## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

SUSAN GAFFNEY, in her official cap As Inspector General, U.S. Departme	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Housing and Urban Development,	)
Pe	titioner, )
v.	) Misc. No. 98-92 (SS)
THE HAMILTON SECURITIES GR	OUP, )
INC. and HAMILTON SECURITIES	)
ADVISORY SERVICES, INC.,	}
Re	espondents.)

## RESPONDENTS' SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT REGARDING CERTAIN PRIVILEGED DOCUMENTS

The Hamilton Securities Group Inc. and Hamilton Securities Advisory Services Inc. (collectively "Hamilton") submit this supplemental statement regarding certain privileged documents to notify the court of an overly broad statement in Petitioner's filing, which regrettably, appears in Hamilton's papers as well. The inaccuracy concerns the OIG's broad assertion that Holland & Knight, LLP "had a contractual relationship with HUD." *See* Petitioner's Opposition at page 3 and Government Exhibit 1 at page 2. Hamilton's former counsel at Holland & Knight informed us just last evening that the OIG's statement is not true in regards to the loan sale program at issue here.

The OIG's counsel had asserted on several occasions that Holland & Knight had a contractual relationship with HUD when presenting this issue to the Special Masters, and Hamilton's counsel – who do not represent Holland & Knight, LLP – conducted a brief review of the facts and assumed that the OIG's assertions about Hamilton's former counsel were accurate. Holland

& Knight asserts unequivocally that it never provided legal services under a contract with

HUD on the loan sale program. All such services were rendered under a subcontract with

Hamilton. See the attached affidavits of G. Richards Dunnells of Holland & Knight and Richard

Moorhouse, formerly of Holland & Knight.

We regret not having discerned the OIG's overly broad statement earlier. Nevertheless,

Hamilton's position remains the same, and in fact, is strengthened: certain work that Holland &

Knight did for Hamilton was not in furtherance of Hamilton's HUD contracts, and communica-

tions concerning that distinct legal advice are privileged.

Respectfully submitted,

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

Michael J. McManus (D.C. Bar #262832)

Kenneth E. Ryan (D.C. Bar #419558)

Brian A. Coleman (D.C. Bar #459201)

1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 1100

Washington, D.C. 20005-1209

202/842-8800

Counsel for Respondents

Dated: June 17, 1999

2

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

On this 17<sup>th</sup> day of June, 1999, a copy of the foregoing Supplemental Statement Regarding Certain Privileged Documents, was telecopied to:

The Honorable Susan Gaffney Inspector General U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 - 7th Street, S.W.

Washington, D.C. 20410

Judith Hetherton, Esquire
U.S. Department of Housing and
Urban Development
Office of Inspector General
Office of Legal Counsel
451 – 7<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Room 8260
Washington, D.C. 20410

Daniel F. Van Horn, Esquire Assistant United States Attorney 555 – 4<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Room 10-104 Washington, D.C. 20001

11011110111 21 21, ---