because we always come back to the kind of question of, "okay, if they are building some kind of weaponry out there, is it aimed towards earth or is it away from earth? Is the threat the reasoning for the building out there or is it more to keep us down here, to keep us locked in on this prison plane?"

C. AUSTIN FITTS: One of the challenges of framing the unanswered questions of space and then drilling down and trying to get the facts and evidence, is you are immediately targeted with all sorts of disinformation and derision. I'm a financial person and in the financial world you're just not allowed to talk about these topics or you will be targeted and made a laughing stock of.

It takes a lot of energy to offset that. I think one of the questions I've thought about in connection with this conference is, "How do we pull this topic out of that old dynamic of trying to talk about it and running into targeted disinformation and being made fun of? How do we just say, 'Look, that's an old conversation this is too important let's put that behind?'"



HENRIK PALMGREN: Yes, well good question. You just have to focus primarily on what it is that we need to find out and present credible information because you'll always have a level of ridicule. If you end up defending yourself against that you're losing the plot a little bit. I think that's the goal of them as well, to derail you, that you're obsessed with trying to make it credible to other people.

There is a way you can go about that, pointing to the things that we do actually know and trying to piece together the puzzle in that way. But at the same time we have to be brave enough and just push forward. We need to really try to reason with people and say, "listen, you know these things have been going on, on a smaller level for years." People can think of the Manhattan Project, there's tons of these big scale projects that have been secret for years and years. Nothing we doubted was possible they did it, they pulled it off.

In this case why wouldn't it be possible? We even have space flights, as I said, the robotic space flights of the X37B that is coming and going. I think there's satellite launches or some kind of launches from various places. We have one up in northern Sweden as well, a spaceport or whatever the name is for it. There's just an incredible amount of liftoffs. I think NASA is monitoring that. There's a couple of online sites that show just how many things come and go and leave the atmosphere each year and it's just a tremendous amount. I don't have the number on top of my head right now but it I was shocked when I saw it. Is it that many rockets and shuttles that go back and forth? Where is all this stuff? Is it just orbiting around the earth or is it going somewhere else?

“There’s a couple of online sites that show just how many things come and go and leave the atmosphere each year and it’s just a tremendous amount.”

There's a lot of these main points that we can stress without necessarily going too far into the fringe areas of speculation to get people to understand that this is a possibility. Piecing together the puzzle in a coherent way is what I think we should do.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Well you know there's a massive amount of money



involved and that's how I finally came to it. I discovered that James Bond was always protecting Tony Soprano. I said, "okay, this is conversation that can't be avoided." So even though I wanted to avoid it, I'm not going to because it leads to the heart of the matter. I started studying the evidence, particularly the video evidence. I'm a money person so everything to me had to come down to the mathematics of time and money. That tool's useful to helping you understand a whole lot of phenomena on planet earth but when you talk about other dimensions or other worlds, it kind of breaks down. It's an earthly tool. We're talking about literally trillions of dollars of hardware flying around in the sky, which is clearly superior technology. One of the things I know on planet earth is that superior technology wins. So when it comes to money, you're talking about the guy who ultimately can enforce the investment. If a huge amount of money is getting harvested out of the financial system and disappearing, there has to be a connection, because the only person who can get that harvest is the one with the biggest guns.

So I finally said, "look, I don't begin to understand this but I know I've just got to start asking questions and I've got to keep asking questions because this is running the show." It's just running the show. You can't have \$100 trillion of hardware flying around in the sky and think it's not relevant to the economy. It's relevant to the economy whether they're running enforcement or somebody's having to build an industrial infrastructure to produce it. Somebody's got it.

HENRIK PALMGREN: Yes, exactly.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: One of the challenges that comes up when you talk about advanced technology that is not integrated into the infrastructure, is that it's a financial question. If you just look at the pension funds and the stocks they hold, they have a great deal of money in a variety of interests. If you introduce new technologies, the number of people that lose their jobs and the equity, the destruction of that equity value may be much greater than the number of new jobs created or the new equity value created.



So if you look at what a pension fund can lose on General Motors, it can be a lot more than they make on Tesla Motors. You see what I mean. I found that the line of what new technology is allowed and then what's not is very politically managed. One of the reasons is you get these very bizarre financial evaluations that depend on that line not shifting. If it shifts you can get dramatic results. I mean one of the things we're watching right now is utility stocks are way down because the growth of renewable energies is really causing enormous creative destruction in their business.

HENRIK PALMGREN: Right. Right. Well, I think the question is if the technology developed is still something within the official realm. I mean, are these companies on the stock market? Is there something, which again, the development per se is something that you can get access to and see, or is all of this basically all from budget? Is it being developed in an industrial infrastructure, which we to a larger extent don't even know about? I mean is it developed in the massive, vast underground facilities that we have?

We don't have to go there, we just know. We were in a conference in Albuquerque, New Mexico here a while back and it was really interesting to see how much had been done there in Los Alamos. These weird, crazy scientists that are given huge budgets to just experiment to find whatever they need to find to make advancements in understanding energy systems and plasma and everything else. It's right there on the level of where the money is being poured into when it comes to research and development. We do have a completely off budget program running as well, the black budget, so there's everybody that goes into that kind of world.

The question of course, is it only with an insider and whistle blower that we can get closer to that? I think we should be reluctant and we should be skeptical when a whistle blower comes out and speaks out that they have seen this or done that. At the same time though, isn't there that need to look and figure out what else is going on. It's all very difficult to confirm that since there seems to be disconnect between the suggestion, let's say the use proposal to some of the more extreme whistle blowers



out there. Shall we say where there's a gap though it's not really connected. It can be so radical and so off the wall. It doesn't mean that it's not true of course, but it becomes difficult to take that and absorb it into this model of what actually is going on. I don't know about you, Catherine, but I find that kind of difficult when there's a disconnection there.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Right. Well, I remember when I first went public and started to explain to people about the mortgage fraud. I was warning people about mortgage fraud in 1999 and it took some people over 12 years, sophisticated financial people, to understand and face what I was saying. Here's the problem, you have a database and let's say I have an operating system and a computer and I have 50 different databases, well then someone brings me a database that requires me to change my operating system.

The thought of migrating 50 new databases into a new operating system is just way too difficult so I say, "no, no, no I don't want your database." Well you know what I was bringing them with the mortgage fraud information was basically saying that their entire paradigm of how the financial markets exist and work is wrong. They just couldn't fathom it. They just couldn't fathom that what I was saying was true. It took some period of time and of course, events proved me right and there you have it.

I agree with you that there's an awful lot of stuff that comes out and that's why you need people to vet it. For example, in the UFO topic, I've never felt confident to really understand it or expertise it or present it and I have very little personal experience. I have some personal experience with just seeing the UFO's in the sky but I don't have a lot of expert knowledge. So I depend on people like Richard Dolan. Richard to me is somebody who's gone in there and figured out what's information and what's disinformation. Until Richard and some of his work came along I would dive in and after the fifth book I'd just throw my hands up and say I give up, I have no idea, I can't sort it out.

I used to just give it away. I'd say this is really important and I'd dive in.



I'd read a whole bunch more books and I'd just roll my eyes and say, I have no idea. I'd give up and then it was a matter of finding people I could trust who had the time, the interest, and the intellectual rigor. That's why we just had Richard Dolan on The Solari Report and made the interview public to support the conference. I know you've had him on the Red Ice Radio. His new book *UFO's Through the 21st Century Mind* is just a new, fresh overview of the entire topic from soup to nuts. Its very well written, something anybody could pick up and read in a weekend and say okay, I now have a framework for this entire topic and how it relates to my life

I think it's a great contribution because we need to bring it down into your day-to-day existence and make it something that relates. One of the things I think is going to do that, I don't know if you're familiar with the Bundy Ranch incident that's been happening out in Arizona?

HENRIK PALMGREN: Oh, that was amazing. I saw that footage last night actually and the way that they were basically pushing away the BLM officers there, the agency?

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Right. It's the Bureau of Land Management. What you've been watching going on for many, many years is an effort by the federal government to confiscate or control land. Two of the drivers are that they want more land to help own and consolidate real estate for farm land so they're trying to build what I call an agricultural juggernaut. They're also trying to get as much land as possible for fracking. Right now you see the United States over in China and Europe making all sorts of deals and promises to make sure they can get Chinese and European support in terms of Russia and the Ukraine. That's a matter of delivering more oil and gas to Europe and it's a matter of delivering more food and other natural resources to China.

“What you've been watching going on for many, many years is an effort by the federal government to confiscate or control land. Two of the drivers are that they want more land to help own and consolidate real estate for farm land so they're trying to build what I call an agricultural juggernaut.”



At some point everybody in the world says look, we don't want more paper, we want hard assets. So China wants Smithfield ham, not more treasury. They need to come back to this country and you see them essentially grabbing assets. Now there's a huge play going on because of course, the ranchers want the land and so now you're watching a real war brewing between local rights and the urgent geopolitical needs of the leaders.

Now if you're the local guys, you can hear the leadership saying, "well, we need the land for this reason and this reason for geopolitics." But the local guys now have a right to say "well, wait a minute. You just stole \$40 trillion. Why don't you use the money you just stole? Why do you steal anymore?" So the American people have never wanted to dive into all the money that's being stolen. I've always said crime that pays is crime that stays.

But now we're down to all muscle and so if ever there was a time to open up this conversation and say wait a minute, you just stole \$40 trillion where is it going? You got plenty of money for the space station, so why is stealing our grazing rights or our land the way to finance this? Let's talk about all the money you've stolen and where it's going and why, p.s. the money in technology that's going to these private corporations ends up being owned by them and not by us when we've paid for it?

So to me there's no way this sort of local-global standoff, Bundy Ranch being a symptom or example of it, can continue unless you open up and look at all the money including on the covert and black budget side. So to me, Main Street has every reason in the world to want to be interested in sort of secret space program and breakaway civilization. This is at the very heart. We're being drained to pay for it but we're not getting the dividends.

HENRIK PALMGREN: Exactly. Behind this scenario with the BLM agents and all that, I think with the Wal-Mart family they actually are hiring these agents, guns for hire, mercenaries to do their bidding for them. There was a connection there I heard about.



It's about making the playpen smaller, of course, but also just extracting all the assets and creating conflict on this kind of level where they just ask for more and more. At some point this is where it ends. The states have a monopoly on violence and they tell us whatever they do. I think what's interesting is the way that they backed away. The people that were ready to take bullets it looked like they would have done that.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Yes, they were.

HENRIK PALMGREN: This would have then blown the whole lid wide open and so they're afraid of doing that. They can't do that because they know that this will open that Pandora's box of what is really going on here. If they're ready to kill and murder people, shoot people on these kinds of basis, which is completely unfound. But then they do it under different measures as well. For example, with the environmental issue you have the land and protection then EPA comes in and says there's this rare water bug here in this area and therefore, we're just going to take the whole land. You have no right to develop it or do anything on it. So there's a number of different ways that they're doing this and people have to be real smart about how they're actually using us, how they're trying to take all these assets from people and private property and everything else.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Well, it's interesting, today is April 14 and tomorrow is April 15, tax day. So if they are going to be aggressive in a situation like Bundy Ranch it's going to be on April 16 not on April 13.

HENRIK PALMGREN: Right. Yes, good point.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Well, think about it. I'll never forget one guy who proposed what we should all do is on April 15 just say, we're all filing massive political protest, we're all filing extensions. We will all file our taxes in the fall just as a way of saying, "okay, we're coming together."

I just interviewed Charles Hugh Smith who has a blog oft womindz. I don't know if you've had him on Red Ice Radio. The conversation was about soft weapons because I've always found that the thing, which has



kept the divide and conquer going is that the general population doesn't understand and hasn't built an immune system response to soft weapons. I wonder if you've discussed that at all on Red Ice?

HENRIK PALMGREN: Yes, you're talking about the acoustic taser, rubber bullets, that kind of technology or is it something even further beyond that?

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Yes, I mean sort of targeting individuals, targeting them financially and legally, targeting them with smear campaigns and whisper campaigns. Using entrainment overtones, all the different and visible technology including a lot we can do with telecommunications and Smart phones or TV's and everything else.

Have you ever seen *Enemy of the State*?

HENRIK PALMGREN: Sure. Yes.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Yes, I always tell people I played Will Smith in real life. So one day he's a prosperous attorney sitting in the middle of Washington and the next day everybody he knows won't speak to him and they all think he's a serial killer when it's just a sham smear campaign. So he got hit with a soft weapon attack, so to speak.

HENRIK PALMGREN: Yes, exactly. Absolutely. We see this in various capacities all the time. We've spoken with some people from the US about that technology and how to use that to terrorize people. There's other ways of doing that too, I mean we focus on the issue of the economic depravity in the Scandinavian countries specifically and how now this Nordic model and everything else is going to be brought into the United States. How it will be spread around the world in different capacities. Therefore we look at how for example, the Swedish tax agency has been targeting certain people and using accusations to muscle funds from them and basically destroy their business.

So there's this consorted effort to destroy people who are independent. It's like they almost don't care if you go on welfare at the end of the day



just as long as you're not capable of running your own show and doing your own thing. Being more independent and not dependent on the state or anything like that. That's just a recurring theme that comes up over and over and over again how people are abused and they're taken advantage of.

In some cases it goes further. They're experimented on with technology, they're experimented on in terms of psychology as well, which is really scary with the social engineering tactics and everything else they use. They destroy people in the media as well. There's no environment I think in the world that's so conformity-based and so rigid as the Nordic societies and specifically the Swedish one. So I've seen that firsthand how people are just completely eradicated in the media. It's one of the softest attacks as we've said, so for sure, yes.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: What has the Swedish response to the Snowden revelations been? How do you think the Swedish people have taken that?

HENRIK PALMGREN: I think it's a certain level of naiveté there. I enjoy what's being said there and it's something that's kind of obvious. It's like one of those Bill situations, you know when he started speaking out about this. The whole scenario, this is what it's all been about. The massive funds going up to Utah to Blockdale, the huge data center stored there for data mining and everything else. It's like this is what the whole organization was set up to do. So when someone speaks out about it this way, it's like yes, this is what the American people have inadvertently been paying for a couple years now.

“The massive funds going up to Utah to Blockdale, the huge data center stored there for data mining and everything else. It's like this is what the whole organization was set up to do.”

I was rather questioning about what Snowden revealed and how that is going to be used in a level-two kind of scenario. I've been seeing how they want to try to break down the trust for the US government as well and I think that this plays very much into it. I'm not saying people



should have trust with the US government but I'm saying it creates a division between the people and the state or the government and creates that level of conflict. It creates that level where you start to erode the sense of the nation's state and everything else, which plays into the bigger global one world government.

But in terms of Sweden, again, they've been way too naïve without questioning the background of the information or why they perceived in this way. We've had similar programs running in Sweden for years before this was revealed about NSA. We were one of the first countries that had electronic spying and targeting people who were politically dissenting groups and such and such. But they're more interested in it because it's on the American side. When it occurs back home they have a blind eye to it and it's not really that theatrical and interesting, so that's a shame.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Lordy, everybody's afraid.

HENRIK PALMGREN: Yes. Yes.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Sometimes you feel that nobody's afraid as much as the leadership, which gets us back to one of the key things I'm hoping to learn more about at the conference, which is what in the world is the leadership so afraid of? What we're watching globally to me, there are two possible explanations. One is that it's an invasion and the other is, you're talking about people who are very, very afraid. So this is a very risk adverse kind of response.

Well, anything else you'd like to say about the conference and your role in hosting it?

HENRIK PALMGREN: Well again, I just hope I can do a good job facilitating you guys and it's going to be a lot of fun. Of course, I hope that everyone who wants to be there and if they can't be there, of course, there's available screening tickets online. Basically it's June 28-29 in San Mateo, California in the US of A of course. Yes, I hope a lot of people can show up because I think it's going to be a lot of fun and it's always



great to get together with people with all different minds and then having the Q&A sections and everything else. I'm looking forward to it, it's going to be a lot fun. It's the first time I'm hosting a conference like this as well so it's going to be a lot of fun for me. It's going to be great seeing you, all of you guys there.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: So for everyone who is not from California just to remind you San Mateo is Silicon Valley south of San Francisco. So we'll be in the San Francisco Bay area. It's a lovely area and a lovely time to be there. Before we close down as well if you could describe Red Ice Creations and Radio and tell us a little bit more about how to find you on the web and access your work because I cannot recommend it highly enough.

HENRIK PALMGREN: Thank you, Catherine, certainly. My website is redicecreations.com, that's the main website and we have news updated frequently, almost every day. We do three radio shows per week and people can tune in right there on the website. They can subscribe through the feeds that we have, rss feeds. We are also available on iTunes and Stitcher and a number of places. We have all the social media buttons and everything else that people can connect with up there on the website. Then we do have a member's website as well where people can sign up if they want to hear the full broadcast and all the archived shows. We have over a year of shows available for free at redicecreations.com but then if they want to hear some of the extra stuff and get access to some of our videos and films and everything else redicemembers.com is the website for that. We go all over the place; we cover tons of different types of topics. People might get confused and think what is this?

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Reality has been fractured and we've all been put in little boxes and little niches and you're trying to integrate, which means you've got to collect up reality from all the different pieces and bring it back together again. So I don't think you're all over the place at all, I think you're trying to navigate the ocean of uncertainty and bring it back together for us into something's that a little bit more coherent. So I would say you're a coherency-seeking machine.



HENRIK PALMGREN: Thank you. Thank you. Well, again, it's a very concerted effort to try to cover as many of different topics that is out in the alternative as well as a measure to just investigate them. We say this often on the program it's not just the fact that we bring people on just to promote every issue and that we're for and pro and believe in everybody that's being said, far from it. This is a quest to try to get closer to the kind of material that the researchers and authors and filmmakers and everybody else that we talk with on the program know about. It's a way to just dive into it deeper.

Hopefully we can ask those questions that the audience would ask as well so that they can get a little bit closer to the material. It's like an open source investigation. Nothing is set in stone, nothing is decided, it's happening right there and people can take advantage of that process as we question and dive into things. I've walked away from other shows, for example I just had 180 and a completely different attitude towards a certain topic as I was drawn into it. That means wow, I've actually learned a lot from doing that show and that's what it's all about. Like learning whatever direction it takes you and that's what it's all about.

C. AUSTIN FITTS: Okay, well it's been wonderful having you on The Solari Report. Henrik, you have a wonderful day and I will see you in San Mateo on June 27, okay.

HENRIK PALMGREN: Excellent. Thank you so much, Catherine, great to be with you. Thank you.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.