

Jon Rappoport and Catherine Austin Fitts, Singularity - Creating a Mind Date: February 28, 2013

Catherine: Good evening. Welcome to the Solari Report. Today is February 28, 2013. Im Catherine Austin Fitts, and Im delighted that you could join me this evening. Tonight, a discussion about singularity with Jon Rappoport. In fact, normally, the last week is the Jon Rappoport show, so no Money & Markets or Ask Catherine. Were just having a discussion with Jon Rappoport this evening. Jon, are you with us yet?

Jon: I am, indeed.

Catherine: Fantastic! Well, you know how much I love being able to talk with you.

Jon: Likewise!

Catherine: And this is a very important topic, so maybe we ought to start off with what in the world is singularity, and why in the world do we want the Solari Report subscribers to know about this and think about this? Because you know, I will underscore, I think this is an unbelievably important thing for us to be thinking about and talking about now. But why dont you kick it off? What is singularity, and why should we care?

Jon: Well, singularity is the idea that theres going to be a point in history which our guy, Ray Kurzweil, who were going to talk about tonight, predicts will be in 2045 when machines will become smarter than humans, or to put it another way when a machine brain is created that vastly outdistances or at least equals the full capacity of the brain. And the reason that were talking about this tonight is because this represents a technocratic view of whats coming right up on the horizon: machines, robots, technology and so on and the idea that humans are going to have to somehow merge with machines in order to catch up to the singularity.

And although this sounds extremely esoteric and far out, these futurists and technocrats are very serious about what theyre talking about. And indeed there are many technological developments that are here now and that are coming very soon that will deliver to us the necessity of making some very serious choices about the way we want to live. And thats why were talking about this tonight.

Catherine: Well, maybe lets just break down a little bit and talk a little bit about technology and some of the technology thats coming. Ive spoken on the Solari Report a lot in recent months about the fact that I expect robotics to really rollout significantly in North America this coming year in a way thats going to have a dramatic impact on the employment picture. Kurzweil we should introduce Ray Kurzweil in a second talks also a lot about artificial intelligence and nanotechnology.

www.verbalink.com Page 1 of 30



Nanotechnology being the ability to take to create a computer thats so tiny you can put it into a red blood cell. So to take computation technology way down to the microscopic level in a way that it can be integrated with life. And of course, theres also the explosion in genetics and life sciences that allows this kind of integration of you know, we all know about putting a machine in your heart so having a pacemaker in your heart. But now were talking about thousands of little tiny machines running around the universe, and he has them integrating with trees and rocks and people and sort of all things.

So its not just one particular kind of technology. Its an explosion on different fronts, both in digital technology and in the life sciences. And its that explosion he sees it going up an S curve. I think I want to underscore what you said. If you look at these things, therere a lot of big questions that we all have to think about as to what this technology could mean and how we use it in our life.

And I guess the reason I wanted the *Solari Report* subscribers to really be thinking about this is Ive watched the integration of Smartphones and different wireless technologies into peoples lives and watched how it can impact it negatively both in terms of their personal lives the invasiveness of the privacy weve done several *Solari Reports* on privacy and what this kind of technology can do but Im watching it sort of invade our lives without us thinking consciously about, Well, how do we want to use these tools, and are these tools being designed with the best intent for us?

And who am I allowing into my life, whether letting them in through the algorithms and the artificial intelligence or literally putting my house and myself and my body online so they can watch me in real-time and use that intelligence to take advantage of me financially or in other ways? So I think were watching this or were experiencing the tsunami of technology without very much consciousness of what the pros and cons are and how to manage it.

So my hope is today after we finish this conversation, the *Solari Report* subscribers will be inspired to think much more about how to use these tools to make themselves powerful as opposed to just get rolled over by them.

Jon: Yes, thats extremely important. The one example that always jumps out at me is we have access to all of this information now online. We know what thats like. We know what that allows us to do, and we are doing it. Very few people, relatively speaking, who have the means to use a computer will deny that. They are roaming around the Internet and discovering almost unlimited caches of information. So most people agree that this is a very good thing.

But soon, on the horizon, whats going to happen is that the multiplication of this treasure trove of information is going to multiply to such a degree that theres going to be a point

www.verbalink.com Page 2 of 30



where theres a sort of a crossover, where information becomes education, lets say, and children, for example, who have enormous access to all sorts of data instantaneously will consider this to be education, and so will some adults many adults will because its so impressive.

And the power to make discernments and discrimination, distinction really between this and that and do you want to accept this piece of information or reject it or that piece and so on is going to become all the more important because its going to appear that theres no boundary on what we can access. Therell be of course, there are already glasses you can wear that give you computer access, but imagine a situation where you have a kind of proxy virtual person who understands your needs, wants, desires and so forth and can plug you into information before youre even asking for it.

Do you want that? And if so, how are you going to use it? How do you deal with it? When will you turn it off? Is the ease and availability and pleasure at having some sort of virtual reality interpret for you what youre looking for before you even look for it is that pleasure going to be significant enough so that youre going to say, Oh, why not? Lets just go along with this because its so wonderful? So that would be one example where people are going to have to make some very serious choices.

Catherine: Well, lets talk about Ray Kurzweil and who he is and sort of how he came up with this. And I get to tell my story of how I met him.

Jon: Yes, Id like to hear that again because its such a great story.

Catherine: Well, you want to kick it off? Who is Ray?

Jon: No, go ahead. Tell that story.

Catherine: Well, Ray Kurzweil is a software developer and entrepreneur who lives in the Boston area, and he came from a very exceptionally intellectually talented family and was I guess he was on TV at the age of 17 for having won the science prize for teaching a computer how to write music. But hes kind of a genius at technology, and in fact had started in and operated and sold a series of companies that have done a lot of good things. Hes done software that helped blind people read and communicate, also a machine that will take dictation.

So hes worked very hard at coming up with practical solutions to using technology to help people get access in a variety of ways and use machines much more easily. So this is a fellow, my guess, Jon, is if most of us met and sat down and talked to, this is a fellow we would like. This is a fellow whos always tried to be useful. Hes got a vitamin supplement company. Hes spent a lot of time understanding health and how people can live longer.

www.verbalink.com Page 3 of 30



You know, this is a guy who likes to help people, and hes clearly very clever, very intelligent, very capable, very hardworking.

But he came up one of our subscribers called me several years ago and said, Youve got to read Kurzweils new book, and got me to promise. Its called *The Singularity is Near*. Its not his latest book. Its the one before, and its the one where he describes this singularity theory. And sure enough, I read it on a long flight coming back from Asia or Europe I forget which and it was the single-most depressing book Ive ever read, because hes describing a universe thats dead, not alive and thats not intelligent.

And if youre a person who believes deeply in the intelligence of the universe and divine intelligence, its very machine-like. Anyway, so it was hundreds and hundreds of pages of machines. And one thing led to another, and you and I decided we were going to do this singularity discussion. So I watched *Transcendent Man*, which is the documentary were using tonight for Lets Go to the Movies, which is a documentary published in 2009 about Ray Kurzweil and his theories of singularity.

And I realized, Oh, my God! This is the guy I met in 1988 at the Department of Defense. So let me tell you the story. I was in the airport in Phoenix coming flying back to it was 87 or 88. I forget which. But I was flying back to New York, and my assistant reached me and said, The Secretary of Defense is pulling together a dinner in the Secretarys Dining Room at the Pentagon. Theyre bringing corporate chieftains and leaders of business and Wall Street together for a briefing by the Defense Intelligence Agency on the budget.

Well, these are situations where there are political issues over the budget, and they call together the crme-de-la-crme of lobbying corporate America and get them to understand whats going on and get their buy-in for the budget. So I said to my assistant, Oh, I guess they need a token woman, and she said, Thats right. I said, Okay. Well, Ill play. Reroute me into Washington.

So I flew into Washington and headed up to the Secretarys Dining Room, and it was the head of the big auto companies the chairmen of the big auto companies, the head of Dr. Pepper, the head of Dove ice cream bar or Dove ice cream and then the head of Marriott. You know, it was basically 20 or 30 corporate chieftains and then Muriel Siebert and I were the token Republican women, and then there was this guy, Ray Kurzweil, from Boston. And we go around the table we get a fantastic briefing from the Defense Intelligence Agency, and you know, who sort of goes into the geopolitical situation in the world and how the budget is meant to fuel the military that deals effectively with it.

And what you can pretty much see is that the automakers are basically planning on making their earnings targets and keeping their stocks up with government purchases of Jeeps, etcetera, and tanks and the like. And you get a real picture and flavor for the extent

www.verbalink.com Page 4 of 30



to which the American corporation is going to win multi-nationally because its stocks are levitated by the federal budget, which of course weve talked about for many years on the *Solari Report*.

So they get around to this and its funny, because hes a little bit older than me, but hes small hes short, and hes sort of very young-ish, and it was like a kid, and he started talking about how we could cut the defense budget by you know, I dont remember what the number was, but it was dramatic. But it was like 70 to 90 percent by integrating software and using all this technology and just making it efficient with new technology.

And it was the funniest thing Ive ever seen, because these corporate guys were looking at him like, What planet did this kid walk in from? You know, he has not a clue about how the real world works? Hes just completely clueless about what hes proposing would do geopolitically to the United States, their companies, the economy, everything else. It was very, very funny. And I looked at him, and I thought, You know, I wonder if this guys going to manage to stay alive.

But he was oblivious. He was really oblivious to the material reality of how the economy or the political scene worked, but he was just very excited about the possibilities of new technology. And then we finished dinner, and off we went. And Cap Weinberger got his budget and then I guess got indicted in the Iran-Contra affair, etcetera, etcetera, and got pardoned by the president. So off we all went.

But you saw someone who its amazing, and you see this in his latest book. His latest book this is a person whos sort of grown up in a bubble, is very enthusiastic about technology, and is remarkably nave about how the world really works. And when you dig in and you work through his different theories, you see the extraordinary naivety.

Now, the reason I kind of wanted to describe him and his background is I have a NeoCon theory the theory of the NeoCons, which is in Washington when the people who are governing things want us, for example, to go to war in Iraq, they dont say, Oh, look, were going to go to war in Iraq because we need to pump up the oil price, and then we need to control the markets here, and we need to checkmate the Chinese in the Middle East, and we need this and this. They dont do that. Thats not you dont present your real reasons.

What you do is you get a couple lackey NeoCons who promote their theories of why we have to do this, and you say, Oh, well, those guys make tremendous sense. Well, of course, they dont make sense, but you need you need an idea because ideas matter a great deal you need ideas that justify exactly what it is youre going to do. So youll get these guys who will promote ideas whether because they believe in them or because theyre paid to promote things.

www.verbalink.com Page 5 of 30



But the ideas have no bearing on reality, but they provide air cover for what the guys at the top want to do. And one of the things that frightens me tremendously and maybe frighten is too strong a word about Kurzweils theory is they serve tremendous this machine picture of reality serves a whole variety of purposes for the folks who are centralizing economic and political power. And technology is a wonderful sort of Trojan horse for them to ride in on.

Those are some of the things I want to bring up today, and I think Kurzweil is the perfect you know, I can just see the corporate chieftains walking out after dinner and saying to the guy from the Defense Intelligence Agency, You know, should we kill that guy, or is there a way we can compost him and use him to our benefit? And thus was born singularity.

Jon: Yes, hes the perfect example of theyre all very enthusiastic, first of all. Its almost a kind of religion with them in a strange sense of the word because they see machines and technology and artificial intelligence especially as some sort of a mystical transformation thats going to occur. Kurzweil thinks that somehow, although there is presently no God, he will be invented because all these artificial intelligence, machines, invade the universe and create a god somehow. And thats how far out he gets.

Catherine: There are two moments when I think I cant be shocked again. This week when I just was in a state of complete shock. One was in Doug Wolens documentary, *The Singularity*, theres a scientist whos saying, We know that machines exist that are conscious, because were conscious, and were machines. And Im looking at this woman like, Are you completely do you not understand one thing about reality? Are you completely lost?

And shes sitting there talking about, We know machines can be conscious, because were conscious, and thats proof because were machines, right. And then the second one is in the towards the end of the *Transcendent Man*, Kurzweil is explaining that human beings are going to program artificial intelligence into these nanobots, and theyre going to go into these rocks and trees and fill up space and all these other plants with intelligence because theres no intelligence there yet.

So everything we know of nature and natural science and natural selection and the intelligence of the universe doesnt exist. In his world, the universe is completely dead. Trees have no life. Theres no intelligence in life. Nothing living has any intelligence until you insert it full of artificially intelligent programmed machines programmed by humans. And hes absolutely dead serious. And you think, You know, how do I refute madness?

Jon: Yes you really cant. I mean, you cant argue on that level because youre talking to complete insanity. So its like youre talking with somebody who is a very reasonable psychotic, so how do you enter into any sort of debate or serious conversation with them. Theres no way to do that absolutely no way to do that. And the argument their

www.verbalink.com Page 6 of 30



argument is totally inside the bubble that they live in, and its very circular. I mean, you could say to somebody like that, Well, if we are machines, that means we have no free will, right? which of course follows from that.

And if we have no free will, then why are we bothering having any sort of conversation about anything, because if were just machines, the future is going to play out as it plays out, and theres nothing we need to do about it, which is a correct philosophical and logical inference from what theyre saying. But of course, they would never get into that conversation because they know it would make them look completely ridiculous. But this is what you run up against for these people. And yet you have to acknowledge that they are in a position of significant power and control.

These are not just some crazies off somewhere babbling to themselves. They hold reins of power. Theyre forming all sorts of algorithms that are bringing bogus scientific hypotheses into being as being correct. Theyre programming society. They are planning on having huge solutions to huge global problems thats going to bring in a global management system to the planet thats going to be run by computers which will say who has food, who doesnt have food, who has healthcare, who doesnt have healthcare, who has good weather, who has bad weather.

All of these things are definitely in their minds, and they are planning to make it so.

Catherine: Right. Let me just say in particularly Doug Wolens documentary, *The Singularity*, you see more than few people pull the rug out from under and take them on intellectually in very effective ways. So it does provide a second part of the story if youre interested in following this up.

But I wanted to talk through because my concern is not is Kurzweil right or wrong. My concern is how will this notion be misused, and why is that important to us. And so I just wanted to talk through some of those issues. Is that okay?

Jon: Sure absolutely. I think thats what we have to do.

Catherine: Okay, issue number one: You know, I go back because you know how much I love your book, *The Secret of Secret Societies* Im a great believer that ideas matter. We all are busy. Our time is precious, and so we all have models in our head, whether its a business model the big question when you get your you start a business, Whats your business model? and its very important that when you work with another company theyre compatible their model is compatible with your model.

You know, we have models in our head to save time, make things more efficient, and those models include frameworks of worldviews. And to me, a worldview and a framework for a

www.verbalink.com Page 7 of 30



worldview are maps of reality. Those ideas are unbelievably important. And one of the reasons I love *Secret of Secret Societies* is you talk so much about all these things which are invisible, but which are extremely important to the governance and management of the resources on Planet Earth and sort of how we view the world, how we interact with each other.

And so if you look at culture, I once had a dear friend who said that culture was the integration of the divine in everyday life. I said to him at one point I said, John Edward Hurley, you need to remember it can also be the integration of the demonic in everyday life. And so I wanted to start off and talk about the premise that the assumption that divine intelligence and natural intelligence dont exist, because if youre going to if you look at the history of governance on Planet Earth, what you will see again and again in the declarations of independence around the world or in the say the Chinese concept of the mandate of heaven is the notion that the universe is alive and full of intelligence divine intelligence, natural intelligence and that our freedoms and our rights come from that source as opposed to a manmade source.

And without that moral authority and that mandate, a governance system cant hold. So just let me read to you from the Declaration of Independence. So Ill just read, When in the course of human events it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another and to assume the powers of the Earth, the separate and equal station to which the laws of nature and of natures God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.

We hold these truths to be self-evident that all men are created equal, and they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Now, what I see I see a universe sort of dividing between two powers. One is divine or natural intelligence, and the other is force. And for force to rule for the New World Order to create a highly centralized government that is going to legitimize itself with force and control, including through machine technology, it has to destroy the notion that there is such a thing as a divine and natural intelligence and underneath it we have certain unalienable rights. And those rights cannot be taken away.

You know, you can kill me, but you cant reduce me to a zombie, so to speak. And to me, what were looking at is were looking at a philosophy which is very convenient and helpful to doing that.

Jon: Absolutely. Where I would plug into this is to say that what the Kurzweils of this world are looking forward to is also a world without any imagination or creativity or creative force or power on the part of the individual because they believe that somehow all of this, which is very alive, doesnt exist. We will eventually see they think that all of this is

www.verbalink.com Page 8 of 30



just one more system that can be deployed as a machine. And Kurzweil himself gives the example of an IBM computer named Deep Blue defeating a chess champion named Garry Kasparov and saying, Well, you see.

And people said in the wake of that, Well, I guess chess isnt really all that creative a game after all, and he said, This kind of reaction will be repeated time and time again, implying that we will eventually see that what you as an individual how you want to create your future imagine it and create it through your freedom, through your natural intelligence and imagination and so forth is a delusion. And that all you really want to do is to merge with artificial intelligence and live out your life somehow in that way, which he thinks is what can you call it a secular revelation of some kind. Thats where hes going. Thats where theyre going all these futurists.

Catherine: Right.

Jon: And they aim to knock out the most valuable, important, basic qualities of life in this universe.

Catherine: Right life is no longer sacred.

Jon: Yes and it in a way, theyre saying, though they wouldnt admit it, it doesnt even exist. What life? Thats just a presumption on your part. What we know is we can program machines, and being able to do that we can replicate the brain. And since we can do that, we can make a machine thats going to be many times more powerful than the brain. And then if we want to keep up, somehow were going to have to merge with artificial intelligence to do it. And thats all there is. There isnt anything else.

Everything else you think is a delusion. If you think youre free, if you think you have choice, if you think you want to create your future as you decide to create it in the best possible way, thats a delusion. And culturally speaking, this is whats coming down the pipeline.

Catherine: Right. I see singularity as being an op, which is you know, we have a Burzynski saying that its now easier to kill a million people than to control them. You create a notion of singularity, and you can get back to it being easier to control a million than to kill them. And its very simple. You can say, Well, this is what the machines decided; not me. Now, the reality is the machine the algorithms in the machines are created and run by people, and so to a certain extent I think singularity is an op.

Not that Kurzweil intends it as such, but I think the people who govern the notions of the people who govern the planet are going to you know, can succeed to machines I think is ridiculous.

www.verbalink.com Page 9 of 30



Jon: Yes but youre right. Thats what theyre doing, and they will develop a whole style of conversation and propaganda around this succession to machines to make it more palatable to people, because theyll be talking about the tremendous intelligence of the machines and how the machines are not deciding through bias and theyre not favoring one party over another, but all of humanity the all and the everything is going to be a central feature of their verbiage. The machines are making decisions based upon all of humanity the good of everybody on the planet.

For the first time, were capable of thinking in this way, and we dont have to say that its America over Russia or Russia over America. And all we need to get you people to do is to go along with it because then we can erase all distinctions and differences and everybody will be taken care of. And the propaganda will spin out of that in all manner of ways to say, The machine knows, so lets go along with the machine.

Catherine: Well, its funny because one of the challenges for me in all of this, Jon, is Im a lover of technology. I love tools, and I see these tools do wonderful things. I just watched the new movie, Robot & Frank. Its about a guy with Alzheimers. Hes a retired bank robber or I guess cat burglar. And his son gets him a robot who becomes his companion, and he ends up developing a great relationship with this robot, and they have a lot of fun, and you can see how in many situations this technology could provide wonderful services and wonderful tools.

And the question, of course, as a species is how do we integrate it in a way that we get the good without the bad. Thats the challenge. I wanted to bring up the concept of morphogenic fields, which Ive talked a lot about the *Solari Report* before. I know youre familiar with Rupert Sheldrakes work and Lynne McTaggarts work on the field. And one of the things Ive thought a lot about is as machines develop and become part of our lives, how do they relate to the field. And Ill just tell a story.

When I was closing down Hamilton Securities, we had really fantastic servers and systems, and I had spent many years working with a systems team and had been thoroughly indoctrinated to believe that if you didnt do this every week and if you didnt do this every day and you didnt do this every 30 days everything would stop. And when I ran the systems for about four months with no one there, and they just kept working and they just kept working, and everything kept working, and there was a feeling there was like a I dont know.

There was an enormous feeling. There was like this just blessing over the building and the systems, and they just kept working. And I thought, You know, theyve taken on a life of their own. I could never figure it out. And so I wondered, Well, what happens when we get these machines going, but we imbue them with our morphogenic fields, and the two get mixed up in each other? You know, I dont know if youve ever had a love affair with

www.verbalink.com Page 10 of 30



your car the way I tend to, but I could swear the car has taken on somehow this field has been created between me and the car, and theres something going on even though its a machine. I used to have a Ferrari that I truly think we shared a field.

Jon: Well, I dont have as much experience with that as you, but I understand what youre talking about, and I think its very real because people imbue things with life. I mean, this is part of living. If you dont do it, then everything around you feels dead. And so people do that with machines. And when they do that with machines and they integrate, so to speak, these fields with the machinery, then of course it is like a love affair. No question about it. And thats one of the things where the serious decisions that lie ahead.

Lets say somewhere up the line you go to a friends house, and theyre not extremely wealthy. Its not out of your reach, and youre sitting there, and the guy says to you, Im so enthusiastic about whats happening, and I just wanted to let you know that right now as were sitting here the kitchen is cooking dinner, and its going to be a great pasta dish, and youll see when you eat it, its absolutely fabulous.

And at the same time, in the garage, my car is being washed, and there are little machines up on the roof that are scrubbing away and taking off some of the gook from the air. And eventually the whole roof of the house will be repaired and painted. And all of this is happening right now as were sitting here, and were just able to talk, you see, you and I. And you think, Wow, and then the dinner comes, and you say, Oh, my God, that is a great pasta dish.

And then you go home, and you begin to contemplate this. Why not do this for my house, too? I mean, whats wrong with it? Why shouldnt I? And nobodys there to tell you you cant do this or you have to do this. Its just its up to you. You have to decide whether you want to pay, you know, whatever it is - \$47.50 a month to get part of or all of this service. And now you have a machine-run home to a great extent. And now you begin to integrate your morphogenic fields with the house and everything that runs it.

And you feel that this is absolutely fabulous. And then the question is, What, if anything, are you sacrificing? And you have to be able to contemplate that question; otherwise, youre in. Youre in, and there is no out. You have to be able to contemplate that question. What am I sacrificing, if anything? And you have to be able to provide some sort of an answer that really satisfies you at a profound level so that you can distinguish between what you are imbuing these machines with the fields, the love, the passion, the satisfaction, the pleasure whatever and the rest of your life.

How do you do that? This is already present. Kids do not learn to do addition, subtraction, multiplication, division the way they once did because they dont have to, and

www.verbalink.com Page 11 of 30



they dont see that as a sacrifice at all. And you may say, Well, I learned that in school, and actually although it was somewhat boring, it did really sharpen my mind. But these kids dont have that. So what about that? Its already here. Its just a question of degree, and youre making your mind up about how far you want to go with it.

And I think these are crucial thing. You know, how many hours a day do you want to sit at your computer? How much information do you want to absorb? All of these questions that were never even imaginable as practical questions 30 40 years ago, theyre now real, and theyre going to get more real and more all-embracing.

Catherine: You know my favorite story that Carl Jung told about the woman who killed her best friend and then married her friends husband.

Jon: Oh, yes.

Catherine: What happened was for those of you who havent read it its up on the blog under the title Karma Means You Dont Get Away with Anything. A patient came to see Jung, and she was she had murdered her best friend because she had fallen in love with her best friends husband. So she murdered her friend, got away with it she was a doctor and then as soon as she did all sorts of things started to fall apart in her life. She had a child who left as soon as possible to get away from her.

She was a horsewoman. Her horses would buck and rear when they were around her. Her wolfhounds all died. Her marriage turned out to be very unhappy. And she came to see Jung in the hopes that by confessing she could somehow clear the field, so to speak. And she told Jung that one of the problems was wherever she was, the birds would stop singing. She told Jung the birds knew. And one of the reasons I love that story is it describes the universe as I know it.

You know, we share fields, not just with each other, but with all life with the trees, with the animals. We are not that I like this as a verb but we are literally co-creating the world with each other. We are resonating. We are communicating at all sorts of different levels electromagnetically. And theres this whole other thing going on, and I dont pretend to understand it. One of the reasons I love Sheldrake is hes tried to create hypotheses that explain some of the dynamic that you see.

If you study money or finance, you know, money follows those fields. Money often rises around morphogenic fields and what people know as opposed to just return on investment. And thats the world we live on. Its intelligent. Its alive. Its connected. And so creating a framework where we are machines, and we are connected through the machines as opposed to through the morphogenic and the electromagnetic fields, now

www.verbalink.com Page 12 of 30



were talking about getting instead of us being connected with all life and creating with all life, were working through machines that can be invisibly controlled and manipulated.

I was watching the National Governors Association. I was at the gym and watching the C-SPAN, and the National Governors Association, Dr. Oz was giving a very excellent speech on what governors could do to help the people who lived in their state be healthy. Very few governors were paying any attention. They were all completely entrained to their Smartphone, emailing and doing things on their Smartphone, and it was like they werent there.

Their bodies were there, but their minds had jacked into the Internet. They were gone. Heres this guy full of life and very, very lively, and here they are with each other, but theyre not engaging with the other humans around them or the human whos addressing them. Theyre plugged into the machine.

Jon: Thats a perfect image for really the difference between humans and machines. The humans when they are fully engaged are unmistakably alive. If you see such a human, you know this is alive. This is life! Now you look at a machine, and the machine is doing calculations and this and that and is running and doing all whatever it does. But unless you decide that you want to imbue it with life, its just doing what it does. Its not really alive. Its not doing what it does because its passionate about it.

Its doing it because its instructed to do it. Its built to do it, and thats all its built to do. And so getting back to Kurzweil, its obvious that hes missing a piece. Although hes very passionate about certain things in his life and what he does to help other people, somehow he doesnt see that machines are not like this. Youre never going to be able to get that into a machine. You can get a simile of it. You can get a fake of it.

You can make a machine talk like a human and express like a human, but youre not going to get a machine to experience or feel alive like a human not really. You can only do a pretense of that. And the problem in our society, as I see it, is that more and more people are being convinced that they arent really alive, either. So they dont feel that within themselves.

They dont feel some great passion for a grand enterprise, whatever that might be, that is going to express out of them magnificent, majestic things as they build a future in the world, because if they were doing that they would instantaneously see the difference between themselves and the machines. It would be so obvious you wouldnt even need to talk about it. But to the degree that people are being, as you say, entrained in their brains by cell phones and other devices, and theres going to be a lot more coming up the line right away, they feel less alive in a certain way that they dont even detect anymore.

www.verbalink.com Page 13 of 30



So when they look around them, they dont really see all that much difference between themselves and machines. And so they can be bamboozled into thinking, Well, its all the same thing, when its completely polar opposite. And thats whats happening in the culture. You go see some of these I dont know whether to call them disaster movies anymore or whatever they are trans-human movies of invasions and so forth.

And of course, theyre getting much more capable with special effects and everything, so its always machines fantastical-looking, incredibly destructive, complex, sophisticated machines that are doing all of this. And the people sitting in the theatres are kind of enthralled by all this, even though its all destructive, because theyre now seeing in the machines what they might become, in a sense, since they already think of themselves in part as machines.

Well, maybe I could become something like this. I mean, I wouldnt blow up a city, but I could be this powerful because, well, Im kind of machine-like myself. And this is where the culture is going. So if it isnt going there for you, it might be going there for your kids right under your nose, and thats another reason why these are serious questions to contemplate.

Catherine: I will never forget sitting at a dinner in San Francisco talking to Stewart Brand, who was the guy who started the Whole Earth Catalog, and later became a consultant to big corporations, and Stewart explaining to me how terrified the people at the top were of morphogenic fields the idea that people could get together, create a consensus, lock on it, and then they would be stuck, and you couldnt move them, and they would turn and lock against you like the crowd.

And from that moment on I began to realize if you look at things like vaccines and you look at things like cell phones, and then you look at all the heavy metals whether in the vaccines or the chemtrails, youre looking at doing things physically that make it much easier to turn each person into sort of an atomic unit that commutes and communicates through the machines as opposed to resonating with the divine and with each other. So what you dont want is everybody getting together and communicating telepathically through prayer and meditation.

Instead, you want them locked in, you know, and physically locked into going in through the wireless systems or through the TVs or whatever. And I think its madness for many people to contemplate that this could be that these things are connected and part of a plan. But I absolutely believe they are because the fear of the power of people to come together and work together electromagnetically and use their imagination, that power is tremendous, particularly when you start to realize, you know, were also communicating with the birds.

www.verbalink.com Page 14 of 30



Imagine a world where the birds are for us. Whats that wonderful movie where the trees come together and they attack Mordor?

Jon: Right.

Catherine: The Lord of the Rings.

Jon: Oh, yes.

Catherine: So the trees weigh in on the side of the good guys up against the you know the evil forces of Mordor, and so all creation and you have inter-dimensional characters coming in as well and the trees and you know. So all of creation is going to work for the good guys, and thats the magic that Gandalf and those kinds of people bring and thats what you talk about in your *Merlin* series. Its that kind of magic.

Jon: Yes.

Catherine: In a machine world, people forget that magic is real, and they can call on it.

Jon: Absolutely thats one of the keys, and to get back to the idea of, This is an op; this is the whole idea of the singularity and everything that that implies, is on one level a heavy operation designed obviously for control. Just to plug in at a slightly different level on that operation, imagine that you had instantaneous, literally, access to a billion times more information than you have access to now with these primitively slow computers.

There is a whole question, which Kurzweil doesnt seem to be very aware of, of the quality of the information. So imagine what the prospects and the opportunities are to extend the whole operation of control into that area of information. For example, he talks about a future in which these tiny nanobots are circulating around in your body, and theyre not only spotting things that are wrong, but theyre fixing them, because why? Because they have access to the very latest medical information unlimited amounts of it which are accelerating all the time, in his view.

And so I beg to differ, Mr. Kurzweil Dr. Kurzweil. Who is saying what the quality of that information is again? Would you clarify that? With all the medical fraud that exists from top to bottom in the medical cartel, and you want to entrust these little tiny bots to run around in your body and make changes based on that information, which is so tempting to people because, Oh, my God, Ive got instantaneous access to 16,000 universes of medical information; its absolutely fantastic!

Yes, but are you in a position where you can actually evaluate even a single datum of that information? Or are you just taking it on trust? Because whats really going to happen when these bots get loose is theyre going to do all the things that the crazy doctors do,

www.verbalink.com Page 15 of 30



except multiply that by a trillion, and peoples lives are going to be destroyed, because its going to turn out that that information was horrible. And it was planned to be horrible

Catherine: Or not appropriate to them.

Jon: – because of the people that run the medical cartel. So this is yet another place where it just doesnt add up at all.

Catherine: Well, theres a premise and this was sort of my third point theres a premise across the board that the system can be trusted to have a competent ability and a positive intent. So for example, I was talking to you about the story when during the litigation when I had a duo of truck and car try and run me off the road. And every time I read the idea of self-driving autos you know, so we get in the car, and we read in the back while our software chauffeur chauffeurs us around.

Well, imagine the Department of Justice telling the software chauffeur to run you right smack into a wall or somebody hacking into the computer and doing the same or announcing that unless you transfer some money electronically out of your bank theyre going to run you into the wall. And so were talking about a world where crime including cybercrime is skyrocketing. Why in the world would you trust these systems? I mean, you cant trust them now.

Jon: This is a perfect example the cars. But look at the other side of that. Somebodys going to say, Yes, maybe this kind of thing that youre talking about on the dark side could happen. I mean, sure, I cant deny that. But look, the family and I want to go out on a picnic. Its Sunday afternoon. We want to go 50 miles. I dont like to drive 50 miles, but I can get in my car, and the car will drive all of us 50 miles, and we dont even have to pay attention to that. We can be playing games with each other in the meantime.

We can be talking and having fun in the car, and the car just drives itself to the picnic grounds and parks, and we get out. I mean, I cant say no to that. Its so wonderful. I go to work every day, and the freeway and the mess on the freeway. But you know, I dont have to sit there and sweat because the car is taking care its doing the optimum thing to get me to the office as fast as it can because the entire traffic system is programmed with algorithms. Weve got all the software, and so whatever the car is doing to get me to work, I know that its doing the best thing possible under the conditions.

So I can relax. I can read a book. I can watch a movie. I can sleep. I can do whatever I want. If I didnt get sleep last night, I can sleep in the car. And I mean, need I predict how many people are going to go for that side, you know, and not worry about slamming into a wall. But again, these are serious questions to contemplate. How far are you going to go with this? Or if its not you, how far will your kids go with this?

www.verbalink.com Page 16 of 30



Catherine: Right theyre going to go far, which means theyre going to have to play ball because everything you do is going to be physically dependent on the system, which can kill you in a second if you dont play ball.

Jon: Its all connected. I mean, you know, you cant say, Well, I want the car thats going to get me to the picnic; I just dont want the automatic deposit to my bank account and the visibility of everything in my transactions that the same system thats running the car can inspect in my bank and decide what to do about it. But unfortunately, the \$47.50 you pay every month plugs you into the whole deal. You cant well, I want HBO, but I dont want Starz. Its not going to work that way. Youre going to take the whole package.

Catherine: If you havent listened to the Solari Report I did with Adam Trombly on entrainment technology, I really want you to do that, because the other thing this thing is going to do is its going to bring NASA, the NSA and entrainment technology its going to deeply integrate it into all aspects of our lives. And we may not want to resonate with the machines, but they may be using all sorts of tricks to resonate with us, and we need to know that it exists.

Jon: Thats another incredibly important point.

Catherine: Oh, yes no, you watch these governors, totally entrained you know, you think of a governor as a powerful person. They werent; they were totally entrained into their iPhones. It was pretty scary.

Jon: Yes I mean, it isnt just that youre accessing all of the systems of artificial intelligence and machines and so forth. Youre resonating with them at the same time, and that resonating quality is part and parcel of what you get for your \$47.50 a month. I mean, if you were able to walk down the street and wear a pair of glasses or youve got a disk on the side of your head or whatever, and now you can access 100 trillion bits of information about anything simply by whispering a few words or even possibly, who knows, thinking certain thoughts, youre not only getting data; youre resonating with that whole machine field, and youre now programmed into it so that you become like it.

Catherine: Right and it programs you in ways that youre not conscious of.

Jon: Absolutely.

Catherine: Youve got subliminal programming coming into you. Youre digesting it. Youre believing in it. Youre not even aware of that fact.

Jon: You bet.

www.verbalink.com Page 17 of 30



Catherine: Its extraordinary. And I give examples of where Ive had it happen to me and the power of it even when you know it exists, so I really encourage you to listen to that Solari Report. Okay the fourth thing, Jon, I wanted to talk about was that were talking about a philosophy which is the basis of a real ecological and environmental holocaust. I get so frustrated because in so much of my work, I see wealth shrinking all around the world because we have disassociated financial ecosystems from environmental ecosystems.

When you align your environment ecosystems with your financial ecosystems and you let them optimize together, not only can you create great wealth, but you can do it in a way that heals and builds up and supports the environment because youre listening to all the living intelligence. Youre letting living intelligence and financial intelligence talk to each other and get smarter. And control the ability to centrally control the planet and harvest it financially depends on keeping those two disassociated. And its very destructive to the environment.

Its very destructive to life. I always tell people, fiat currency has done more to destroy the environment than all the mining companies in the world. But so this disassociation is very painful. If you look at this philosophy, what it says is, The universe is dead; theres no intelligence; were just going to go in and infuse at a nanotechnology at a submolecular level human intelligence in very invasive, predatory ways, and that will make it intelligent.

Well, its saying, Youre not listening to the most intelligent force in the universe; youre simply ignoring it and inserting into it some copycat of your own intelligence. And theres a stupidity in that that is frightening, but it will lead to environmental disaster. And I cant fathom how you make it work without significant depopulation.

Jon: No, I dont see any way that you would be able to do that, either. Have you told the whale story before?

Catherine: Well, its funny because thats what I wanted to talk about. One of the ways I really profoundly started to understand that we were part of a living intelligence that included all beings was I had some very dear friends in Maui who got me to come out with them. They said, Youve got to experience being with the whales, and I just laughed and thought, Oh, this is this this must be this New Age thing go be with the whales, and its beautiful, and you know, I was extremely skeptical.

And off we went in their boat, and sure enough we came across several I think they were humpback whales and some spinner dolphins. And we came right up to the whales. One of them rose right next to the boat. And I have to tell you, Jon, it was one of the most amazing experiences of my life. And we were in their presence I think for about an hour, and when I came back to shore I couldnt talk to anybody for about an hour. I was so it

www.verbalink.com Page 18 of 30



was being in the presence of a living being that is the most intelligent being youve ever been in the presence of. Its the only way I can describe it is the peace that passeth all understanding.

I was with a dear friend when she died, and there was a peace and a grace in the room that was indescribable, and that was the same experience. And right after that, in fact, was one of my first experiences with entrainment technology in a big conference speaking format that I describe in the interview with Adam Trombly, the *Solari Report* on entrainment technology. And interestingly enough, the entrainment technology the feeling was sort of a junk, synthetic version of what it was like to be with the whale.

Jon: Really?

Catherine: You know, and so they had yes, they were using what had in my life been the single-most pleasurable intelligence experience Id ever had, you know. And it was like, Oh, wow! It was amazing. But I must tell you when youre in the presence of that intelligence, you get an entirely different view intelligence is not processing something faster. Intelligence evolves a creativity and imagination and a wisdom and a state of love and peace which is something way beyond that. And its certainly not anything Ive ever picked up from a machine.

Ive seen this when you work with markets. There are so many times that I, as an investment banker, and in the marketplace, I was doing billion-dollar deals, and in the back of my head I would say, I know whats supposed to happen here. This is supposed to go to the right, and thats supposed to go to the left, and this is worth \$452 million and 63 cents. And Im smart, and I know which way this will go, and then you open it up to the market forces, and the beauty of free markets is they let the collective intelligence work and make each other smarter, and then boom!

Something would happen that was completely unexpected, out of control, and thats when youd realize theres this thing that is greater than all of us which is amazing. When I read your interviews on Ellis Medavoy Ellis is talking about it. Youre talking about it. Its this thing thats out of control, and its what happens when we all collaborate in a state of freedom and openness and with a positive intent for the best and highest good.

And thats where enormous wealth is created, whether its living wealth or financial wealth or both; ultimately, in a healthy system, they integrate. And those are the things that happen. And when you lock this all down through the machines, then imagination is destroyed, and you shrivel.

Jon: Let me tell you a little story about that. Its sort of the opposite side of the coin from your whale story. In the 1970s, I was doing a lot of painting, and a friend of mine

www.verbalink.com Page 19 of 30



who had a very nice house in West Los Angeles said, Why dont we do a show at my house? She said, Well hang your paintings all over the house. Well invite a whole bunch of people. And youll sell some work, and itll be a great afternoon! And I said, Wow, thats fantastic! So again, this is the 1970s now.

Im in my studio one day, and theres a knock on the door, and theres this guy there with a video camera. And he said, Your friend sent me over here to shoot some video of you painting, and well talk back and forth, and I said, Okay, sure, and thats what we did. Then the day of the show arrives. On her patio, my friend sets up a little television set, plugs the cassette of me painting in the studio in so that its sort of playing on a loop. And people start to show up.

They show up in the foyer, in the living big living room where a lot of the paintings are. And as if by an unseen force, they passed directly through the living room and out onto the patio where they begin to watch television. They see me painting in the studio. Thats what they look at. Then they come back into the house, and they begin to look at the paintings. And they say, See that painting. That was that was on television. And theyre like

Catherine: Its real because it was on television.

Jon: – seemingly enthralled, you know, by the fact that they just saw it on the television screen, and its the same one thats on the wall. Wow! You know, that was in the studio. Did you see that up there? Yes. Wow. Now, talk about entrainment, huh, and merging with the machine, and this was like I dont know 35 40 years ago, and I was just stunned standing in the middle of the living room watching this parade of people walking straight through the living room, not looking at any paintings at all, right out to the patio as if a magnet was drawing them out there.

Little television set, they stand there and watch it for five minutes, then they come back in, Oh, my God! That was on television. Look at that. That that is entrainment.

Catherine: Thats what Ive seen again and again throughout the country. If you look at who the reason we do heroes on the Solari Report is I want to break the spell of your heroes are people who are honored on the machine. The machine tells you who your heroes are going to be. Now, if you have as heroes the people who are killing you, you are in real trouble. And so its really important to have as your heroes the people who are trying to help you be successful, who are trying to protect you, who are trying to lift you up.

And so Im a big believer on breaking the spell of the machine-anointed hero, which is you know, the Judas goat leading you into the slaughterhouse.

www.verbalink.com Page 20 of 30



Jon: Theres a complicated sort of little spinoff of this whole operation, I feel, and that is how can I describe this? Theres an outlet valve for the population because the people that are running things realize, obviously, that theres a certain limit that people can go to in resonating with technology and machines, the whole culture thats becoming more and more machine-like where people are becoming like machines. This is not without effects within people, and it builds up, and it builds up, and it builds up inside people until theyre ready to just boil over and go crazy because machines are not who people are, but yet they are resonating more and more with machines.

So you can now go well, not now, but you can go to the movies. You can sit there and watch two hours of just complete destruction of anything you want to see destroyed on any level, and you resonate with that for two hours, you see. And that is your vicarious proxy release of all the life and emotion thats pent up inside you because you are resonating more and more with machines every day. And you walk out of the theater, and you feel a kind of reasonable facsimile as if youve actually released something thats pent up in you.

Its all a copy! Its a fake! What were talking about here with resonating with machines and so forth as a substitute for life, theyre trying to put over on us the idea that we can accept this machine-like reaction and believe that its real. Thats the trick. Thats the stage magic. Well get these people to think that virtual reality is reality, and that their reactions to it and their responses to it are exactly the kinds of responses that they would have in life. They are identical, so they dont really have to live at all.

All they have to do is respond, and your story of the whale, of course, proves the utter absurd fallacy of the whole thing, because you cant get that from a machine. Its not possible.

Catherine: No, and you cant get it. Its going to be interesting to see the day we try and replace our cats and dogs with robots animal robots. I dont see it. Another thing I wanted to bring up, Jon, is you and I have talked a lot, and I love the Sir James Goldsmith interview that he did with Charlie Rose thats up on the blog. And I always encourage all the Solari Report subscribers to watch it because hes describing how the launching of the World Trade Organization and the changing of the rules about how capital flow globally are fundamentally reengineering the ratio the value ratios between capital and labor.

And this is very important because a process began in the mid-90s to literally rebalance globally the flow of capital so that the value of labor would literally be rebalanced globally. And that meant tremendous drops in income for the middle class in the developed world, both in Europe and the United States. And he talks about how thats being engineered. And now were watching you know, and thats continued. Weve continued to see the middle class in the developed world be squeezed and squeezed and squeezed.

www.verbalink.com Page 21 of 30



Now what were facing with the reengineering of the federal budget and the reengineering of sovereign budgets of Europe is the fact that many of the promises made as to retirement savings are not going to come true or are going to be debased even if they come true, and thats being faced. But now were seeing the rollout of robotic technology, a sort of new fabrication manufacturing all these technologies which are going to dramatically free people up from having to work.

So just as when the Industrial Revolution hit we went from a world where 90 percent of the people were working on the farm to 10 percent and now less than 1 percent working on the farm, you know, were going into a world where many, many of the jobs that are being done today can be replaced by machines. Now, that means you have the ability to invent millions of new higher level jobs that we could all be teachers and spiritual counselors and all these other things, except if the financial system and economy are engineered so that a few benefit and everyone else is made to feel that theyre expendable.

And the picture I get in the discussion of the singularity is a justification that makes it sounds like many, many people are expendable. Now, at the same time, Kurzweils saying, We can live another 50 or 100 years, or I can integrate with a machine and keep myself alive forever. So theres you really see the beginning of a philosophy to justify the notion of continually reengineering the relationship between capital and labor in a way that many people are expendable and can be reduced to a very minimal life, again, controlled by machines.

Jon: I think thats exactly where theyre heading, and I think that the singularity, as you say, is being used as justification and also as sort of a smokescreen because the picture thats being painted of it is so pretty and enticing and so forth. But really what is lying behind that is a guy owns a factory, and somebody approaches him and says, Look, I can replace all your workers with machines. And he says, Yes, but I could never afford that.

No, you can afford that because I have a way that you can do it. This is not just for the very rich. There are ways that you can begin to get rid of people and instead put in machines gradually. And Ive got it all worked out. Ive got the business model, and here it is. And this is what it will cost you, and heres what youll get out of it. Heres yet another temptation to merge with machines. And the guy thinks it over, and he says, Wow! Can I see a demonstration? Of course, Ill take you to a factory where its operating right now.

And so now you begin to see people being thrown out of work. Well, what are they going to do? Where are they going to go? Who do they know that they can connect with because theyve spent all their life working in that environment? Who are they going to connect with to build a different kind of community? They are expendable in the formula thats being devised.

www.verbalink.com Page 22 of 30



Catherine: Right. We have a question from a subscriber coming in on the web. Let me just ask it, How much do the network TV news anchors really understand about all of the massive lies and emissions that theyre constantly telling us? Do they fully understand this, or are they just oblivious to it, all the while theyre reading the news that theyve been written for them on their Teleprompters?

Jon: Well, I can certainly relate this to what were talking about here, because if you want to see a machine in operation, just go to the NBC Nightly News, if you could, and plunk yourself down in the middle of all of that. Whats happening, first of all, is everything has to be done now, because its all about putting a good show on at the same time every night. And so everybodys under tremendous pressure, and everybodys kind of a cog in the machine get this information, get that piece, do it now and so forth. It looks like a machine.

These people are resonating as if they are part of a machine, in a way. Its a team, but the human quality is significantly absent from it. So thats the first thing. It really is its not real. At the very level where its happening, its not real. Its not alive. The anchors if they were to listen to this conversation Brian Williams, Scott Pelley, Diane Sawyer they wouldnt be able to handle it. They would immediately translate everything that Catherine and I are saying down into some other language that they speak where the parameters of the problems and the issues are reduced to the kind of political framework and economic framework that they operate in every night when they present the news because they have programmed themselves to do it that way. Theyre more aware than they give you credit for.

Let me put it that way. I mean, I could talk about this for five hours, so Ill boil it down. Theyre significantly more aware than they let on when theyre doing the news. But at the same time, theyve programmed themselves not to be. Its an internal contradiction, and they live with it. At the same time, they know theyre acting. At the same time, theyre not acting. They believe what theyre saying. This is the exclusive province of the elite television anchor, that that person is able to do all these things and not go crazy and be normal and be a member of the CFR, and go to the social parties, and meet all the people on the eastern establishment and realize which side of the bread is buttered for them, and if they turn the bread over theyre gone.

All these various factors enter in, so at the end of the day these anchors are machines. They live like machines whey theyre on the air within this limited framework of issues that are defined very narrowly. Inside that parameter or those boundaries, they have access to as much information as anybody on the planet as long as they stay within the fantasy that theyre really discussing whats going on in the world.

www.verbalink.com Page 23 of 30



Catherine: But theyre a little bit like, have you ever seen those dogs run around with the electronic collars, and if they go past the lines they get a shock?

Jon: You bet.

Catherine: So its very much defined by that. You know what the boundaries are, you operate within them, and you do your best to be chipper and try and create value within those boundaries. And part of the challenge its multiple personality disorder because you know that something is wrong. You may not understand all of it, particularly the young ones because they havent been around long enough to really understand the deeper system so they stay in their particular box, so you cover a particular part of the world, and you dont integrate it with the other parts of the world.

So you dont really understand the covert side, but youre in a bubble, and youre paid very well. And whats amazing is if you pay somebody a million you know, because Ive made \$1 million a year the system works for you. And so you think the system works because it works for you, and its very difficult to look at it from the point of view of somebody who the system doesnt work for. And its interesting; Ill have very wealthy people complain to me, Why does the man in the street behave like that? It makes absolutely no sense, where in fact, if you look at it from the point of view of somebody whos operating in a system that doesnt work for them, the way theyre behaving is absolutely efficient and effective from their point of view.

And the one thing I will say, Jon, is oftentimes when theyre asked to do something really quite beyond the bounds of reason, you see them struggle with each other not to laugh. And thats the time the more youre paid, the better you are at not laughing. But all of them struggle with not laughing when its patently ridiculous what theyre saying. I would say theres a range. During litigation, Id get in various board rooms, and Id start to explain to all sorts of people how the banking and financial system really worked.

Id be amazed how few of them really knew, because everybodys so busy climbing the ladder that they really dont take the time to understand the deeper system. Its quite extraordinary. But they learn pretty quickly, and I think one of the interesting things about the Internet is its rapidly accelerated the extent to which people who dont want to know do know. I know one of the reasons that I wrote the Dillon Read story Dillon Read and the Aristocracy of Stock Profits is I wanted to create something that was absolutely bulletproof in terms of documentation and back up to show that yes, there Yes, Virginia, there is a conspiracy, and the economy is being organized around organized crime, and we are being harvested, and its a plan.

And whats amazing to me is anybody who has read that article walks away and saying, Oh, its true. And you can always hear the seesaw dropping when they email you, and theyre

www.verbalink.com Page 24 of 30



saying, Oh, thats why that happened, and, Thats why that happened, and, Thats why thats happened. And Ive heard the seesaw drop in enough people at very successful levels economically and professionally to realize that they knew deep down inside, but they had never been able to put it together because it takes time. Its complicated. But the Internet is integrating that for a lot of people and, of course, as things get more nuts.

So I would say they see, but they are paid not to see until you have the multiple personality disorder thing going. But theyre acting against your best intent, and they know it.

Jon: Yes. What does a person do when they know that the thing thats earning them a great living and so forth they see the abyss. They see that theyre really walking across this thin bridge above the abyss because theyre lying basically. Theyre lying all the time.

Catherine: But the people theyre lying to worship them.

Jon: Yes.

Catherine: In other words, their feedback loop is

Jon: It depends on who that person is.

Catherine: If I lie to you, you will worship me, and so my attitude is, This is what you want. You want me to lie to you, and you love me because I do lie to you. And so this works.

Jon: And thats one of the multiple rationalizations that we use that we have to do this because this is all the people can handle. And what I was going to say is every new anchor the elite ones who have walked across that abyss, they make up stories different stories for different people to explain their own behavior to themselves. Its not always the same. There are similar elements, but everybody works it out somehow so they can keep the money, the position and all of that, and they can at least after a few cocktails go to sleep at night. So thats kind of what were dealing with here.

But in light of what were talking about tonight, and Im sure that overwhelming majority of your subscribers really do understand how slanted and absurd the news is, so imagine now that the news is going to be far more automated and subject to artificial intelligence and algorithms in what is presented to people every night on the news that it is now far more. So is that going to be liberating, on the one hand, or is it just going to be even more deeply into the control mode? And obviously, its the latter.

www.verbalink.com Page 25 of 30



So theres another hole to puncture in the whole theory of the singularity. Its not going to improve things. Its going to make the controllers be able to conceal things even better than they are now.

Catherine: We have a wonderful question from a wonderful subscriber who says, Please talk about what your listeners can do to let me read it exactly to counter this trend of machine dominance and keep the more human culture?

Jon: Why dont you lead with that?

Catherine: Well, I could talk about this for five hours. But I think the first thing for me Ill just talk personally is I do everything I can to invite the divine into all aspects of my life. So I pray every day. I pray without ceasing a lot of times. So Im always talking to the divine, and Im talking to angels and inviting angels in.

So I think, one, is you absolutely want to have a relationship with the divine intelligence and call on it, because one of the things Ive found Jon and I did a great *Solari Report* called The Power of IT, and I talk about how that intelligence comes in to support you if you invite but you have to invite it in. So I think thats one. I think the second thing one of my favorite stories is Caroline Casey during the there was a filibuster where Congressman Byrd was trying to stop the appropriation for the Iraq War, and he got very frustrated at one point and said, You know, I might as well be speaking to the ocean, and Caroline said, What a good idea, Senator Byrd.

And I am a great believer in inviting nature in, so I love to fill my house up with plants, and I love to go walk barefoot in the whatever. But I think we need to take the environment around us seriously, and we need to bring it in and invite in. We need to celebrate it. Then I think we need to be conscious consumers of technology. So as everybody knows, I dont own a television. I will now watch it occasionally at the gym. You know, I absolutely have times at night, I turn off a lot of stuff. I also like to have electricity-free days where theres no electricity on in the house. So I think we need to have timeouts from technology.

The other thing is I think what Jon said about letting the machines do things and giving up our intellectual mastery of how things work, so I think we need to be conscious as we educate ourselves and practice lifelong learning to make sure that we take the time to learn how to do things that we could have machines do, because that mastery is very valuable and very important. So those are a few things that we can do, but the other thing is we need to engage with each other. So we need to make sure that we can talk to and resonate with each other on an intimate basis and create intimacy in our lives.

www.verbalink.com Page 26 of 30



Dr. Oz was suggesting its absolutely imperative that we have more sex. Even that didnt quite wake the governors out of their entrained state. But the day that you think that your iPad is more engaging than sex, thats a problem.

Jon: But it has that glow to it! It glows!

Catherine: Right, it has that glow. One thing we did we just did a great Solari Report with Dr. Laura Thompson, who just happens to be Jon Rappoports wife, on detoxing, and there is much of the environmental pollution, which I think as the heavy metals build up, it really cuts off our ability to create intimacy and to communicate to the field. And so I want to stress that again. You should get that transcript, read that. Everything you can do to focus on staying healthy physically will simply increase your ability to resonate with the divine, to resonate with each other and to practice your imagination.

The last thing I would suggest I made a list, Jon, of your writings and speaking that go right to this point. So let me just read off because Jon has written a great deal on this topic in ways that I personally find invaluable. I do a lot of driving. I listen to his tapes in the car, and it you know, every time I think I have turned into a machine, I start to do your exercises, and I think, Oh, my God! Whats happening? Im turning into a machine! One is your book, *The Secret of Secret Societies*.

Another is *The Matrix Revealed*. And Jons going to be coming out with a new *Matrix Revealed*, which well talk about next month. That includes his interviews, and if you listen to our *Solari Report* on *The Matrix Revealed*, we describe those. And one of the audios that I just love, which I know is in the current *Matrix Revealed*, is Mind Control/Mind Freedom, where you do go into some of the exercises.

And then you have a whole body of work on imagination including exercises on how we can rebuild and build the power of our imagination. And then hes got one I love on Merlin. The full name of the Merlin tapes are

Jon: The Voyage of Merlin.

Catherine: The Voyage of Merlin and about a time in history when we all had the full power of our connection with nature and our power to use our imagination to create one of my favorite stories in *The Voyage of Merlin*, Jon, is when you talk about Philip. Can you tell the story of Philip?

Jon: Boy, I dont even remember Philip? Maybe you can tell it better than I can.

Catherine: This group of people get together. It was in Canada. And they decide they were going to create this being named Philip.

www.verbalink.com Page 27 of 30



Jon: Oh, that yes, thats true. Oh, they create Philip yes.

Catherine: They create Philip, and then this intelligence shows up, and they

Jon: Yes, this was a this was a real group. I remember now. Yes and this was a group of people. They werent desperate. It wasnt some it didnt start out from some crazy idea.

Catherine: These are ordinary people just saying, Hey, lets try this.

Jon: Yes, Lets see what would happen if we would just mutually create a guy, I guess, and they came up with the name Philip, and they eventually it was a collective act of imagination, but input from everybody. And then they began to have these sort of sessions with Philip, and Philip had no mythical significance of any kind, you know. It wasnt like, Oh, theyre devil worshipers, or, Oh, theyre doing no, it was just Phil, you know.

And they were talking to Phil, and as I recall things were happening in the room. The table was moving by itself and chairs were moving around. Things were happening, you know, as they are getting more into Philip the creating of Philip. And in a survey that was done eventually on this group of people small group when they were sort of done with it all, what was basically reported was the people from the experience felt an increased sense of well-being, health improved, happier, more confident, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera.

And thats all that they had done. They had made up Philip, and they had interacted with Philip, and this was a kind of an intelligence a group intelligence, you might say, or manifestation of group intelligence. Some people would probably call it, well, the best of what we could sort of muster up among ourselves as a kind of good guy, helpful, with good qualities.

And wow, there it was. You feel healthier? Yes. You feel happier? Yes. You feel more confident? Yes. And thats all you did? Yes. Pretty sensational.

Catherine: I used to worship in Washington in a Pentecostal church. I brought a friend once. It was an African American church. She came, and she said, You know, this is not a church. This is a community. About 15 minutes later, she said, You know, this is not a community. Theyve invented their own world. And it was funny.

You would all get together, and you would start to praise and give thanks and go through all sorts of different things that we would do together. And suddenly, the space would open up, the walls would shift out, and there would be this world, and we would share this world together, and all sorts of things would go on in that world that were incredible. It

www.verbalink.com Page 28 of 30



was your mind was lifted up together, and there was tremendous energy and rejuvenation to be had together in that world that was quite remarkable.

But you have to believe that you have the power to do that, and thats why to me its absolutely essential to not buy into this machine idea, but to instead imbue your life with the celebration of all that is intelligent in the universe and to not feel closed away from it or locked out, but to believe that all of the universe can be your ally. And if you need him, Philip can come if you call him. The last ones I wanted to mention, Jon, were the we did three *Solari Reports* on this topic. We did one on *The Matrix Revealed*. We did one called The Power of IT.

I did one on mind control, which was just an introduction, because a lot of this gets into mind control, and then the fabulous interview with Adam Trombly on entrainment technology. All of these are resources to help you explore this topic, which is its very, very important and is going to be a backdrop to many different things that are going to be happening over the next year or two.

And Im hoping that by having this framework, it will really give you the power to handle this in a way where technology can be a tool that serves you and not something that invades your life and literally turns control, whether of your money or your imagination, over to someone who does not have your best intent at heart. So Jon, before we close, anything you would like to add?

Jon: Maybe one point. Kurzweil says and I wrote this down because I couldnt believe it lets see, When the singularity occurs, the artificial intelligence will be so huge and so advanced, and he draws this inference, well have to merge with the machines to keep up. Thats kind of his explanation for this whole thing as far as human beings are concerned. That somehow because of enormous artificial intelligence thats coming down the pipeline that keeping up is somehow what we all want to do.

I mean, I dont even know what that means, but its sort of like keeping up with the Joneses in the old 1950s age of conformity, except now its this superhuman thing thats called artificial intelligence, and we have to keep up with it. Whatever that means, he sees the only way of doing this is that we actually merge with machines. And parts of what he means is nanobots running around through our system and who knows how many implants and things that we wear and that are glued onto the sides of our head I mean, take your imagination wherever you want to go with this.

Thats where hes going with it. And if we do that if we do that, then we will have such enormous access again, vague as to what that really implies that somehow then we will be able to keep up. And the ultimate image, as I see it through his eyes, that eventually we are all one machine. Thats where hes going with this.

www.verbalink.com Page 29 of 30



Catherine: The Borg.

Jon: The Borg exactly thats the end result is the Star Trek Borg, only its the good Borg. Its not the sinister Borg. Its going to be a good Borg. And that to me if nothing else convinces people that this is a really bad idea, it would have to be that. So that would be my final statement here.

Catherine: Onne other thing I wanted to mention is one of my favorite TV series of all time, which I watch thanks to Netflix, not through the TV, is *Battlestar Gallactica*, which explores these ideas of a society that creates machines, and the machines take over, and suddenly you have a war between the people and the machines which spreads out through the universe. And it explores many of these issues in ways which are very interesting, and its also a remarkable artistic performance.

So if you want to explore it in a fictional context, I recommend to you *Battlestar Gallactica*, the TV series, not the original movie.

Jon: Fantastic, Catherine.

Catherine: Someone once introduced you and said that you were a philosopher, and I think thats a very appropriate description for one of your many roles in our lives, is helping us look at and understand the most important philosophical questions, and youve done us a great service by helping us look at the singularity. So I cant fathom a machine being as intelligent as you are.

Jon: Ditto for you, my dear.

Catherine: You have my great and good thanks.

www.verbalink.com Page 30 of 30